

MY 2020 CAHPS[®] Medicaid Child with CCC 5.1H Final Report

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

© 2021 Symphony Performance Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

- Overview
- Methodology
- Executive Summary
- Measure Analyses
- Summary of Trend and Benchmarks
- Profile of Survey Respondents
- Demographic Segment Analyses
- Supplemental Questions
- Appendix: Correlation Analyses
- Appendix: Flowchart
- Appendix: Accreditation
- Appendix: Improvement Strategies & Voice of the Member
- Appendix: Questionnaire

Overview

SPH Analytics (SPH), a National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) certified HEDIS[®] Survey Vendor, was selected by Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA) to conduct its MY 2020 CAHPS[®] 5.1H Medicaid Child with CCC Survey (with CCC Measurement set). NCQA requires health plans to submit CAHPS survey results in compliance with HEDIS[®] accreditation requirements.

SURVEY OBJECTIVE The overall objective of the CAHPS[®] study is to capture accurate and complete information about consumerreported experiences with health care. Specifically, the survey aims to measure how well plans are meeting their members' expectations and goals; to determine which areas of service have the greatest effect on members' overall satisfaction; and to identify areas of opportunity for improvement, which can aid plans in increasing the quality of provided care.

2021 NCQA CHANGES NCQA is using AHRQ's new 5.1 version of the CAHPS survey for 2021. These modified HEDIS CAHPS surveys include minor changes to some of the instructions and survey items to indicate the different ways in which patients may be receiving care: in person or via telehealth.

There are no new questions on the 5.1 version, but existing questions have been modified so that respondents know they should include telehealth visits as an appointment type as they respond to the survey. For instance, the introductory language to a section now reads:

"These questions ask about your own health care from a clinic, emergency room, or doctor's office. This includes care you got in person, by phone, or by video. Do not include care you got when you stayed overnight in a hospital. Do not include the times you went for dental care visits."

This new wording about care "in person, by phone or by video" has been added to appropriate questions and introductions throughout the survey.

Your Strategic Account Executive for this project is Alisa Simpson (678-689-0303) and your Project Manager is Julia Schneider (248-539-8757). Should you have any questions or comments regarding any aspect of the survey or reporting process, please feel free to call either your Strategic Account Executive or your Project Manager.

Methodology

- The CAHPS 5.1H Medicaid Child Children with Chronic Conditions Survey assesses the experience of care for the general population of children and the population of children with chronic conditions (CCC). These conditions include relatively common conditions like asthma, as well as rare conditions, such as juvenile diabetes and Muscular Dystrophy.
- Children with chronic conditions represent a relatively small proportion of the overall child population. To achieve a sufficient number of complete surveys for CCC results to be calculated, a supplemental sample of children who are more likely to have a chronic condition, based on claims experience, is selected and added to the standard CAHPS[®] 5.1H Child Survey sample (General Population). After the General Population sample is pulled, the supplemental sample is pulled based on a prescreen sample code. The NCQA required total sample size is 3,490 (1,650 General Population + 1,840 supplemental sample), although plans may choose to oversample their population if necessary.
- NCQA defines the member as having a chronic condition through a survey-based screening tool. The CCC screening tool contains five sections representing five different health conditions. A child member is identified as having a chronic condition if all questions for at least one specific health consequence are answered "Yes."
- It cannot be determined which respondents out of the total sample qualify as having a chronic condition. Given that a denominator for this equation cannot be determined, there is no response rate provided for the CCC Population. You will see the Response Rate for the Total Population and General Population on the following page, along with additional details for the General Population sample.
- As of 2020, NCQA no longer produces general population results for the CCC population and no longer produces CCC results for the general population. Therefore, results shown throughout this report are for the General Population unless specifically labeled as CCC Population.

Methodology

SPH administered the MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC 5.1H CAHPS survey using an NCQA approved methodology. Surveys were collected via a mail, phone, and internet methodology. Members eligible for the survey were parents of those 17 years and younger (as of December 31 of the measurement year) who were continuously enrolled in the plan for at least five of the last six months of the measurement year. A synopsis of the data collection methodology is outlined below:

Mail Protocol Begins 3/2/2021	Phone Protocol 04/27/2021 - 05/11/2021	Last day to accept completed surveys 05/19/2021		Data submission 5/26/202		
VALID SURVEYS (GENERAL POPULATION)		GE	NERAL POPULATION	2019	2020	2021
VALID CONVETO (CENERAET OF CEATION)		Osmulata	Completed Survey	412	162	317
Total Number of Mail Completes = 170 (0 in Spanish)	Complete	SUBTOTAL	412	162	317
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 in Spanish)		Does not Meet Eligibility Criteria (01)	7	1	9
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •		Language Barrier (03)	29	0	5
Total Number of Internet Completes = 42 (0 in Spanish)	Ineligible	Mentally/Physically Incapacitated (04)	0	0	0
Number of undeliverables, 042			Deceased (05)	0	0	2
Number of undeliverables: 943			SUBTOTAL	36	1	16
			Break-off/Incomplete (02)	23	5	11
2021 RESPONSE RATE (GENERAL POPULATION))		Refusal (06)	8	0	72
		Non-Response	Maximum Attempts Made (07)	2316	3627	3379
Response Pate - Completed			Added to DNC List (08)	10	0	0
Response Rate = Sample size – Ineligible	e members		SUBTOTAL	2357	3632	3462
			TOTAL	2805	3795	3795
			OVERSAMPLING %	70.0%	130.0%	130.0%
<u>170 (Mail) + 105 (Phone) + 42 (Internet) = 317</u> 3795 (Sample) - 16 (Ineligible) = 3779	— = 8.4%	Total Sample Size (General Pop + CCC)	5657	6647	6647
		Total Completes (G	eneral Pop + CCC)	829	279	553
DESDONSE DATE COMPARISON		Total Response Ra	ate (General Pop + CCC)	14.8%	4.2%	8.4%
RESPONSE RATE COMPARISON		General Population	Sample Size	2805	3795	3795
The 2021 SPH Analytics Book of Business average r	esponse rate is 12.6%	General Population	n Response Rate	14.9%	4.3%	8.4%
		Supplemental (CCC) Sample Size	2852	2852	2852
		Supplemental (CCC	Completes	373	122	225

Note: Respondents were given the option of completing the survey in Spanish. A telephone number was provided on the survey cover letter for members to call if they would like to complete the survey in Spanish.

Executive Summary



• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Overview of Terms

Summary Rates are defined by NCQA in its HEDIS MY 2020 CAHPS[®] 5.1H guidelines and generally represent the most favorable response percentages.



Rating questions are typically displayed with two Summary Rates:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Percentile Rankings Your plan's approximate percentile rankings in relation to the Quality Compass[®] All Plans benchmark were calculated by SPH Analytics using information derived from the NCQA 1-100 Benchmark.

Significance Testing All significance testing is performed at the 95% confidence level using a t-test.

Small Denominator Threshold NCQA will assign a measure result of NA to overall ratings or composites with a denominator (i.e., the average number of responses across all questions used to calculate the composite) less than 100.

Technical Notes Please refer to the Technical Notes for more information.

NCQA BENCHMARK INFORMATION

The source for data contained in this publication is Quality Compass[®] All Plans 2020. It is used with the permission of NCQA. Any analysis, interpretation, or conclusion based on these data is solely that of the authors, and NCQA specifically disclaims responsibility for any such analysis, interpretation, or conclusion. Quality Compass[®] is a registered trademark of NCQA.

COVID-19 IMPACT

Because the 2020 survey administration took place during extraordinary circumstances, please use caution when comparing and interpreting trend results.

LEGACY DSS / MORPACE / SPH

For the 2020 reporting, the Analytics team reviewed all calculations and statistics to determine the best go forward strategy for SPH Analytics. Some historical calculations were updated to align with those decisions. As such, there are instances where a trend score from 2019 might be slightly different from historical reports.

Dashboard - 2021 Key Findings - General Population

TRENDING

Key measures that had significantly higher or lower scores compared to last year

MEASURE NAME	Trending
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	Ļ
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	
Q6. Getting routine care	Ŷ
How Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	
Q32. Personal doctors spent enough time	Ļ
Access to Specialized Services (% Always or Usually)	Ŷ
Family-Centered Care: Personal Doctor Who Knows Child (% Yes)	
Q33. Doctor talked about how child is feeling, growing, and behaving	Ť



MEASURE NAME	2021 SCORE	ESTIMATED NCQA RATING
Rating of Health Plan (% 9 or 10)	73.6%	***
Rating of Health Care (% 9 or 10)	78.2%	****
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 9 or 10)	81.9%	****
Rating of Specialist (% 9 or 10)	79.4%	NA^
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	82.6%	**
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	89.5%	**
Coordination of Care (% Always or Usually)	89.4%	NA^

SatisAction[™] KEY DRIVER STATISTICAL MODEL Key Drivers Of The Rating Of The Health Plan

POWER

Promote and Leverage Strengths

	0	0
Q9	Health care overall	
Q36	Personal doctor overall	
Q43	Specialist overall	
Q6	Got routine care	
Q10	Got care/tests/treatment	
Q28	Dr. listened carefully	

OPPORTUNITIES

Focus Resources on Improving Processes That Underlie These Items

Q41Got specialist appt.Q4Got urgent care

SPH Book of Business Trends - General Population

COVID-19 Impact The pandemic caused significant disruption throughout most of 2020 and continuing into 2021. Therefore, it is best to interpret trend results with a degree of caution. Survey results from 2020 may have been impacted for some health plans because of the pandemic. SPH Analytics monitors industry trends in measure scores. On the right, we have provided a side-by-side comparison of aggregate SPH Book of Business scores to help you understand broader trends in measure scoring over the past three years. We chose to display the SPH Book of Business since we have 2021 results at the time this report was published.

Trend Highlights Overall, Medicaid Child scores have remained stable over the last two years. Getting routine care, however, has seen a dramatic drop in score, likely due to the COVID-19 Pandemic.

	SPH Book ((Med	of Busines dicaid Chilo	
	2019	2020	2021
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)			
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	72.2%	73.0%	73.3%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	71.1%	73.0%	74.4%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	77.6%	79.1%	78.6%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	73.2%	75.0%	75.7%
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)			
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	86.9%	87.5%	87.3%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	88.3%	88.7%	88.7%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	90.6%	91.2%	90.8%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	87.2%	88.2%	88.2%
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	85.2%	85.6%	86.6%
Q10. Getting care, tests, or treatment	90.1%	90.8%	90.8%
Q41. Getting specialist appointment	80.3%	80.4%	82.4%
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	90.3%	90.5%	87.8%
Q4. Getting urgent care	91.9%	91.7%	91.7%
Q6. Getting routine care	88.6%	89.3%	83.8%
Coordination of Care (Q35) (% Always or Usually)	84.2%	85.0%	84.9%

Measure Summary - General Population

Health Plan Domain Performance

Your plan's performance on measures that are typically considered to be in the domain of the health plan.

	SUMMA	SUMMARY RATE		2021 SPH GP BENCHMARK		2020 QC GP BENCHMARK	
MEASURE	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK
Rating of Health Plan (% 9 or 10)	78.1%	73.6%	-4.5%	73.3%	44 th	71.9%	53 rd
Rating of Health Plan (% 8, 9 or 10)	86.5%	88.4%	1.9%	87.3%	54 th	86.5%	62 nd
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	91.9%	82.6% ↓	-9.3%	86.6%	21 st	86.0%	17 th
Customer Service (% Always or Usually)	92.4%	85.8%	-6.6%	88.3%	21 st	88.8%	13 th
Ease of Filling Out Forms (% Always or Usually)	98.7%	96.7%	-2.0%	96.0%	60 th	96.5%	53 rd

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Your overall Rating of Health Plan (9-10) Summary Rate score is 73.6% and represents a change of -4.5% from 2020.

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 44.

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow) or benchmark score (\triangledown).

Measure Summary - General Population

Health Care Domain Performance

Your plan's performance on measures that are typically considered to be in the provider health care domain.

	SUMMAF	RY RATE		2021 SPH GP BENCHMARK		2020 QC GP BENCHMARK	
MEASURE	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK
Rating of Health Care (% 9 or 10)	74.6%	78.2%	3.6%	74.4%	79 th	71.9% 🔺	91 st
Rating of Health Care (% 8, 9 or 10)	89.8%	91.2%	1.4%	88.7%	78 th	88.0%	81 st
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	94.4%	89.5%	-4.9%	87.8%	61 st	90.5%	32 nd
How Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	98.4%	97.1%	-1.3%	94.5% 🔺	82 nd	95.3%	82 nd
Coordination of Care (% Always or Usually)	87.5%	89.4%	1.9%	84.9%	77 th	86.1%	76 th
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 9 or 10)	81.8%	81.9%	0.1%	78.6%	80 th	78.6%	78 th
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 8, 9 or 10)	91.2%	93.3%	2.1%	90.8%	82 nd	90.9%	86 th
Rating of Specialist (% 9 or 10)	81.8%	79.4%	-2.4%	75.7%	71 st	73.4%	100 th
Rating of Specialist (% 8, 9 or 10)	81.8%	88.9%	7.1%	88.2%	57 th	87.0%	85 th

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Your overall Rating of Health Care (9-10) Summary Rate score is 78.2% and represents a change of 3.6% from 2020.

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 44.

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Measure Summary - CCC Population

Health Plan Domain Performance

Your plan's performance on measures that are typically considered to be in the domain of the health plan.

MEASURE	SUMMAF	SUMMARY RATE		2021 SPH CCC BENCHMARK		2020 QC CCC BENCHMARK	
	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK
Rating of Health Plan (% 9 or 10)	78.0%	72.5%	-5.5%	71.9%	53 rd	69.3%	63 rd
Rating of Health Plan (% 8, 9 or 10)	85.6%	88.7%	3.1%	85.9%	77 th	84.8%	85 th
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	90.1%	86.4%	-3.7%	88.3%	30 th	88.1%	26 th
Customer Service (% Always or Usually)	92.5%	89.0%	-3.5%	90.2%	33 rd	90.3%	37 th
Ease of Filling Out Forms (% Always or Usually)	99.1%	95.9% ↓	-3.2%	95.9%	46 th	96.4%	30 th

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Your overall Rating of Health Plan (9-10) Summary Rate score is 72.5% and represents a change of -5.5% from 2020.

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 44.

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow) or benchmark score (\triangledown).

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Your overall Rating of Health Care (9-10) Summary Rate score is

2020.

Measure Summary - CCC Population

Health Care Domain Performance

Your plan's performance on measures that are typically considered to be in the provider health care domain.

MEASURE	SUMMARY RATE		CHANGE	2021 SPH CCC BENCHMARK		2020 QC CCC BENCHMARK	
MEASURE	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK
Rating of Health Care (% 9 or 10)	76.3%	77.9%	1.6%	73.0%	79 th	71.0% 🔺	87 th
Rating of Health Care (% 8, 9 or 10)	87.6%	91.4%	3.8%	87.3%	91 st	87.6%	87 th
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	95.1%	89.8%	-5.3%	91.1%	30 th	93.5%	10 th
How Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	98.9%	95.1%	-3.8%	94.9%	46 th	95.8%	26 th
Coordination of Care (% Always or Usually)	83.7%	86.3%	2.6%	83.1%	81 st	85.1%	59 th
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 9 or 10)	78.6%	82.3%	3.7%	78.8%	71 st	78.4%	83 rd
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 8, 9 or 10)	90.2%	90.6%	0.4%	89.8%	53 rd	90.1%	52 nd
Rating of Specialist (% 9 or 10)	80.9%	73.8%	-7.1%	75.7%	38 th	75.2%	44 th
Rating of Specialist (% 8, 9 or 10)	80.9%	83.8%	2.9%	88.1%	20 th	87.9%	14 th

77.9% and represents a change of 1.6% from

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 44.

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow) or benchmark score (\triangledown).

Measure Summary - CCC Population

CCC Measures Performance

Your plan's performance on measures that have emphasis on the CCC population.

MEACUDE	SUMMAF	RY RATE		2021 SPH CCC	BENCHMARK	2020 QC CCC BENCHMARK		
MEASURE	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	
Access to Prescription Medicines (% Always or Usually)	91.4%	90.5%	-0.9%	91.8%	24 th	91.3%	41 st	
Access to Specialized Services (% Always or Usually)	81.0%	70.2%	-10.8%	75.6%	23 rd	74.5%	22 nd	
Family-Centered Care: Personal Doctor Who Knows Child (% Yes)	89.9%	87.7%	-2.2%	91.5%	<5 th	91.6%	6 th	
Family-Centered Care: Getting Needed Information (% Always or Usually)	94.8%	92.6%	-2.2%	91.4%	66 th	93.1%	40 th	
Coordination of Care for CCC (% Yes)	82.7%	71.6%	-11.1%	76.6%	19 th	76.4%	<5 th	

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 44.

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Gap Analysis - General Population - 2020 Quality Compass

GAP ANALYSIS

Two scores can be used to evaluate a plan's performance gap – Achieved Max Score or Theoretical Max Score.

Achieved Max Score Gap – The spread between your plan's score and the highest score achieved by a plan within the 2020 Quality Compass (100th Percentile).

Displayed by the outer bound of the dark green section of the graph.

Theoretical Max Score Gap – The spread between your plan's score and the highest possible score a plan could achieve (100%). *Displayed by the outer bound of the graph.*

For each measure, your plan's 2021 and 2020 scores are plotted against the 2020 Quality Compass distribution.

Your plan's 2021 percentile ranking based on the 2020 Quality Compass along with the change in score from 2020 is reported on the outer edge of the graph.



202	2020 Quality Compass Thresholds							
<10 th	10-32 nd	33-66 th	67-89 th	<u>≥</u> 90 th		2020 Score		



Gap Analysis - CCC Population - 2020 Quality Compass

GAP ANALYSIS

Two scores can be used to evaluate a plan's performance gap – Achieved Max Score or Theoretical Max Score.

Achieved Max Score Gap – The spread between your plan's score and the highest score achieved by a plan within the 2020 Quality Compass (100th Percentile).

Displayed by the outer bound of the dark green section of the graph.

Theoretical Max Score Gap – The spread between your plan's score and the highest possible score a plan could achieve (100%). *Displayed by the outer bound of the graph.*

For each measure, your plan's 2021 and 2020 scores are plotted against the 2020 Quality Compass distribution.

Your plan's 2021 percentile ranking based on the 2020 Quality Compass along with the change in score from 2020 is reported on the outer edge of the graph.



202	—	2021 Score				
<10 th	10-32 nd	33-66 th	67-89 th	<u>></u> 90 th		2020 Score



MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Survey - 16

OWeR Chart: Explanation

POWeR[™] CHART CLASSIFICATION MATRIX

The SatisAction[™] key driver statistical model was used to identify the key drivers of the rating of the health plan and the results are presented in the POWeR[™] Chart classification matrix on the following page.

Overview The SatisAction[™] key driver statistical model is a powerful, proprietary statistical methodology used to identify the key drivers of the rating of the health plan and provide actionable direction for satisfaction improvement programs. This methodology is the result of a number of years of development and testing using health care satisfaction data. We have been successfully using this approach since 1997.

The model provides the following:

- Identification of the elements that are important in driving of the rating of the health plan.
- Measurement of the relative importance of each of these elements.
- Measurement of how well members think the plan performed on those important elements.
- Presentation of the importance/performance results in a matrix that provides clear direction for member satisfaction improvement efforts by the plan.



OWeR Chart: Your Results

SURVEY ME	ASURE	SUMMARY RATE SCORE*	SPH ESTIMATED PERCENTILE	SPH ESTIMATED RATING
POWER				
Q9	Health care overall	78.2%	79 th	4
Q36	Personal doctor overall	81.9%	80 th	4
Q43	Specialist overall	79.4%	71 st	4
Q6	Got routine care	87.4%	69 th	4
Q10	Got care/tests/treatment	93.0%	68 th	4
Q28	Dr. listened carefully	99.4%	98 th	5
OPPORT	JNITY			
Q41	Got specialist appt.	72.3%	10 th	1
Q4	Got urgent care	91.7%	48 th	3
WAIT				
Q31	Dr. explained things for child	95.0%	50 th	3
Q45	CS provided info./help	80.0%	33 rd	3
Q46	CS courtesy/respect	91.7%	19 th	2
RETAIN				
Q29	Dr. showed respect	98.8%	88 th	4
Q27	Dr. explained things	97.1%	77 th	4
Q32	Dr. spent enough time	93.0%	68 th	4
Q35	Dr. informed about care	89.4%	77 th	4
Q48	Easy to fill out forms	96.7%	60 th	3

* Summary rates are top-two box scores.

KEY DRIVERS, SUMMARY RATES AND PERCENTILES

The key drivers of the rating of the health plan are presented in the POWeR[™] Chart classification matrix. The table assesses the key drivers and each measure is ranked by importance within each quadrant. Focus resources on improving processes that underlie the most important items and look for a significant improvement in the rating of the health plan.



MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Survey - 18

Overall Rating of Health Plan – Plan and Industry Key Drivers

YOUR PLAN TOP 10 KEY DRIVERS These items have a relatively large impact on the Rating of Health Plan. Leverage these questions since they are important to your members and the Rating of Health Plan score for this plan. They are listed in descending order of importance for your plan.

INDUSTRY KEY DRIVERS SPH Book of Business regression analysis has identified **Key Drivers** of Rating of Health Plan. The numbers represent the ranked importance across the entire Book of Business.

		ALIGNMENT Are your key drivers typical of the industry?		ATTRIBUTE	YOUR PLAN SUMMARY RATE SCORE	INDUSTRY KEY DRIVER RANK	SPH BoB SUMMARY RATE SCORE	SPH BoB PERCENTILE	CLASSIFICATION
RATING OF		Ø	Q9	Health care overall	78.2%	1	74.4%	79 th	POWER
HEALTH PLAN		Ø	Q36	Personal doctor overall	81.9%	2	78.6%	80 th	POWER
73.6% Your plan scored in the		Ø	Q43	Specialist overall	79.4%	3	75.7%	71 st	POWER
44 th percentile	o 10 V KEV FERS	Ø	Q41	Got specialist appt.	72.3%	4	82.4%	10 th	OPPORTUNITY
when compared to the	TOP 10 PLAN KEY DRIVERS	Ø	Q6	Got routine care	87.4%	9	83.8%	69 th	POWER
SPH Book of Business benchmark		O	Q4	Got urgent care	91.7%	11	91.7%	48 th	OPPORTUNITY
		Ø	Q10	Got care/tests/treatment	93.0%	6	90.8%	68 th	POWER
Aligns with top 10 industry drivers		Ø	Q28	Dr. listened carefully	99.4%	8	95.9%	98 th	POWER
Differs from top 10 industry drivers		Ø	Q29	Dr. showed respect	98.8%	10	96.9%	88 th	RETAIN
DD'L TOP 10	0	Ð	Q27	Dr. explained things	97.1%	12	94.8%	77 th	RETAIN
	OP 1 TRY ERS		Q45	CS provided info./help	80.0%	7	82.5%	33 rd	WAIT
	ADD'L T INDUS		Q46	CS courtesy/respect	91.7%	5	94.0%	19 th	WAIT
		Note: All SPH BoB sc	ores & ranki	ngs are calculated based on the 2021 SPH Book of B	usiness. Any items below th	e dotted line are indust	ry key drivers		

Note: All SPH BoB scores & rankings are calculated based on the 2021 SPH Book of Business. Any items below the dotted line are industry key drivers that are not identified as key drivers for your plan.

MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Survey - 20

Overall Rating of Health Plan - General Population

		Difference	demographic subgroups can have dissimila	experienc	es with your ne			
	8 - 10	9 - 10		8 - 10	9 - 10	Child's Ethnicity & Race) K	
MALE (n=31)	83.9%	67.7%	<25 (n=56) 25 - 24	83.9%	69.6%	Race	8 - 10	9 - 10
FEMALE	88.9%	74.2%	25 - 34 (<i>n</i> =71)	91.5%	73.2%	WHITE	90.0%	72.7%
espondent's (n=279) Gender	00.970	74.270	Respondent's (<i>n=94</i>)	88.3%	72.3%	(n=150)	90.076	12.17
Gender			Age (11=94) 45 or older (n=87)	90.8%	79.3%	BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN (n=128)	85.2%	73.4%
_	8 - 10	9 - 10		8 - 10	9 - 10	ASIAN (n=13)^	92.3%	61.5%
EXC./VERY GOOD (n=220)	91.4%	77.7%	EXC./VERY GOOD (n=207)	88.9%	74.9%	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	100%	100%
GOOD (<i>n</i> =62)	83.9%	62.9%	GOOD (<i>n</i> =55)	90.9%	70.9%	(<i>n=</i> 2)^		
Status FAIR/POOR (n=26)	73.1%	61.5%	Child's FAIR/POOR Mental/Emotional (n=44)	84.1%	70.5%	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	100%	69.2%
	8 - 10	9 - 10		8 – 10	9 - 10	(n=13)^	,.	,
HS GRAD OR LESS	88.9%	76.5%	MAIL (n=167)	89.2%	73.7%	OTHER (n=28)	92.9%	75.0%
(n=162)	00.070	. 0.070	PHONE (n=102)	86.3%	73.5%	HISPANIC/LATINO (n=32)	93.8%	78.1%
spondent's Education SOME COLLEGE OR MORE (n=143)	88.1%	71.3%	Data Collection INTERNET	90.5%	73.8%	NOT HISPANIC/ LATINO (n=267)	87.6%	73.0%

^ Indicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Estimated NCQA Health Insurance Plan Ratings - General Population

	2021 SCORE	SCORE DEFINITION	QC PERCENTILE RANK	SPH ESTIMATED RATING
CONSUMER SATISFACT		3.0		
GETTING CARE				2.0
Getting Needed Care	82.6%	Usually or Always	17 th	2.0
Getting Care Quickly	89.5%	Usually or Always	32 nd	2.0
SATISFACTION WITH PLA	4.5			
Rating of Personal Doctor	81.9%	9 or 10	78 th	4.0
Rating of Specialist	79.4%	9 or 10	100 th	NA
Rating of Health Care	78.2%	9 or 10	91 st	5.0
Coordination of Care	89.4%	Usually or Always	76 th	NA
SATISFACTION WITH PLA	3.0			
Rating of Health Plan	73.6%	9 or 10	53 rd	3.0

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, NCQA did not publish Health Plan Ratings in 2020.

EXPLANATION

NCQA calculates health plan ratings (HPR) by evaluating plans in three categories: consumer satisfaction, clinical quality (includes prevention and treatment) and NCQA Accreditation Standards score.

The overall NCQA star rating is the weighted average of an organization's HEDIS and CAHPS measure ratings, plus Accreditation bonus points (if the organization has NCQA Accreditation), rounded to the nearest half point.

The CAHPS measures are classified based on their national percentile (10th, 33rd, 66th and 90th) into scores ranging from 1 to 5 (in increments of 0.5), where 5 is the highest score and 1 is the lowest.

Results are summarized in the table to the left. **Percentiles and ratings are estimated by SPH** based on the 2020 NCQA data and benchmarks.

Rating = 1	Rating = 2	Rating = 3	Rating = 4	Rating = 5
<10 th	10 th – 32 rd	33 rd – 66 th	67 th – 90 th	>90 th
Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile

Notes:

- NCQA will assign a measure result of NA to overall ratings or composites with a denominator (i.e., the average number of responses across all questions used to calculate the composite) less than 100.
- Medicaid plans have the option to be scored on either Adult CAHPS or Child CAHPS data.

Oversampling Scenarios - General Population

OVERSAMPLING SCENARIO EXPLANATION

SPH displays projected results with current oversampling, no oversampling, and the scenario that achieves all reportable measures. The scenarios take into account changes in denominators and reportable measures that might impact ratings.

This plan currently oversamples at the rate of 130%. SPH recommends no oversampling in order to maximize ratings.

Based on the scenarios tested, holding everything else constant, an oversampling rate of 265% and above yields all reportable measures and an increase on 1 measure. This is an estimate only and cannot be used to predict NCQA star ratings.

	ESTIMATED	OVERSAMPLIN		
MEASURE NAME	RATING (Current: 130%)	0%	<u>≥</u> 265%	
CONSUMER SATISFACTION	3.0	3.5	3.5	
GETTING CARE	2.0	NA	2.0	
Getting Needed Care	2.0	NA	2.0	
Getting Care Quickly	2.0	NA	2.0	
SATISFACTION WITH PLAN PHYSICIANS	4.5	4.0	4.5	
Rating of Personal Doctor	4.0	4.0	4.0	Higher Rating
Rating of Specialist	NA	NA	5.0	Lower Rating
Rating of Health Care	5.0	NA	5.0	Reportable
Coordination of Care	NA	NA	4.0	
SATISFACTION WITH PLAN SERVICES	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Rating of Health Plan	3.0	3.0	3.0	

Performance to Percentile Thresholds - General Population

COMPARISON TO QUALITY COMPASS PERCENTILE THRESHOLDS

The graph shows how your plan's scores used for accreditation ratings compare to the most recent Quality Compass thresholds published by NCQA (Fall 2020).



Measure Summary - General Population

Top Three Measures

Your plan had the highest NCQA Quality Compass® All Plans percentile rankings for these three measures.

MEASURE	2021	YOUR PLAN SCORE		CHANGE	2020 QC GP	GAP	
MEASURE	VALID N	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	GAP
Rating of Specialist (% 9 or 10)	63^	81.8%	79.4%	-2.4%	73.4%	100 th	6.0%
Rating of Health Care (% 9 or 10)	170	74.6%	78.2%	3.6%	71.9%	91 st	6.3%
How Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	172	98.4%	97.1%	-1.3%	95.3%	82 nd	1.8%

Bottom Three Measures

Your plan had the lowest NCQA Quality Compass® All Plans percentile rankings for these three measures.

MEACUDE	2021	YOUR PLAN SCORE		CUANCE	2020 QC GP	CAD		
MEASURE	VALID N	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	GAP	
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	122	94.4%	89.5%	-4.9%	90.5%	32 nd	-1.0%	
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	118	91.9%	82.6% ↓	-9.3%	86.0%	17 th	-3.4%	
Customer Service (% Always or Usually)	60^	92.4%	85.8%	-6.6%	88.8%	13 th	-3.0%	

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Improvement Strategies

Improving Performance

These measures had the lowest NCQA Quality Compass[®] All Plans percentile rankings for your plan. While plans should also review which measures have lower scores than last year and which measures perform lower than benchmark, SPH offers these opportunities for improvement based on national percentile rankings.

Improvement Strategies – Getting Care Quickly

- Assess CAHPS data by health system, PO, and/or network. Communicate results and identify outliers. Correlate with HEDIS data, complaints, appeals and/or quality of care concerns, and communicate. Support and encourage providers to take innovative action to improve access.
- Support members and collaborate with providers to enhance routine and urgent access to care through innovative, proactive approaches within Care Management, Chronic Care, and Quality Management. Work with providers to identify and resolve opportunities.
- Discuss and engage providers/staff on scheduling best practices, how to improve access to routine/urgent care. Consider scheduling routine appointments well in advance, e.g., 12 months. Provide tools, resources, support and assessment.
- Support, encourage and assist in approaches toward open access scheduling. Allow a portion of each day open for urgent care and/or follow-up care.
- Contract with additional providers for urgent and after-hour appointments/availability.
- Explore partnering with 24-hour urgent care or walk-in clinics.
- Educate providers and staff about Plan and regulatory appointment wait time requirements or standards (i.e., CAHPS, CMS, States, etc.). Identify opportunities for improvement.
- Provide members streamlined tools and resources (links, apps, etc.) about benefits, providers, referrals, scheduling appointments, etc. Identify options and hours available, and include alternatives, including practices with evening and weekend hours. Consider alternative sources of information, e.g., refrigerator magnets.
- Explore and support alternative telecommunication technologies to expand access to care: telephone, telehealth, telemedicine and patient portals.
- Encourage use of Nurse Hotline/Nurse on Call lines or live-chat via web for members to get health information and advice.

Improvement Strategies – Getting Needed Care

- Assess CAHPS data by health system, PO, and/or network. Communicate results and identify outliers. Evaluate with HEDIS data, complaints, appeals and/or quality of care concerns, and communicate. Identify issues, prioritize and implement improvement activities.
- Work with providers to support patients in navigating health care and remove obstacles. Support and encourage providers to take innovative action to improve access. Examples include: Serve patients quickly, treat urgent issues promptly, minimize wait times, follow-up about appointment times and test results. Another is to develop an in-depth referral/decision-making guide for PCP's to prepare for/with patients explaining need, urgency, patient expectations and responsibilities, and preparations for seeing a specialist.
- Encourage and guide parents/families when and how to use/access alternative care settings, e.g., web-based, tele-health, urgent care, and emergency care.
- Support members and collaborate with providers to enhance access to care through innovative, proactive approaches within Care Management, Chronic Care, and Quality Management. Work with providers to identify and resolve opportunities.
- Continually assess, revisit and simplify plan requirements/processes (i.e., UM) impacting access to care, tests, or treatment. Seek opportunities to improve processes and procedures.
- Review and simplify precertification/auth/referral policies/procedures for both member and provider, including messages and communications. Cross-reference with complaints, concerns, and quality of care issues. Improve and clarify processes and communications.
- Evaluate and simplify member communications, assuring that members are clearly told why something is not approved. When appropriate, offer suggestions for next steps or alternatives.
- Ensure Customer Service representatives are able to accurately advise members of available alternatives for care, such as walk-in clinics, urgent care, specialists, labs, etc.

Improvement Strategies – Customer Service

- Emphasize comprehensive, collaborative, and high-quality customer/member services as a critical priority across all areas of the organization. Think and act together. Establish service recovery guidelines for resolving issues, including phrases that express apologies or atonement.
- Provide on-going/periodic CSR service training, open discussions and routine refresher programs. Include thorough annual updates, tools and resources and subsequent feedback. Training examples include: how to answer questions and resolve issues; consistency in being friendly, courteous and empathetic; quick issue resolution with follow-up; procedures to minimize transfers and wait/on-hold times.
- Involve the CS team in QI activities, seeking concrete customer-based input and improvements. Ensure they are fully informed of updates/changes to processes and procedures.
- Ensure CSRs have immediate access to knowledgeable staff within all key member and provider service areas (Claims, Enrollment, etc.).
- Support key subject matter experts to flexibly respond to urgent or complex types of calls, questions or issues - including prompt prioritization and resolution procedures and/or authority.
- Develop, implement and review protocols and scripts ("Talking Points") to ensure up-to-date, accurate and consist information provided to your members and patients and providers.
- Establish, assess and adhere to measurable CSR performance/service standards (i.e., call satisfaction, call resolution, time on hold, etc.).
 Operationally define service behaviors.
- Seek QI opportunities with CS via observational walkthrough of calls and discussion/review of complaints, inquiries, and the member experience, especially any changes. Identify main issues and seek interventions that decrease volume and/or improve experience.
- Acknowledge and reward service performance/behaviors reflective of service excellence.

See full list of strategies in the Appendix: Improvement Strategies

Need Additional Assistance? For health plans that need additional assistance interpreting survey results and leveraging data to identify appropriate next steps for improvement, SPH offers Performance Improvement Consulting. Contact your Strategic Account Executive to learn more or visit our website at http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting.

Measure Analyses



Measure Details and Scoring

• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Measure Analyses: Section Information - General Population

Drilling Down Into Ratings and Composites This section is designed to give plans a detailed report on the performance of each global rating and composite measure.

The Composite Analysis typically consists of two pages. The first page displays composite level details and the second displays results for the attributes contained within the composite. It is critical to look at these attribute questions to determine if there is a particular aspect of care that is driving your composite score.



Analyses presented in this section include:

- > Plan Summary Rate Scores with comparisons to trending (if available)
- Comparisons to benchmarks
- Percentile rankings
- Proportions of respondents on gate questions
- > Comparisons to the SPH Book of Business on each measure plotted with Rating of Health Plan

Measures Included in Analyses

- Rating of Health Plan
- Rating of Health Care
- Rating of Personal Doctor
- Rating of Specialist
- Getting Needed Care
- Getting Care Quickly
- Coordination of Care
- Customer Service*
- How Well Doctors Communicate*





* The Customer Service and How Well Doctors Communicate measures are not used for NCQA ratings.

Rating of Health Plan - General Population

PERCENTILE RANKING 2020 QC ALL PLANS GP % 8, 9 or 10 62nd % 9 or 10 53rd SatisAction[™] KEY DRIVER STATISTICAL MODEL Key Drivers Of The Rating Of The Health Plan POWER Promote and Leverage Strengths Health care overall **Q9** Personal doctor overall Q36 Q43 Specialist overall Q6 Got routine care Q10 Got care/tests/treatment

Q28 Dr. listened carefully

OPPORTUNITIES

Focus Resources on Improving Processes That Underlie These Items

Q41	Got	specia	list	appt.
-----	-----	--------	------	-------

Q4 Got urgent care



QC GP (% 9 or 10)

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

QC GP (% 8, 9 or 10)

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Rating of Health Care - General Population

 PERCENTILE RANKING 2020 QC ALL PLANS GP

 % 8, 9 or 10
 81st

 % 9 or 10
 91st

SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS DISTRIBUTION





Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Rating of Personal Doctor - General Population Measure



SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS DISTRIBUTION



RATING OF PERSONAL DOCTOR % 8, 9 or 10



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Rating of Specialist - General Population



SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS DISTRIBUTION



RATING OF SPECIALIST % 8, 9 or 10



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Getting Needed Care - General Population

Composite



GETTING NEEDED CARE



% Always or Usually

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Getting Needed Care - General Population

Attribute Questions



Getting Care Quickly - General Population

Composite



GETTING CARE QUICKLY % Always or Usually 94.4% 91.9% 89.5% 87.8% 90.5% 83.3% 81.3% 79.6% 73.6% (n = 227) (n = 82)^ (n = 122) 2019 2020 2021 2021 SPH BoB

% Always 🦰 % Usually CC GP (% Always/Usually)

Significance Testing

100%

80%

60%

40%

20%

0%

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

Getting Care Quickly - General Population

Attribute Questions

•

•



MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Survey - 35

Coordination of Care - General Population

Measure



COORDINATION OF CARE % Always or Usually



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\clubsuit) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).
Customer Service* - General Population Composite

PERCENTILE RANKING 2020 QC ALL PLANS GP 13th % A or U SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS DISTRIBUTION 100% 90% Rating of Health Plan % *9 or 10* %0% %0% 30% 20% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100% **Customer Service** % A or U SPH 90th Percentile O Your Plan

% Always or Usually 92.4% 100% 90.7% 88.3% 85.8% 88.8% 80% 60% 40% 75.3% 75.4% 69.2% 70.0% 20% 0% (n = 108) (n = 33)^ (n = 60)^ 2019 2020 2021 2021 SPH BoB % Always % Usually QC GP (% Always/Usually)

CUSTOMER SERVICE

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\clubsuit) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.

* The Customer Service measure is not used for NCQA ratings.

MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Survey - 37



CUSTOMER SERVICE QUESTIONS

The Customer Service composite score is calculated by taking the average of two questions:

- Q45. In the last 6 months, how often did customer service at your child's health plan give you the information or help you needed?
- Q46. In the last 6 months, how often did customer service staff at your child's health plan treat you with courtesy and respect?

2021 CUSTOMER SERVICE COMPOSITE SUMMARY RATE SCORE



Gate Question	Valid n	Yes
Q44. Tried to get information or help from health plan's customer service	311	19.6%

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.





How Well Doctors Communicate* - General Population

Composite



HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE % Always or Usually



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.

* The How Well Doctors Communicate measure is not used for NCQA ratings.

How Well Doctors Communicate - General Population

Attribute Questions

HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE QUESTIONS

The How Well Doctors Communicate composite score is calculated by taking the average of four questions:

- Q27. In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor explain things in a way that was easy to understand?
- Q28. In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor listen carefully to you?
- Q29. In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor show respect for what you had to say?
- Q32. In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor spend enough time with your child?

2021 HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE COMPOSITE SUMMARY RATE SCORE



Gate Question	Valid n	Yes
Q25. Have a personal doctor	312	85.3%

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.





How Well Doctors Communicate - General Population

Attribute Questions, Continued

HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE QUESTIONS

The How Well Doctors Communicate composite score is calculated by taking the average of four questions:

- Q27. In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor explain things in a way that was easy to understand?
- Q28. In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor listen carefully to you?
- Q29. In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor show respect for what you had to say?
- Q32. In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor spend enough time with your child?

2021 HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE COMPOSITE SUMMARY RATE SCORE



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.





Summary of Trend and Benchmarks



Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Summary of Trend and Benchmarks: Section Information

Trend and Benchmark Comparisons The CAHPS[®] 5.1H survey is designed to use composite scores to facilitate the aggregation of information and the communication of results. Questions are combined into composite categories comprising a particular service area managed by your plan. These composites, the questions that make up composites (attributes), additional measures, and rating questions are shown on the following pages.

<u>Summary Rate Scores</u>: Shows how your plan's composite and key question Summary Rates compare to trend data (if applicable) and scores from the 2021 GP SPH Analytics Medicaid Child with CCC Book of Business and the 2020 GP Medicaid Child with CCC Quality Compass[®] All Plans benchmarks. To help you identify how your plan's population compares to other plans and to previous data, statistically significant differences are highlighted.

Plan Percentile Rankings: Shows your plan's Summary Rates and percentile rankings in relation to the benchmarks.

Significance Testing

Green – Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). **Red** – Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

No color denotes that there was no significant difference between the percentages or that there was insufficient sample size to conduct the statistical test. All significance testing is performed at the 95% confidence level.

Benchmark Information

		Т	Available Ben The following benchmarks are use			
	2020 Quality Compass® All Plans (General Population)	2020 Quality Compass [®] All Plans (CCC Population)	2020 NCQA 1-100 Benchmark (General Population)	2020 NCQA 1-100 Benchmark (CCC Population)	2021 SPH Analytics Book of Business (General Population)	2021 SPH Analytics Book of Business (CCC Population)
		Includes all Medicaid child samples (CCC) that submitted data to NCQA in 2020.	A percentile benchmark (with values ranging from the first through the one hundredth percentile) calculated by NCQA and derived from Medicaid child data (Non-CCC and CCC) collected by NCQA in 2020.	values ranging from the first through the one hundredth percentile) calculated by NCQA and derived from Medicaid child	Includes all the Medicaid child samples (Non-CCC and CCC) that contracted with SPH Analytics to administer the 2021 CAHPS 5.1H survey and submitted data to NCQA.	Includes all the Medicaid child samples (CCC) that contracted with SPH Analytics to administer the 2021 CAHPS 5.1H survey and submitted data to NCQA.
PROS	 Contains more plans than the SPH Book of Business Is presented in NCQA's The State of Health Care Quality 	 Contains more plans than the SPH Book of Business Is presented in NCQA's The State of Health Care Quality Provides a CCC benchmark 	• Utilized by SPH Analytics to calculate approximate percentile ranking of plan scores in relation to the Quality Compass [®] All Plans benchmark	 Utilized by SPH Analytics to calculate approximate percentile ranking of plan scores in relation to the Quality Compass[®] All Plans benchmark Provides a CCC benchmark 	 Provides a benchmark for each question from the survey Permits precise percentile ranking of plan compared to benchmark Historically, the SPH BoB has varied by less than 1% from the Public Report benchmark. 	 Provides a benchmark for each question from the survey Permits precise percentile ranking of plan compared to benchmark Historically, the SPH BoB has varied by less than 1% from the Public Report benchmark Provides a CCC benchmark
CONS	Only contains benchmarks for certain key questions, composites, and rating questions	 Only contains benchmarks for certain key questions, composites, and rating questions 	 Only contains benchmarks for certain key questions, composites, and rating questions 	Only contains benchmarks for certain key questions, composites, and rating questions	Contains fewer plans than the Public Report and the Quality Compass [®] All Plans Benchmarks	Contains fewer plans than the Public Report and the Quality Compass [®] All Plans Benchmarks
# OF PLANS	175	49	175	49	181	69

Summary Rate Scores - General Population

STAR RATIN	G MEASURES	2021 VALID N	2019	2020	2021	2021 SPH GP BENCHMARK	2020 QC GP BENCHMARK
	Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)						
<u>7</u>	★ Q49. Rating of Health Plan	311	76.4%	78.1%	73.6%	73.3%	71.9%
<u> </u>	★ Q9. Rating of Health Care	170	78.2%	74.6%	78.2%	74.4%	71.9% 🔺
Total Star Rating	★ Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	254	82.1%	81.8%	81.9%	78.6%	78.6%
	★ Q43. Rating of Specialist	63^	80.8%	81.8%	79.4%	75.7%	73.4%
Measures	Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)						
	Q49. Rating of Health Plan	311	90.0%	86.5%	88.4%	87.3%	86.5%
	Q9. Rating of Health Care	170	89.9%	89.8%	91.2%	88.7%	88.0%
<u>5</u>	Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	254	91.0%	91.2%	93.3%	90.8%	90.9%
_	Q43. Rating of Specialist	63^	88.5%	81.8%	88.9%	88.2%	87.0%
Above QC GP	★ Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	118	85.7%	91.9%	82.6%↓	86.6%	86.0%
Benchmark	Q10. Getting care, tests, or treatment	171	91.2%	97.4%	93.0%	90.8%	91.2%
	Q41. Getting specialist appointment	65^	80.2%	86.5%	72.3%	82.4%	79.8%
	★ Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	122	91.9%	94.4%	89.5%	87.8%	90.5%
<u>2</u>	Q4. Getting urgent care	60^	92.7%	94.2%	91.7%	91.7%	92.6%
_	Q6. Getting routine care	183	91.1%	94.6%	87.4%↓	83.8%	89.0%
At or Below QC GP	Other Measure (% Always or Usually)						
Benchmark	★ Q35. Coordination of Care	66^	85.6%	87.5%	89.4%	84.9%	86.1%

Summary Rate Scores - General Population

OTHER MEASURES (Not used for accreditation/ratings)	2021 VALID N	2019	2020	2021	2021 SPH GP BENCHMARK	2020 QC GP BENCHMARK
Customer Service (% Always or Usually)	60^	90.7%	92.4%	85.8%	88.3%	88.8%
Q45. Provided information or help	60^	86.9%	87.9%	80.0%	82.5%	83.8%
Q46. Treated with courtesy and respect	60^	94.4%	96.9%	91.7%	94.0%	93.8%
How Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	172	95.7%	98.4%	97.1%	94.5% 🔺	95.3%
Q27. Personal doctor explained things	172	97.0%	96.4%	97.1%	94.8%	95.6%
Q28. Personal doctor listened carefully	172	98.0%	99.1%	99.4%	95.9% 🔺	96.4%
Q29. Personal doctor showed respect	172	97.6%	100%	98.8%	96.9% 🔺	97.2% 🔺
Q32. Personal doctor spent enough time	172	90.2%	98.1%	93.0% ↓	90.4%	91.9%
Other Measure (% Always or Usually)						
Q48. Ease of filling out forms	302	96.2%	98.7%	96.7%	96.0%	96.5%

Summary Rate Scores - CCC Population

STAR RATING MEASURES CCC POPULATION SCORES ARE NOT USED FOR ACCREDITATION	2021 VALID N	2019	2020	2021	2021 SPH CCC BENCHMARK	2020 QC CCC BENCHMARK
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)						
★ Q49. Rating of Health Plan	222	75.4%	78.0%	72.5%	71.9%	69.3%
★ Q9. Rating of Health Care	163	75.1%	76.3%	77.9%	73.0%	71.0% 🔺
★ Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	192	83.4%	78.6%	82.3%	78.8%	78.4%
★ Q43. Rating of Specialist	80^	83.3%	80.9%	73.8%	75.7%	75.2%
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)						
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	222	87.6%	85.6%	88.7%	85.9%	84.8%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	163	88.5%	87.6%	91.4%	87.3%	87.6%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	192	92.4%	90.2%	90.6%	89.8%	90.1%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	80^	92.4%	80.9%	83.8%	88.1%	87.9%
★ Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	123	88.5%	90.1%	86.4%	88.3%	88.1%
Q10. Getting care, tests, or treatment	163	93.4%	97.9%	93.3%	91.4%	92.2%
Q41. Getting specialist appointment	83^	83.6%	82.4%	79.5%	85.3%	84.8%
★ Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	112	96.6%	95.1%	89.8% ‡	91.1%	93.5%
Q4. Getting urgent care	66^	96.0%	97.8%	90.9%	92.9%	95.3%
Q6. Getting routine care	158	97.3%	92.5%	88.6% ‡	89.3%	91.9%
Other Measure (% Always or Usually)						
★ Q35. Coordination of Care	73^	82.9%	83.7%	86.3%	83.1%	85.1%

Summary Rate Scores - CCC Population

-	R MEASURES used for accreditation/ratings)	2021 Valid n	2019	2020	2021	2021 SPH CCC BENCHMARK	2020 QC CCC BENCHMARK
С	ustomer Service (% Always or Usually)	64^	88.5%	92.5%	89.0%	90.2%	90.3%
(Q45. Provided information or help	64^	83.8%	88.9%	84.4%	85.1%	85.3%
(Q46. Treated with courtesy and respect	63^	93.2%	96.2%	93.7%	95.3%	95.4%
н	ow Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	153	96.2%	98.9%	95.1%	94.9%	95.8%
(Q27. Personal doctor explained things	154	97.1%	97.9%	95.5%	95.6%	96.3%
(228. Personal doctor listened carefully	154	97.4%	100%	96.8%↓	96.0%	96.3%
(Q29. Personal doctor showed respect	153	97.8%	100%	97.4%↓	96.8%	97.3%
(Q32. Personal doctor spent enough time	151	92.6%	97.8%	90.7%↓	91.3%	93.2%
o	other Measure (% Always or Usually)						
(Q48. Ease of filling out forms	217	95.9%	99.1%	95.9%↓	95.9%	96.4%

Summary Rate Scores - CCC Population

CCC MEASURES

	2021 Valid n	2019	2020	2021	2021 SPH CCC BENCHMARK	2020 QC CCC BENCHMARK
Q51. Access to Prescription Medicines (% Always or Usually)	179	90.0%	91.4%	90.5%	91.8%	91.3%
Access to Specialized Services (% Always or Usually)	51^	83.2%	81.0%	70.2% ‡	75.6%	74.5%
Q15. Ease of getting special medical equipment or devices	24^	85.7%	71.4%	70.8%	75.5%	NA
Q18. Ease of getting therapy	47^	82.8%	92.0%	68.1%	76.4%	74.1%
Q21. Ease of getting treatment or counseling	81^	81.0%	79.6%	71.6%	74.7%	76.9%
FCC: Personal Doctor Who Knows Child (% Yes)	140	91.8%	89.9%	87.7%	91.5%	91.6%
Q33. Doctor talked about how child is feeling, growing, and behaving	153	88.1%	87.1%	85.0%	90.3%	90.0%
Q38. Doctor understands how these conditions affect child's day-to-day life	133	95.1%	92.0%	91.0%	93.7%	93.8%
Q39. Doctor understands how these conditions affect family's day-to-day life	133	92.2%	90.7%	87.2%	90.6%	90.6%
Q8. FCC: Getting Needed Information (% Always or Usually)	162	93.1%	94.8%	92.6%	91.4%	93.1%
Coordination of Care for CCC (% Yes)	54^	79.1%	82.7%	71.6%	76.6%	76.4%
Q13. Obtained help from doctors or health providers in contacting child's school or daycare	27^	91.2%	100%	81.5%	92.9%	NA
Q24. Obtained help coordinating child's care among different providers or services	81^	66.9%	65.3%	61.7%	60.2%	58.7%

Regional Performance - General Population

	SUMMARY RATE	2021 SPH BoB REGION
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)		
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	73.6%	77.3%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	78.2%	76.8%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	81.9%	79.4%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	79.4%	79.1%
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)		
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	88.4%	89.6%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	91.2%	89.4%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	93.3%	91.2%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	88.9%	89.2%
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	82.6%	85.8%
Q10. Getting care, tests, or treatment	93.0%	89.8%
Q41. Getting specialist appointment	72.3%	81.9%
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	89.5%	86.8%
Q4. Getting urgent care	91.7%	90.9%
Q6. Getting routine care	87.4%	82.7%
Coordination of Care (Q35) (% Always or Usually)	89.4%	83.7%

HHS Regions: The regions used align with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services regions.



Region 6: Dallas

- Arkansas
- New Mexico
- Texas
- Louisiana
- Oklahoma

Significance Testing Current year score is significantly higher (�) or lower (�) than the 2021 SPH BoB Region score. MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Survey - 50

Percentile Rankings - General Population

	2021 Plan	QC						ntiles fr Compa				SPH			National Percentiles from 2021 SPH Book of Business						
	Score	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th		50 th	67 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th	33 rd	50 th	67 th		90 th	95 th
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)																					
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	73.6%	53 rd	60.6	63.6	68.9	70.6	73.3	74.6	75.5	77.9	80.5	44 th	61.6	65.4	69.8	71.5	74.2	76.4	77.4	80.3	81.8
Q9. Rating of Health Care	78.2%	91 st	63.0	66.1	69.3	70.3	72.4	74.0	75.5	77.7	79.8	79 th	66.2	68.6	71.2	72.1	74.4	76.4	77.0	80.5	82.5
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	81.9%	78 th	72.0	73.1	75.9	77.2	79.0	80.7	81.4	83.3	84.3	80 th	72.0	74.1	75.8	76.8	78.5	80.2	81.2	83.5	84.5
Q43. Rating of Specialist	79.4%	100 th	66.9	68.0	71.3	73.6	74.2	74.4	75.0	76.8	77.4	71 st	61.7	65.9	71.5	72.5	75.1	78.3	80.0	84.0	85.5
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)																					· · · ·
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	88.4%	62 nd	79.2	81.3	84.4	85.7	87.4	88.6	89.3	91.7	92.4	54 th	80.4	82.2	84.9	85.6	88.1	89.5	90.1	92.0	92.8
Q9. Rating of Health Care	91.2%	81 st	82.3	83.9	86.0	86.8	88.5	89.7	90.6	92.2	93.1	78 th	83.7	84.5	86.3	87.4	88.8	90.1	90.9	93.4	94.7
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	93.3%	86 th	86.0	87.6	89.5	90.2	91.2	92.0	92.5	93.8	94.8	82 nd	86.4	87.3	88.9	89.8	91.0	92.0	92.6	94.0	94.8
Q43. Rating of Specialist	88.9%	85 th	83.0	84.8	85.0	86.5	87.1	87.9	87.9	89.6	91.9	57 th	79.3	80.8	85.5	86.4	88.0	90.2	91.2	94.0	96.2
Getting Needed Care (% A or U)	82.6%	17 th	78.7	80.7	83.7	84.5	86.6	88.3	89.1	91.1	92.6	21 st	76.9	78.8	83.6	84.8	86.9	88.7	89.4	91.8	92.6
Q10. Getting care, tests, or treatment	93.0%	62 nd	84.8	86.7	89.1	90.0	92.0	93.3	93.8	95.4	96.2	68 th	83.6	85.6	88.3	89.1	91.0	93.0	93.3	95.0	96.0
Q41. Getting specialist appointment	72.3%	10 th	70.9	72.1	75.4	78.3	79.7	82.1	83.7	87.7	88.1	10 th	66.7	72.3	78.1	80.0	82.7	84.8	86.1	89.7	92.4
Getting Care Quickly (% A or U)	89.5%	32 nd	82.3	85.0	88.3	89.6	91.6	92.9	93.5	95.0	95.6	61 st	78.8	79.3	84.5	86.4	88.2	90.7	91.2	92.9	93.7
Q4. Getting urgent care	91.7%	32 nd	85.7	86.2	90.3	91.7	93.3	94.8	95.6	96.7	97.1	48 th	81.8	83.8	87.9	89.5	92.0	93.9	95.2	96.9	98.1
Q6. Getting routine care	87.4%	30 th	79.4	81.8	86.1	88.3	90.1	91.7	92.4	94.3	94.9	69 th	72.1	75.8	79.9	82.2	85.2	86.8	88.1	90.7	91.7
Q35. Coordination of Care (% A or U)	89.4%	76 th	77.3	79.7	83.9	85.3	87.1	88.2	89.3	90.7	91.7	77 th	73.6	76.9	81.6	82.9	85.1	87.6	88.9	92.3	94.1

Percentile Rankings - General Population

	2021 Plan	QC				ional F 20 Qu						SPH				ional F SPH E					
	Score	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th	33 rd	50 th	67 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th	33 rd	50 th	67 th	75 th	90 th	95 th
Customer Service (% A or U)	85.8%	13 th	81.7	85.1	87.0	87.3	89.0	90.3	91.1	92.9	93.8	21 st	80.5	82.8	86.1	86.8	88.5	90.4	91.1	93.1	93.7
Q45. Provided information or help	80.0%	19 th	73.0	77.0	81.0	82.0	84.4	85.9	87.3	89.5	90.4	33 rd	73.1	75.0	79.1	80.0	82.9	85.5	86.6	89.1	90.2
Q46. Treated with courtesy and respect	91.7%	21 st	90.1	91.0	92.0	92.9	94.0	94.9	95.5	97.3	97.3	19 th	87.8	90.2	92.1	92.7	94.2	95.8	96.4	98.0	98.7
How Well Doctors Communicate (% A or U)	97.1%	82 nd	91.6	92.5	94.3	94.7	95.5	96.4	96.6	97.6	98.0	82 nd	90.5	91.3	92.9	93.4	94.5	95.8	96.4	97.4	97.9
Q27. Personal doctor explained things	97.1%	67 th	90.9	92.2	94.4	95.2	96.0	97.1	97.3	98.0	98.5	77 th	89.6	91.8	93.1	93.6	94.8	96.2	96.9	98.0	98.7
Q28. Personal doctor listened carefully	99.4%	99 th	93.1	94.2	95.3	95.8	96.6	97.3	97.5	98.5	98.7	98 th	92.2	93.2	94.6	95.2	95.9	97.2	97.5	98.4	99.2
Q29. Personal doctor showed respect	98.8%	88 th	94.7	95.3	96.3	96.6	97.3	97.9	98.1	99.0	99.3	88 th	94.0	94.4	95.8	96.1	97.0	97.8	98.2	98.9	99.2
Q32. Personal doctor spent enough time	93.0%	59 th	85.5	86.9	89.0	90.6	92.5	93.7	94.3	96.4	97.2	68 th	82.7	84.5	87.9	88.5	90.7	92.8	94.0	95.5	96.5
Ease of Filling Out Forms (Q48) (% A or U)	96.7%	53 rd	93.2	94.4		96.0	96.6	97.3	97.6	98.4	98.9	60 th	92.9	93.7	94.8	95.3	96.2	96.9	97.2	98.0	98.3

Percentile Rankings - CCC Population

	2021 Plan	QC						ntiles fr Compa				SPH		National Percentiles from 2021 SPH Book of Business							
	Score	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th				75 th	90 th	95 th	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th	33 rd	50 th	67 th	75 th	90 th	95 th
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)																					
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	72.5%	63 rd	56.9	59.8	66.0	66.4	70.1	73.2	74.2	76.2	77.1	53 rd	60.9	62.7	67.7	69.2	71.9	74.9	76.3	78.0	79.7
Q9. Rating of Health Care	77.9%	87 th	62.3	62.9	67.8	68.9	71.1	73.2	74.6	78.2	78.8	79 th	63.2	64.4	69.6	70.9	73.4	75.7	77.1	79.3	79.7
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	82.3%	83 rd	73.4	74.5	75.6	77.3	78.4	79.4	81.0	83.6	84.3	71 st	73.7	74.5	76.1	77.2	79.2	81.8	82.4	84.0	85.2
Q43. Rating of Specialist	73.8%	44 th	66.7	67.7	71.7	72.1	75.5	78.0	79.8	81.5	83.6	38 th	66.7	67.7	71.1	72.0	75.0	78.7	80.2	82.7	82.8
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)	ĺ																				
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	88.7%	85 th	78.2	79.5	83.1	83.7	85.2	86.9	87.8	89.3	89.7	77 th	78.1	80.3	83.1	83.9	86.4	88.0	88.5	90.2	90.7
Q9. Rating of Health Care	91.4%	87 th	82.4	83.3	84.6	86.3	88.5	89.9	90.3	91.6	92.1	91 st	82.1	83.2	85.0	86.1	87.6	89.1	89.6	91.3	93.5
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	90.6%	52 nd	84.0	85.5	89.0	89.8	90.5	91.3	92.2	93.0	94.0	53 rd	84.9	87.0	88.1	88.5	90.4	91.5	91.9	93.7	94.1
Q43. Rating of Specialist	83.8%	14 th	82.5	83.1	85.6	87.4	88.2	89.3	89.5	92.1	92.5	20 th	80.3	82.1	84.9	86.6	88.3	90.2	91.1	92.5	93.1
Getting Needed Care (% A or U)	86.4%	26 th	80.6	83.6	86.0	86.9	88.5	90.5	91.3	92.2	93.2	30 th	80.2	82.3	85.8	86.8	88.4	90.0	90.5	92.1	92.5
Q10. Getting care, tests, or treatment	93.3%	53 rd	86.3	86.8	89.7	91.5	93.0	94.1	94.7	95.8	95.9	70 th	85.6	86.6	88.9	89.6	91.5	93.2	93.4	94.5	94.8
Q41. Getting specialist appointment	79.5%	11 th	74.8	75.7	81.8	83.1	86.1	87.9	88.8	90.5	91.0	13 th	73.8	76.8	81.8	82.8	85.3	88.2	88.7	89.9	90.6
Getting Care Quickly (% A or U)	89.8%	10 th	87.4	89.5	91.5	92.1	94.0	95.1	96.0	97.0	97.2	30 th	85.2	86.5	89.0	90.0	91.1	92.6	93.2	94.2	95.1
Q4. Getting urgent care	90.9%	7 th	89.9	91.9	93.6	93.9	95.8	97.0	97.2	98.3	99.3	28 th	85.3	87.8	90.4	91.3	93.3	94.2	95.2	97.0	97.4
Q6. Getting routine care	88.6%	23 rd	85.9	87.3	89.6	90.7	92.3	93.7	94.5	96.0	96.7	39 th	82.5	84.2	86.7	87.4	89.3	90.6	91.4	93.3	94.3
Q35. Coordination of Care (% A or U)	86.3%	59 th	76.1	78.9	84.4	84.7	85.7	86.9	87.4	88.9	92.5	81 st	72.1	79.0	80.4	81.7	83.3	84.6	85.5	89.5	91.2

Percentile Rankings - CCC Population

	2021	QC				tional P						SPH						ntiles fi			
	Plan Score	%tile	5 th	10 th	20 25 th	020 Qua 33 rd	ality C 50 th	Compa 67 th	ISS 75 th	90 th	95 th	%tile	5 th	10 th	2021 25 th	SPH E 33 rd	300k 0 50 th	of Busi 67 th	iness 75 th	90 th	95 th
Customer Service (% A or U)	89.0%		87.2				91.2	•	92.4	92.7	92.7	33 rd	83.3	85.5	87.5	89.0	90.0	91.0			94.8
Q45. Provided information or help	84.4%	37 th	80.2	80.2	82.4	83.5	86.2	87.7	87.8	89.5	89.5	50 th	75.7	77.8	82.1	82.9	84.4	87.2	88.0	91.4	92.5
Q46. Treated with courtesy and respect	93.7%	24 th	93.3	93.3	93.8	94.2	95.9	96.4	96.6	97.1	97.1	33 rd	90.9	91.4	92.7	93.6	95.2	96.6	96.8	98.0	98.3
How Well Doctors Communicate (% A or U)	95.1%	26 th	93.0	93.3	95.0	95.6	96.2	96.7	97.1	97.5	97.7	46 th	90.8	92.3	93.4	94.4	95.3	96.0	96.2	97.3	97.6
Q27. Personal doctor explained things	95.5%	28 th	92.2	94.1	95.2	96.0	96.7	97.1	97.4	98.7	99.0	40 th	91.5	92.0	94.8	95.3	95.8	96.6	97.0	97.8	98.8
Q28. Personal doctor listened carefully	96.8%	58 th	92.4	93.6	95.7	96.1	96.6	97.0	97.2	98.3	98.9	63 rd	92.9	94.0	95.2	95.4	96.4	97.1	97.5	98.0	98.3
Q29. Personal doctor showed respect	97.4%	52 nd	95.1	95.8	96.7	96.9	97.3	97.9	98.0	99.3	99.5	60 th	94.0	94.6	95.7	96.3	97.2	98.0	98.0	98.5	98.9
Q32. Personal doctor spent enough time	90.7%	19 th	88.5	88.9	91.5	93.2	93.8	94.8	95.1	96.4	96.5	39 th	84.5	85.6	88.1	89.7	91.9	93.8	94.3	95.9	96.2
Ease of Filling Out Forms (Q48) (% A or U)	95.9%		94.1	94.4					97.6	98.6	98.9	46 th	92.8	94.0	95.0	95.5	96.0	96.5	96.9	97.7	98.1

Percentile Rankings - CCC Population

CCC Population	2021 Plan Score	QC %tile	5 th	10 th	20	onal F 20 Qu 33 rd	ality C	ompa	iss	90 th	95 th	SPH %tile	5 th	10 th		onal F SPH E 33 rd	Book d		iness	90 th	95 th
Q51. Access to Prescription Medicines (% A or U)	90.5%	41 st	86.5	87.1	89.1	89.7	91.4	92.7	93.6	95.4	96.3	24 th	85.4	87.7	90.5	90.9	92.4	93.2		95.1	96.0
Access to Specialized Services (% A or U)	70.2%	22 nd	66.6	66.6	71.4	71.4	75.0	75.4	75.4	82.7	82.7	23 rd	63.2	66.6	70.6	72.6	74.8	78.0	80.4	83.8	85.2
Q15. Ease of getting special medical equipment or devices	70.8%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	36 th	57.1	61.1	68.8	70.5	75.0	81.9	84.4	86.7	90.0
Q18. Ease of getting therapy	68.1%	28 th	66.4	66.4	67.3	70.8	72.8	76.4	79.2	86.0	86.0	17 th	60.0	63.2	69.6	72.1	77.1	79.6	80.5	85.2	90.9
Q21. Ease of getting treatment or counseling	71.6%	20 th	65.6	66.9	72.4	73.1	76.9	80.4	81.9	86.3	87.3	36 th	60.3	64.4	69.4	71.2	74.7	78.1	80.4	83.6	84.5
FCC: Personal Doctor Who Knows Child (% Yes)	87.7%	6 th	86.5	88.2	90.1	91.2	91.9	93.1	93.6	94.4	94.6	<5 th	87.8	88.5	90.6	90.8	91.5	92.5	92.8	94.4	95.2
Q33. Doctor talked about how child is feeling, growing, and behaving	85.0%	8 th	83.8	87.5	88.8	89.2	90.2	91.6	92.2	92.9	93.8	5 th	85.0	86.7	88.5	89.4	90.6	91.7	92.2	93.8	94.4
Q38. Doctor understands how these conditions affect child's day-to-day life	91.0%	20 th	88.4	89.7	92.4	93.3	94.3	95.3	96.2	96.7	97.5	15 th	89.1	90.6	92.3	93.0	93.8	94.7	95.1	96.7	97.3
Q39. Doctor understands how these conditions affect family's day-to-day life	87.2%	13 th	84.6	85.6	89.1	89.8	90.6	92.6	92.9	94.0	94.7	13 th	85.5	86.8	88.9	89.9	91.0	91.7	92.0	93.9	94.9
Q8. FCC: Getting Needed Information (% A or U)	92.6%	40 th	89.1	90.3	91.7	92.1	93.4	93.8	94.7	95.6	96.7	66 th	85.5	87.3	89.4	90.2	91.7	92.6	93.0	95.1	96.9
Coordination of Care for CCC (% Yes)	71.6%	<5 th	71.9	71.9	72.0	75.2	77.9	78.9	79.0	79.6	80.3	19 th	67.4	69.3	73.6	75.2	76.7	78.5	78.9	81.4	83.3
Q13. Obtained help from doctors or health providers in contacting child's school or daycare	81.5%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	10 th	80.0	81.5	88.4	90.3	93.2	95.7	95.8	100	100
Q24. Obtained help coordinating child's care among different providers or services $% A = % A $ ways $% U = % U $ usually $% S = % S $ ometimes S	61.7%	65 th	50.0	50.8	54.5	55.3	58.7			66.9	67.0	56 th	50.3	51.2	56.1	56.9	61.1	63.0	64.2	67.8	70.2

Profile of Survey Respondents



Demographic Composition

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Profile of Survey Respondents: Section Information

Demographic Profile The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.

The percentages of respondents are displayed by demographic category (Child's Age, Gender, Health Status, Mental/Emotional Health Status, Ethnicity, and Race, as well as Respondent's Age, Gender, Education and Relation to Child) from your current survey, compared to trend data (if applicable) and the 2021 SPH Analytics Medicaid Child with CCC Book of Business and the 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Quality Compass[®] All Plans benchmarks. NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

The demographic makeup of your plan's member base may not mirror the "average" plan; therefore, caution is recommended when making comparisons to benchmark data. To help you identify how your plan's population compares to other plans and to previous data, statistically significant differences are notated. Refer to the Technical Notes for more information on this topic.

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

SPH refers to the 2021 SPH Analytics Book of Business benchmark. **QC** refers to the 2020 Quality Compass [®] All Plans benchmark.

No color denotes that there was no significant difference between the percentages or that there was insufficient sample size to conduct the statistical test. All significance testing is performed at the 95% confidence level.

Profile of Survey Respondents - General Population

NA

Survey Demographics

The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.



Child's Health Status



Child's Gender



Child's Mental/Emotional Health Status



Note: NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

Note: Due to space constraints, scores <5% will not be labeled on the graph.

Profile of Survey Respondents - General Population

Survey Demographics

The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.







Note: NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

Profile of Survey Respondents - General Population

Survey Demographics

The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.







	Male	Female
2021	10.8%	89.2%
2020	8.3%	91.7%
2019	8.6%	91.4%
SPH	12.7%	87.3%
QC	NA	NA



Respondent's Relation to Child



Note: NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

Note: Due to space constraints, scores <5% will not be labeled on the graph.

MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Survey - 60

Profile of Survey Respondents - CCC Population

Survey Demographics

The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.



Child's Health Status



Child's Gender



Child's Mental/Emotional Health Status



Note: NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

Note: Due to space constraints, scores <5% will not be labeled on the graph.

MY 2020 Medicaid Child with CCC Survey - 61

Profile of Survey Respondents - CCC Population

Survey Demographics

The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.







Note: NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

Profile of Survey Respondents - CCC Population

Survey Demographics

The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.



Respondent's Gender



	Male	Female
2021	8.9%	91.1%
2020	6.6%	93.4%
2019	9.6%	90.4%
SPH	10.2%	89.8%
QC	NA	NA



Respondent's Relation to Child



Note: NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

Note: Due to space constraints, scores <5% will not be labeled on the graph.

Demographic Segment Analyses



Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Demographic Analyses: Section Information

Segmenting Responses The CAHPS[®] 5.1H survey asks demographic questions about the respondent. This section allows you to evaluate the differences across segments of your plan's members. Reviewing measures across different survey response categories may indicate a health plan's overall ability to meet the needs of a varied population.

The percentages represent the Summary Rate for each segment. For example, in the table below, the Summary Rate for the *Rating of Health Plan* is the percentage of respondents who rated their health plan an 8, 9 or 10. The interpretation of this example would be, "Of the respondents with a high school education or less, 85% gave their health plan a rating of 8, 9 or 10. And, of the respondents with some college education or more, 80% gave their health plan a rating of 8, 9 or 10."

	High School or Less (A)	Some College or More (B)
Rating of Health Plan	85% ^B	80%

A letter and green font indicates that result is significantly higher than the corresponding column.

Segment Groups

- Rating of Health Plan (Q49)
- Rating of Health Care (Q9)
- Child's Health Status (Q53)
- Child's Mental/Emotional Health Status (Q54)
- Survey Type
- Child's Age (Q69)
- Child's Gender (Q70)
- Child's Race (Q71)
- Child's Ethnicity (Q72)
- Respondent's Age (Q73)
- Respondent's Gender (Q74)
- Respondent's Education (Q75)

		<u>ng of</u> h Plan		<u>ng of</u> h Care	Child's	s Health	<u>Status</u>	<u>Child'</u>	<u>s Mental</u> <u>Status</u>	l Health	<u>S</u>	urvey Ty	<u>/pe</u>	_	<u>Chilo</u>	l's Age	
	8-10	0-7	8-10	0-7	Excellent/ Very good	(<u>3000</u>	Fair/Poor	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Mail	Phone	Internet	0-4	5-8	9-13	14+
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)	(VV)
Total respondents	275	36	155	15^	225	63	26	211	57	44	170	105	42	61	72	79	101
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)																	
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	83.3% H	H 0.0%	79.7%	33.3%	77.7% ∟	62.9%	61.5%	74.9%	70.9%	70.5%	73.7%	73.5%	73.8%	70.5%	67.1%	78.2%	75.5%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	83.0%	33.3%	85.8%	0.0%	84.3% ∟	65.9%	64.3%	83.6% P	72.4%	64.5%	77.0%	76.8%	85.2%	88.9% U	67.5%	83.0%	73.9%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	84.7%	56.5%	86.6%	36.4%	84.6%	71.4%	81.8%	84.4%	79.5%	72.2%	80.8%	81.7%	86.1%	90.4% W	77.0%	85.9%	75.3%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	86.8%	40.0%	82.9%	57.1%	80.0%	82.6%	70.0%	85.3%	66.7%	76.5%	75.0%	87.5%	81.8%	91.7%	60.0%	88.9%	76.5%
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)																	
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	100% H	+ 0.0%	93.5%	66.7%	91.4%	83.9%	73.1%	88.9%	90.9%	84.1%	89.2%	86.3%	90.5%	90.2%	81.4%	94.9% U	86.7%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	93.5%	66.7%	100%	0.0%	93.0%	87.8%	85.7%	92.7%	89.7%	87.1%	90.8%	92.9%	88.9%	97.2% U	80.0%	95.7% U	91.3%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	95.2%	73.9%	97.9%	54.5%	94.5%	89.8%	90.9%	94.2%	90.9%	91.7%	92.0%	94.6%	94.4%	96.2%	91.8%	95.3%	90.4%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	96.2%	50.0%	95.1%	57.1%	93.3%	87.0%	80.0%	91.2%	83.3%	88.2%	86.1%	87.5%	100%	91.7%	73.3%	94.4%	94.1%
Getting Needed Care (% A or U)	85.3%	64.8%	86.5%	55.2%	82.9%	86.7%	69.3%	88.6% O	69.8%	76.4%	85.5%	77.9%	81.5%	88.3% U	68.6%	86.8% U	86.1%
Q10. Getting care, tests, or treatment	94.8%	75.0%	96.8%	53.3%	93.9%	95.2%	78.6%	97.3% P	89.7%	80.6%	93.2%	91.1%	96.3%	91.9%	87.2%	95.8%	95.7%
Q41. Getting specialist appointment	75.9%	54.5%	76.2%	57.1%	71.9%	78.3%	60.0%	80.0%	50.0%	72.2%	77.8%	64.7%	66.7%	84.6%	50.0%	77.8%	76.5%
Getting Care Quickly (% A or U)	91.5%	75.0%	93.4%	54.2%	89.8%	91.5%	83.3%	88.9%	87.4%	93.5%	89.6%	87.8%	93.1%	89.2%	88.1%	85.3%	93.7%
Q4. Getting urgent care	94.2%	75.0%	93.2%	75.0%	92.1%	93.8%	83.3%	89.5%	90.9%	100%	90.6%	89.5%	100%	90.0%	90.9%	87.5%	95.5%
Q6. Getting routine care	88.8%	75.0%	93.6%	33.3%	87.4%	89.2%	83.3%	88.3%	83.9%	87.1%	88.5%	86.2%	86.2%	88.4%	85.3%	83.0%	92.0%
Coordination of Care (Q35) (% A or U)	91.4%	75.0%	94.1%	100%	88.6%	92.3%	88.9%	95.1%	80.0%	80.0%	86.7%	88.0%	100%	87.5%	75.0%	100%	93.8%

		ng of h Plan		ng of n Care	Child's	s Health	<u>Status</u>	Child's	<u>Mental</u> Status	<u>l Health</u>	<u>s</u>	urvey Ty	/ <u>pe</u>		Child	d's Age	
	8-10	0-7	8-10	0-7	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Mail	Phone	Internet	0-4	5-8	9-13	14+
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(∨)	(W)
Total respondents	275	36	155	15^	225	63	26	211	57	44	170	105	42	61	72	79	101
Customer Service (% A or U)	89.3%	62.5%	87.9%	100%	84.5%	100%	77.8%	84.6%	100%	80.8%	93.3%	77.5%	80.0%	88.9%	70.0%	91.7%	93.3%
Q45. Provided information or help	82.4%	62.5%	81.8%	100%	76.2%	100%	77.8%	76.9%	100%	76.9%	93.3%	70.0%	60.0%	83.3%	60.0%	91.7%	86.7%
Q46. Treated with courtesy and respect	96.2%	62.5%	93.9%	100%	92.9%	100%	77.8%	92.3%	100%	84.6%	93.3%	85.0%	100%	94.4%	80.0%	91.7%	100%
How Well Doctors Communicate (% A or U)	97.2%	95.6%	98.0%	82.5%	97.5%	94.9%	98.5%	98.5%	97.3%	91.7%	98.0%	96.1%	96.6%	96.6%	96.9%	97.7%	96.8%
Q27. Personal doctor explained things	97.4%	94.1%	97.6%	80.0%	96.7%	97.1%	100%	98.2%	96.4%	93.3%	98.8%	94.7%	96.6%	97.3%	95.0%	97.7%	97.9%
Q28. Personal doctor listened carefully	99.4%	100%	100%	90.0%	100%	97.1%	100%	100%	100%	96.7%	100%	98.2%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97.9%
Q29. Personal doctor showed respect	98.7%	100%	99.2%	90.0%	100%	94.1%	100%	100%	100%	93.3%	98.8%	98.2%	100%	100%	100%	97.7%	97.9%
Q32. Personal doctor spent enough time	93.5%	88.2%	95.3%	70.0%	93.4%	91.2%	94.1%	95.6%	92.9%	83.3%	94.2%	93.0%	89.7%	89.2%	92.5%	95.5%	93.6%
Other Measures																	
Q48. Ease of filling out forms (% A or U)	96.6%	97.1%	97.3%	100%	96.7%	96.8%	100%	97.0%	96.4%	97.6%	97.5%	97.0%	92.1%	98.3%	97.0%	93.5%	99.0%
Q7. Average number of visits to doctor's office or clinic	1.6	2.1	2.8	2.5	1.4	2.1	2.5	1.4	1.5	3.0 NO	1.4	1.6	2.4	2.2 L	J 1.3	1.7	1.4
Q26. Average number of visits to personal doctor	1.5	3.2	2.2	2.0	1.4	1.7	3.7	1.5	1.6	2.6	1.7	1.5	2.0	2.3	1.5	1.5	1.5
Q42. Average number of specialists seen	1.5	2.3	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.3	3.1	1.6	1.2	2.1	1.6	2.1	1.3	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.5

	<u>Child's</u>	Gender			<u>Child</u>	's Race				ild's nicity	Ē	Respond	<u>ent's Ac</u>	<u>le</u>		ndent's nder		ndent's cation
	Male	Female	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other	Hispanic	Not Hispanic	24 or younger	25-34	35-44	45+	Male	Female	High School or Less	Some College or More
	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(O)
Total respondents	175	141	151	130	13^	2^	13^	29	33	271	58	72	94	88	34	280	164	145
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)																		
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	72.8%	74.6%	72.7%	73.4%	61.5%	100%	69.2%	75.0%	78.1%	73.0%	69.6%	73.2%	72.3%	79.3%	67.7%	74.2%	76.5%	71.3%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	73.4%	84.2%	81.1%	73.0%	100%	0.0%	71.4%	70.0%	80.0%	78.0%	67.7%	76.9%	82.4%	83.3%	60.0%	80.0%	83.1%	73.6%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	79.6%	84.8%	80.5%	80.6%	100%	100%	72.7%	72.7%	84.6%	81.6%	76.2%	79.4%	86.8%	81.4%	87.0%	81.3%	84.4%	78.5%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	77.8%	81.5%	90.3%	79.2%	75.0%	100%	100%	50.0%	75.0%	82.1%	70.0%	100%	80.8%	75.0%	50.0%	80.3%	85.2%	77.1%
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)																		
Q49. Rating of Health Plan	86.7%	90.6%	90.0%	85.2%	92.3%	100%	100%	92.9%	93.8%	87.6%	83.9%	91.5%	88.3%	90.8%	83.9%	88.9%	88.9%	88.1%
Q9. Rating of Health Care	89.4%	93.4%	90.5%	92.1%	100%	0.0%	85.7%	90.0%	86.7%	91.3%	87.1%	92.3%	92.2%	91.7%	86.7%	91.6%	91.6%	90.8%
Q36. Rating of Personal Doctor	93.7%	92.9%	93.0%	93.2%	100%	100%	90.9%	95.5%	100%	92.2%	92.9%	95.2%	93.4%	91.4%	100%	92.6%	94.5%	91.7%
Q43. Rating of Specialist	86.1%	92.6%	96.8%	83.3%	75.0%	100%	100%	83.3%	75.0%	91.1%	90.0%	100%	88.5%	87.5%	50.0%	90.2%	88.9%	91.4%
Getting Needed Care (% A or U)	85.2%	78.9%	89.0%	84.1%	50.0%	100%	92.9%	86.7%	65.0%	84.1%	89.3%	81.2%	79.4%	83.3%	93.3%	82.5%	79.2%	85.1%
Q10. Getting care, tests, or treatment	91.5%	94.8%	93.7%	92.2%	100%	100%	85.7%	90.0%	80.0%	94.0%	96.9%	92.3%	92.2%	91.7%	86.7%	93.6%	92.9%	93.1%
Q41. Getting specialist appointment	78.9%	63.0%	84.4%	76.0%	0.0%	100%	100%	83.3%	50.0%	74.1%	81.8%	70.0%	66.7%	75.0%	100%	71.4%	65.5%	77.1%
Getting Care Quickly (% A or U)	89.5%	89.5%	93.3%	88.4%	50.0%	75.0%	91.7%	85.2%	56.0%	91.1%	94.3%	86.6%	87.0%	93.2%	93.8%	89.3%	87.1%	91.9%
Q4. Getting urgent care	94.3%	88.0%	96.6%	90.9%	50.0%	100%	100%	85.7%	33.3%	94.6%	100%	90.9%	88.0%	93.3%	100%	91.2%	92.0%	91.4%
Q6. Getting routine care	84.6%	91.0%	90.1%	85.9%	50.0%	50.0%	83.3%	84.6%	78.6%	87.6%	88.6%	82.2%	86.0%	93.0%	87.5%	87.3%	82.2%	92.3% n
Coordination of Care (Q35) (% A or U)	91.7%	86.7%	85.3%	93.3%	66.7%	100%	0.0%	66.7%	100%	91.8%	90.9%	86.7%	86.4%	100%	80.0%	90.2%	92.9%	89.2%

	<u>Child's</u>	<u>s Gender</u>			<u>Child</u>	<u>'s Race</u>				ild's nicity	Ē	Respond	lent's Ag	<u>1e</u>		<u>ndent's</u> nder		ndent's cation
	Male	Female	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native		Hispanic	Not Hispanic	24 or younger	25-34	35-44	45+	Male	Female	High School or Less	Some College or More
To to the owned on to	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(0)
Total respondents Customer Service (% A or U)	175 82.1%	141 90.4%	151 88.9%	130 88.0%	13^ 83.3%	2^ NA	13^ 50.0%	29 50.0%	33 75.0%	271 88.0%	58 91.7%	72 76.5%	94 84.0%	88 97.2%	34 70.8%	280 86.7%	164 83.3%	145 94.0%
· · · · ·																		
Q45. Provided information or help	79.4%	80.8%	85.2%	84.0%	66.7%	NA	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	84.0%	83.3%	64.7%	83.3%	94.4%	75.0%	80.4%	78.8%	88.0%
Q46. Treated with courtesy and respect	84.8%	100%	92.6%	92.0%	100%	NA	100%	100%	100%	92.0%	100%	88.2%	84.6%	100%	66.7%	93.0%	87.9%	100%
How Well Doctors Communicate (% A or U)	97.4%	96.7%	96.7%	96.8%	95.0%	100%	83.3%	92.5%	91.1%	97.7%	97. 1%	97.4%	97.9%	96.4%	93.1%	97.6%	98.3%	96.1%
Q27. Personal doctor explained things	96.9%	97.4%	97.8%	95.8%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92.9%	97.4%	97.1%	97.4%	97.9%	95.9%	100%	96.8%	97.7%	96.4%
Q28. Personal doctor listened carefully	100%	98.7%	100%	98.6%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99.3%	100%	100%	100%	98.0%	100%	99.4%	100%	98.8%
Q29. Personal doctor showed respect	100%	97.4%	98.9%	98.6%	100%	100%	83.3%	90.0%	92.9%	99.3%	100%	100%	97.9%	98.0%	94.4%	99.4%	100%	97.6%
Q32. Personal doctor spent enough time	92.7%	93.4%	90.1%	94.4%	80.0%	100%	50.0%	80.0%	78.6%	94.7%	91.4%	92.3%	95.7%	93.9%	77.8%	94.8%	95.4%	91.6%
Other Measures																		
Q48. Ease of filling out forms (% A or U)	97.7%	95.4%	97.2%	97.6%	100%	50.0%	100%	92.6%	93.5%	96.9%	98.2%	97.1%	93.3%	98.8%	97.0%	96.6%	96.8%	97.2%
Q7. Average number of visits to doctor's office or clinic	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.7	0.4	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.9	1.2	1.7	1.5	1.8
Q26. Average number of visits to personal doctor	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.7	2.1 i	1.3	1.4	1.8	2.6	1.6	1.7	1.6
Q42. Average number of specialists seen	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.4	2.5	1.6	1.8	1.5

Demographic Segments - CCC Population

		<u>ng of</u> h Plan	<u>Ratir</u> Health	<u>ng of</u> n Care	<u>Child's</u>	Health	<u>Status</u>	<u>Child's</u>	Mental Status	<u>Health</u>	<u>s</u>	urvey Ty	<u>/pe</u>		<u>Child</u>	<u>s Age</u>	
	8-10	0-7	8-10	0-7	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Mail	Phone	Internet	0-4	5-8	9-13	14+
	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(∨)	(VV)	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Total respondents	197	25	149	14^	125	64	34	95	59	69	135	66	24	21	45	76	81
Q51. Access to Prescription Medicines (% A or U)	92.5%	76.5%	91.5%	75.0%	91.3%	93.6%	82.1%	92.2%	93.8%	85.2%	89.3%	90.9%	95.2%	93.8%	83.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Access to Specialized Services (% A or U)	79.0%	15.6%	74.4%	NA	82.4%	69.0%	52.7%	78.2%	65.3%	64.6%	79.6%	64.4%	53.3%	52.0%	78.1%	71.7%	66.7%
Q15. Ease of getting special medical equipment or devices	85.0%	0.0%	75.0%	NA	88.9%	57.1%	62.5%	76.9%	50.0%	71.4%	77.8%	70.0%	60.0%	66.7%	100%	66.7%	66.7%
Q18. Ease of getting therapy	75.0%	16.7%	72.7%	40.0%	80.0%	83.3%	35.7%	77.8%	77.8%	52.6%	75.0%	66.7%	50.0%	69.2%	69.2%	73.3%	50.0%
Q21. Ease of getting treatment or counseling	77.1%	30.0%	75.4%	44.4%	78.4%	66.7%	60.0%	80.0%	68.2%	69.8%	86.0%	z 56.7%	50.0%	20.0%	65.0%	75.0%	83.3%
FCC: Personal Doctor Who Knows Child (% Yes)	89.5%	75.6%	90.1%	69.4%	91.4%	86.4%	82.3%	96.8% X	91.3% X	76.9%	84.8%	90.4%	94. 1%	82.7%	89.5%	90.9%	86.4%
Q33. Doctor talked about how child is feeling, growing, and behaving	85.7%	75.0%	86.3%	55.6%	89.5%	76.9%	84.6%	90.5% ×	94.7% ×	70.6%	81.8%	87.2%	94.4%	82.4%	87.9%	90.2%	80.4%
Q38. Doctor understands how these conditions affect child's day-to-day life	93.2%	78.6%	94.1%	77.8%	92.4%	94.9%	84.6%	100% ×	90.9%	84.0%	88.9%	93.2%	94.1%	85.7%	90.6%	92.5%	91.5%
Q39. Doctor understands how these conditions affect family's day-to-day life	89.7%	73.3%	90.1%	75.0%	92.2%	87.5%	77.8%	100% ^W _X	88.2%	76.0%	83.8%	90.7%	93.8%	80.0%	90.0%	90.0%	87.2%
Q8. FCC: Getting Needed Information (% A or U)	94.5%	78.6%	94.6%	69.2%	97.8% т	83.0%	91.3%	95.7%	90.9%	89.6%	94.6%	89.1%	91.3%	94.4%	94.6%	96.4%	86.3%
Coordination of Care for CCC (% Yes)	75.1%	52.2%	78.4%	55.0%	65.3%	82.1%	81.9%	70.0%	81.3%	75.2%	78.3%	77.8%	40.8%	85.0%	65.2%	63.4%	81.3%
Q13. Obtaining help from doctors or health providers in contacting child's school or daycare	86.4%	60.0%	94.7%	50.0%	75.0%	100%	88.9%	80.0%	100%	85.7%	91.7%	90.0%	40.0%	100%	77.8%	62.5%	100%
Q24. Obtaining help coordinating child's care among different providers or services	63.9%	44.4%	62.1%	60.0%	55.6%	64.3%	75.0%	60.0%	62.5%	64.7%	65.0%	65.5%	41.7%	70.0%	52.6%	64.3%	62.5%

Demographic Segments - CCC Population

	Child's	Gender			<u>Child</u>	's Race				<u>ild's</u> nicity	Ē	Respond	ent's Ag	le		<u>ndent's</u> nder		ndent's ation
	Male	Female	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other	Hispanic	Not Hispanic	24 or younger	25-34	35-44	45+	Male	Female	High School or Less	Some College or More
	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(∨)	(W)
Total respondents	132	92	122	98	2^	0^	11^	9^	10^	204	31	42	63	86	20	204	100	121
Q51. Access to Prescription Medicines (% A or U)	88.1%	94.2%	90.8%	89.6%	100%		90.0%	85.7%	87.5%	91.4%	92.0%	85.7%	91.5%	93.0%	75.0%	92.0%	94.9%	87.8%
Access to Specialized Services (% A or U)	62.8%	80.8% f	78.5%	64.0%	NA		28.6%	63.3%	75.0%	70.6%	84.1%	66.7%	63.1%	74.6%	83.3%	69.1%	73.1%	68.9%
Q15. Ease of getting special medical equipment or devices	63.6%	76.9%	87.5%	57.1%	0.0%		0.0%	100%	100%	69.6%	75.0%	80.0%	60.0%	80.0%	100%	69.6%	75.0%	66.7%
Q18. Ease of getting therapy	58.6%	83.3%	69.2%	68.2%	NA		0.0%	50.0%	100%	68.9%	85.7%	70.0%	64.3%	66.7%	50.0%	68.9%	70.0%	69.2%
Q21. Ease of getting treatment or counseling	66.0%	82.1%	78.7%	66.7%	NA		85.7%	40.0%	25.0%	73.3%	91.7%	50.0%	65.0%	77.1%	100%	68.9%	74.2%	70.8%
FCC: Personal Doctor Who Knows Child (% Yes)	87.5%	88.0%	91.8%	84.6%	0.0%		72.7%	70.8%	80.6%	88.9%	85.1%	85.1%	87.3%	91.6%	86.1%	87.7%	86.8%	89.2%
Q33. Doctor talked about how child is feeling, growing, and behaving	84.9%	84.8%	85.5%	84.4%	NA		62.5%	62.5%	66.7%	86.9%	80.8%	85.7%	83.3%	88.5%	91.7%	84.3%	81.3%	88.4%
Q38. Doctor understands how these conditions affect child's day-to-day life	89.4%	93.6%	97.5% i	85.7%	100%		88.9%	83.3%	100%	91.1%	89.5%	87.0%	92.1%	94.1%	88.9%	91.1%	92.3%	91.0%
Q39. Doctor understands how these conditions affect family's day-to-day life	88.1%	85.4%	92.5%	83.7%	100%		66.7%	66.7%	75.0%	88.6%	85.0%	82.6%	86.5%	92.2%	77.8%	87.8%	86.8%	88.3%
Q8. FCC: Getting Needed Information (% A or U)	91.6%	93.9%	95.6%	89.1%	100%		100%	100%	100%	91.9%	88.0%	96.9%	88.9%	96.6%	84.6%	93.2%	90.9%	94.7%
Coordination of Care for CCC (% Yes)	69.6%	74.5%	74.6%	65.0%	NA		33.3%	100%	100%	69. 1%	0.0%	68.3%	69. 1%	71.8%	100%	69.5%	74.8%	70.9%
Q13. Obtaining help from doctors or health providers in contacting child's school or daycare	80.0%	83.3%	92.3%	64.3%	NA		50.0%	100%	100%	80.0%	NA	75.0%	72.7%	91.7%	100%	79.2%	88.9%	77.8%
Q24. Obtaining help coordinating child's care among different providers or services	59.2%	65.6%	56.8%	65.7%	NA		16.7%	100%	100%	58.1%	76.9%	61.5%	65.4%	51.9%	100%	59.7%	60.7%	64.0%

Supplemental Questions

Results for Supplemental Questions

• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)


Supplemental Questions - General Population

Survey Item	Opt-out Responses			Category R	esponses	Plan S	Summary Rate	Score	2021 SPH BoB
Survey kein	Out of 317 Total Respondents		Base	ed on Valid Respo	onses Per Question	2019	2020	2021	Summary Rate Score
Q77. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were		Always	Usually	<u>Sometimes</u>	Never	(n = 382)	(n = 158)	(n = 309)	
comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs?		11.3%	3.6%	10.7%	74.4%	82.7%	84.8%	85.1%	
Q78. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your child's cultural		Always	<u>Usually</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	Never			(n = 299)	
needs?		57.9%	12.7%	8.0%	21.4%			70.6%	
Q79. In the last 6 months, were you given as much		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>				(n = 31)	(n = 298)	
information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your child's condition?		81.5%	18.5%				80.6%	81.5%	
Q80. In the last 6 months, were you given information		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>				(n = 31)	(n = 307)	
about your child's rights as a patient?		75.6%	24.4%				93.5%	75.6%↓	
Q81. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>				(n = 32)	(n = 300)	
specific type of medicine or treatment for your child?		61.0%	39.0%				71.9%	61.0%	
Q82. Some health plans help with transportation for your child to get to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or		Yes	No					(n = 306)	
payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your child's health plan to get help with transportation for your child?		2.9%	97.1%					2.9%	

Summary Rate Indicator	Significar
Grev shading indicates that the response	Current ve

nce Testing

G is included in the summary rate score.

year score is significantly higher than 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\triangledown).

Low Base

Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Observation Demographic Segments - General Population

Summary Rate Score		ing of th Plan		<u>ng of</u> h Care	<u>Child's</u>	s Health	<u>Status</u>	<u>Child's</u>	<u>s Menta</u> <u>Status</u>	<u>l Health</u>	<u>s</u>	urvey Ty	/ <u>pe</u>		<u>Chilo</u>	<u>d's Age</u>	
Summary Rate Score	8-10	0-7	8-10	0-7	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Mail	Phone	Internet	0-4	5-8	9-13	14+
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(∨)	(W)
Total respondents	275	36	155	15^	225	63	26	211	57	44	170	105	42	61	72	79	101
Q77. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs? (% Never or Sometimes)	85.6%	82.9%	83.6%	66.7%	83.4%	92.1% K	80.8%	86.3%	82.1%	81.8%	85.2%	87.8%	78.6%	85.0%	75.4%	85.7%	90.9% U
Q78. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your child's cultural needs? (% Always or Usually)	71.6%	62.9%	86.0%	73.3%	70.7%	74.2%	61.5%	70.7%	67.9%	74.4%	70.4%	69.7%	73.7%	69.0%	72.1%	71.6%	70.5%
Q79. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your child's condition? (% Yes)	83.1%	71.4%	87.7%	80.0%	80.4%	86.9%	76.0%	82.8%	81.1%	76.7%	78.5%	84.5%	86.8%	83.1%	79.4%	85.3%	79.3%
Q80. In the last 6 months, were you given information about your child's rights as a patient? (% Yes)	75.7%	75.0%	81.2%	66.7%	74.1%	80.6%	76.9%	76.4%	76.8%	75.0%	77.0%	70.6%	82.5%	75.4%	76.5%	74.0%	76.3%
Q81. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a specific type of medicine or treatment for your child? (% Yes)	59.9%	70.6%	68.7%	80.0%	62.4%	60.7%	50.0%	60.4%	64.3%	58.1%	57.7%	65.0%	64.9%	56.9%	69.6%	60.3%	58.3%
Q82. Some health plans help with transportation for your child to get to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your child's health plan to get help with transportation for your child? (% Yes)	3.0%	2.8%	3.3%	0.0%	1.9%	4.9%	7.7%	1.5%	7.1%	4.7%	4.9% R	s 1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	5.3% т	2.1%

A letter and green font indicates that result is significantly higher than the corresponding column. Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Operation Demographic Segments - General Population

	<u>Child's</u>	s Gender			<u>Child</u>	<u>l's Race</u>				<u>iild's</u> nicity	Ē	Respond	lent's Ag	le		ndent's nder		ondent's cation
Summary Rate Score	Male	Female	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Alaska		Hispanic	Not	24 or younger	25-34	35-44	45+	Male	Female	High School or Less	Some College or More
	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(O)
Total respondents	s 175	141	151	130	13^	2^	13^	29	33	271	58	72	94	88	34	280	164	145
Q77. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs? (% Never or Sometimes)	84.3%	86.1%	86.7%	84.9%	84.6%	100%	83.3%	89.3%	87.9%	84.8%	79.3%	88.2%	87.2%	83.9%	76.5%	86.2%	82.1%	88.0%
Q78. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your child's cultural needs? (% Always or Usually)	74.8%	65.4%	75.2%	72.0%	15.4%	100%	53.8%	55.2%	40.6%	73.4% f	70.9%	68.7%	75.0%	67.5%	48.4%	73.1% ।	63.1%	81.0% n
Q79. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your child's condition? (% Yes)	83.3%	79.4%	85.6%	80.7%	50.0%	100%	83.3%	75.0%	65.6%	83.1% f	81.1%	81.2%	84.3%	80.0%	72.4%	82.5%	75.6%	88.4% n
Q80. In the last 6 months, were you given information about your child's rights as a patient? (% Yes)	78.2%	72.3%	72.1%	80.8%	53.8%	100%	76.9%	65.5%	57.6%	78.6% f	82.1%	71.8%	78.0%	71.3%	65.6%	76.7%	70.4%	80.4% n
Q81. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a specific type of medicine or treatment for your child? (% Yes)	60.0%	62.2%	67.8%	60.7%	30.8%	50.0%	61.5%	53.6%	31.3%	64.1% f	57.1%	65.7%	60.9%	59.0%	46.7%	62.6%	52.2%	72.5% n

A letter and green font indicates that result is significantly higher than the corresponding column. Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Observation Demographic Segments - General Population

	<u>Child's</u>	Gender			<u>Child'</u>	<u>s Race</u>				<u>ld's</u> nicity	<u>R</u>	espond	ent's Ag	<u>e</u>		ndent's nder		ndent's ation
Summary Rate Score	Male	Female	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other	Hispanic	Not Hispanic	24 or younger	25-34	35-44	45+	Male	Female	High School or Less	Some College or More
	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(O)
Total respondents	175	141	151	130	13^	2^	13^	29	33	271	58	72	94	88	34	280	164	145
Q82. Some health plans help with transportation for your child to get to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your child's health plan to get help with transportation for your child? (% Yes)	2.4%	3.6%	0.7%	4.8% Z	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	2.7% f	3.7%	4.2%	1.1%	3.4%	0.0%	3.3%	3.1%	2.8%

Supplemental Questions – CCC Population

	Onf	-out Respons	202			Category R	esnonses		Plan S	ummary Rate	Score	2021
Survey Item		225 Total Respo			Base		onses Per Questio	n	2019	2020	2021	SPH BoB Summary Rate Score
Q77. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were				<u>Always</u>	<u>Usually</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	Never		(n = 357)	(n = 121)	(n = 224)	
comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs?				9.4%	4.5%	10.7%	75.4%		82.1%	86.0%	86.2%	
Q78. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your child's cultural				<u>Always</u>	<u>Usually</u>	Sometimes	Never				(n = 221)	
needs?				62.4%	16.3%	5.4%	15.8%				78.7%	
Q79. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to				<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>					(n = 62)	(n = 222)	
manage your child's condition?				85.1%	14.9%					87.1%	85.1%	
Q80. In the last 6 months, were you given information				Yes	<u>No</u>					(n = 62)	(n = 220)	
about your child's rights as a patient?				82.3%	17.7%					98.4%	82.3%↓	
Q81. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a				<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>					(n = 62)	(n = 217)	
specific type of medicine or treatment for your child?				69.6%	30.4%					77.4%	69.6%	
Q82. Some health plans help with transportation for your child to get to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your child's health plan to get help with transportation for your child?				<u>Yes</u>	No						(n = 224)	
				4.5%	95.5%						4.5%	

Summary	Rate	Indicator	
---------	------	-----------	--

Significance Testing

Grey shading indicates that the response is included in the summary rate score.

Current year score is significantly higher than 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\triangledown).

Low Base

Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Demographic Segments – CCC Population

		<u>ng of</u> h Plan		<u>ng of</u> h Care	<u>Child's</u>	s Health	<u>Status</u>	<u>Child'</u>	<u>s Mental</u> <u>Status</u>		<u>S</u>	urvey Ty	/ <u>pe</u>		<u>Chilo</u>	l's Age	
Summary Rate Score	8-10	0-7	8-10	0-7	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Excellent/ Very good	(iood	Fair/Poor	Mail	Phone	Internet	0-4	5-8	9-13	14+
	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(∨)	(VV)	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)
Total respondents	197	25	149	14^	125	64	34	95	59	69	135	66	24	21	45	76	81
Q77. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs? (% Never or Sometimes)	86.7%	84.0%	87.2%	57.1%	85.5%	87.5%	85.3%	89.5%	81.0%	85.5%	88.9%	87.9%	65.2%	90.5%	79.5%	88.2%	86.4%
Q78. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your child's cultural needs? (% Always or Usually)	80.9%	60.0%	84.9%	64.3%	84.4% U	76.2%	61.8%	80.0%	81.8%	73.9%	76.5%	80.3%	87.0%	76.2%	84.1%	78.4%	76.3%
Q79. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your child's condition? (% Yes)	86.2%	75.0%	89.9%	50.0%	85.5%	91.9%	76.5%	89.5% ×	87.9%	77.6%	82.7%	89.4%	87.0%	70.0%	82.2%	90.8%	84.8%
Q80. In the last 6 months, were you given information about your child's rights as a patient? (% Yes)	83.9%	68.0%	87.6%	64.3%	80.5%	87.1%	81.8%	86.2%	80.7%	77.6%	77.1%	92.4% Y	82.6%	80.0%	81.8%	85.3%	82.3%
Q81. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a specific type of medicine or treatment for your child? (% Yes)	72.1%	50.0%	71.3%	69.2%	72.1%	71.0%	59.4%	71.7%	70.2%	66.7%	65.2%	75.4%	80.0%	63.2%	79.5%	69.9%	65.8%
Q82. Some health plans help with transportation for your child to get to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your child's health plan to get help with transportation for your child? (% Yes)	4.6%	4.0%	4.7%	0.0%	2.4%	7.9%	5.9%	3.2%	6.8%	4.4%	5.2%	3.0%	4.2%	0.0%	4.4%	5.3%	3.8%

A letter and green font indicates that result is significantly higher than the corresponding column. Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Demographic Segments – CCC Population

	<u>Child's Ge</u>					l's Race				<u>iild's</u> nicity	ļ	Respond	dent's Ag	<u>je</u>		ondent's nder		ondent's cation
Summary Rate Score	Male	Female	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Indian or		Hispanic	Not	24 or younger	. 25-34	35-44	45+	Male	Female	High School or Less	Some College or More
	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(S)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)
Total respondents	s 132	92	122	98	2^	0^	11^	9^	10^	204	31	42	63	86	20	204	100	121
Q77. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs? (% Never or Sometimes)	84.8%	87.9%	86.8%	86.7%	100%		72.7%	88.9%	100%	85.7%	80.0%	88.1%	88.9%	86.0%	70.0%	87.7%	84.8%	89.3%
Q78. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your child's cultural needs? (% Always or Usually)	79.2%	78.0%	83.2%	75.3%	50.0%		72.7%	55.6%	60.0%	79.5%	86.7%	83.3%	76.2%	77.1%	73.7%	79.1%	70.1%	86.7% v
Q79. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your child's condition? (% Yes)	86.2%	83.5%	89.2%	84.5%	50.0%		81.8%	77.8%	90.0%	84.6%	93.1%	78.6%	81.0%	89.4%	84.2%	85.1%	84.7%	86.0%
Q80. In the last 6 months, were you given information about your child's rights as a patient? (% Yes)	83.8%	79.8%	83.6%	84.0%	50.0%		81.8%	62.5%	80.0%	83.1%	78.6%	81.0%	84.1%	83.3%	80.0%	82.9%	78.0%	85.5%
Q81. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a specific type of medicine or treatment for your child? (% Yes)	69.8%	70.1%	76.5% i	i 60.9%	50.0%		63.6%	66.7%	80.0%	70.6%	71.0%	74.4%	64.5%	69.5%	60.0%	70.9%	62.6%	75.4% v

A letter and green font indicates that result is significantly higher than the corresponding column. Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Demographic Segments – CCC Population

	<u>Child's</u>	Gender		Child's Race						<u>ld's</u> nicity	R	espond	ent's Ag	<u>e</u>		<u>ndent's</u> nder		ndent's cation
Summary Rate Score	Male	Female	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other	Hispanic	Not	24 or younger	25-34	35-44	45+	Male	Female	High School or Less	Some College or More
	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(V)	(w)
Total respondents	: 132	92	122	98	2^	0^	11^	9^	10^	204	31	42	63	86	20	204	100	121
Q82. Some health plans help with transportation for your child to get to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your child's health plan to get help with transportation for your child? (% Yes)	3.1%	6.5%	0.8%	7.1% h	0.0%		0.0%	11.1%	10.0%	3.0%	6.5%	4.8%	4.8%	3.5%	0.0%	4.9%	3.0%	5.8%

Appendix: Correlation Analyses

Plan Specific Correlations

• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Correlation Analyses

Highest Correlations

Below are the 10 key measures with the highest correlations to the Rating measures.

	With Health Care Rating	
Q36	Personal doctor overall	0.6300
Q4	Got urgent care	0.4680
Q10	Got care/tests/treatment	0.4283
Q28	Dr. listened carefully	0.3710
Q49	Health plan overall	0.3688
Q6	Got routine care	0.3648
Q29	Dr. showed respect	0.3554
Q27	Dr. explained things	0.3064
Q43	Specialist overall	0.3005

0.2943

Q32 Dr. spent enough time

	With Personal Doctor Rating	J
Q9	Health care overall	0.6300
Q28	Dr. listened carefully	0.5266
Q35	Dr. informed about care	0.4410
Q31	Dr. explained things for child	0.4131
Q49	Health plan overall	0.3947
Q27	Dr. explained things	0.3746
Q32	Dr. spent enough time	0.3607
Q29	Dr. showed respect	0.3539
Q10	Got care/tests/treatment	0.2927
Q6	Got routine care	0.1408

	With Specialist Rating	
Q49	Health plan overall	0.6791
Q10	Got care/tests/treatment	0.3568
Q35	Dr. informed about care	0.3129
Q4	Got urgent care	0.3107
Q45	CS provided info./help	0.3037
Q9	Health care overall	0.3005
Q41	Got specialist appt.	0.1885
Q32	Dr. spent enough time	0.1589
Q46	CS courtesy/respect	0.1068
Q6	Got routine care	0.0848

Appendix: Flowchart



Understanding Relative Performance of Composite Measures

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Flowchart – Understanding Relative Performance

How composite questions perform relative to each other

4	

Composite summary rate scores are displayed in the orange box.



Next to the composite score are the questions included in the composite.



There are two numbers in the boxes under the questions. The top number is how much that question contributes to the composite score (Actual Contribution). The bottom number is the gap between the Maximum and Actual Contribution.

Plan Score	Maximum	Actual	Maximum	_ Actual =	Gap
X	Contribution =	- Contribution	Contribution	Contribution	
Max Score					

Q6 Example:





For the pie chart, the dark blue is the sum of the Actual Contributions and the light blue slice is the sum of the Gaps.



Flowchart – Understanding Relative Performance - General Population



* The How Well Doctors Communicate and Customer Service composites are not used in NCQA ratings.

Appendix: Accreditation



Estimated NCQA Plan Ratings and Frequency Distributions

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Estimated NCQA Health Insurance Plan Ratings

EXPLANATION Beginning in 2020, NCQA made significant changes to Health Plan Accreditation. CAHPS[®] is no longer scored using 3-point scores for purposes of health plan accreditation. Instead, health plans are scored on a 1-5 star rating system based on HEDIS and CAHPS measures, with a bonus available for plans successfully completing the review of standards and guidelines.

The information contained in this report uses the methodology described by NCQA, but **only the NCQA results are official**. Results in this report should be used for quality improvement purposes only. The image to the right lists the measures from CAHPS required for Health Plan Accreditation as published by NCQA. Additional pages of required measures are available via the link provided.

- NCQA calculates health plan ratings (HPR) by evaluating plans in three categories: consumer satisfaction, clinical quality (includes prevention and treatment), and NCQA Accreditation Standards score.
- The overall NCQA star rating is the weighted average of an organization's HEDIS and CAHPS measure ratings, plus Accreditation bonus points (if the organization has NCQA Accreditation), rounded to the nearest half point.
- The CAHPS measures are classified based on their national percentile (10th, 33rd, 67th and 90th) into scores ranging from 1 to 5 (in increments of 0.5), where 5 is the highest score and 1 is the lowest. Percentiles and ratings are estimated based on the 2020 NCQA Quality Compass data and benchmarks.

Rating = 1	Rating = 2	Rating = 3	Rating = 4	Rating = 5
<10 th	10 th – 32 rd	33 rd – 66 th	67 th – 90 th	>90 th
Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile

Note: The **COVID-19** pandemic and the associated strain on the health care system led NCQA to decide not to publish Health Plan Ratings in 2020. Note: Because 3-point scores are no longer used by NCQA, SPH does not calculate 3-point scores and accreditation thresholds within this report.

Required HEDIS and CAHPS Measures for HEDIS Reporting Year 2021

HEDIS/CAHPS Measures Required for HP Accreditation—Medicaid

	Measure Name	Web Display Name	Weight*
PATIE	NT EXPERIENCE		
Gettin	g Care		
Getting	Needed Care (Usually + Always)	Getting care easily	1.5
Getting	g Care Quickly (Usually + Always)	Getting care quickly	1.5
Satisfa	action With Plan Physicians		
Rating	of Personal Doctor (9 + 10)	Rating of primary care doctor	1.5
Rating	of Specialist Seen Most Often (9 + 10)	Rating of specialists	1.5
Rating	of All Health Care (9 + 10)	Rating of care	1.5
Coordi	nation of Care (Usually + Always)	Coordination of care	1.5
Satisfa	action With Plan Services		
Rating	of Health Plan (9 + 10)	Rating of health plan	1.5
PREVE	ENTION		
Childre	en and Adolescent Well-Care		
ADV	Annual Dental Visits—Total	Dental visits	1
CIS	Childhood Immunization Status—Combination 10	Childhood immunizations	3
IMA	Immunizations for Adolescents—Combination 2	Adolescent immunizations	3
WCC	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— BMI Percentile—Total	BMI percentile assessment	1
Wome	n's Reproductive Health		
PPC	Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Timeliness of Prenatal Care	Prenatal checkups	1
	Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Postpartum Care	Postpartum care	1
Cance	r Screening		
BCS	Breast Cancer Screening	Breast cancer screening	1
CCS	Cervical Cancer Screening	Cervical cancer screening	1
Other	Preventive Services		
CHL	Chlamydia Screening in Women—Total	Chlamydia screening	1
FVA	Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18-64	Flu shots	1

"The weight column indicates the weight of the item (maximum value = 3) in the overall score calculation.

https://www.ncqa.org/wp-

content/uploads/2020/12/20201218 2021 List of Required Performance Measures.pdf

NCQA 2020

Estimated NCQA Plan Ratings - General Population

	2021 VALID N	2021 SCORE	SCORE DEFINITION	QC PERCENTILE RANK	SPH ESTIMATED RATING	WEIGHT
CONSUMER SATISFACTION					3.0	
GETTING CARE					2.0	
Getting Needed Care	118	82.6%	Usually or Always	17 th	2.0	1.5
Getting Care Quickly	122	89.5%	Usually or Always	32 nd	2.0	1.5
SATISFACTION WITH PLAN PHYSICIAI	NS				4.5	
Rating of Personal Doctor	254	81.9%	9 or 10	78 th	4.0	1.5
Rating of Specialist	63^	79.4%	9 or 10	100 th	NA	1.5
Rating of Health Care	170	78.2%	9 or 10	91 st	5.0	1.5
Coordination of Care	66^	89.4%	Usually or Always	76 th	NA	1.5
SATISFACTION WITH PLAN SERVICES	5				3.0	
Rating of Health Plan	311	73.6%	9 or 10	53 rd	3.0	1.5

NOTE NCQA will assign a measure result of NA to overall ratings or composites with a denominator (i.e., the average number of responses across all questions used to calculate the composite) less than 100.

Global Proportions - General Population

GLOBAL PROPORTIONS SCORING AND ACCREDITATION

The graphical presentation of frequency distributions, including scores for composites and rating questions are shown on these pages. In addition, the measure's percentile threshold when compared to the 2020 NCQA 90th percentile benchmark is shown. The 90th percentile is the standard for achieving the maximum rating possible for a particular CAHPS accreditation measure.

	VALID N	2021 SCORE*	QC PERCENTILE THRESHOLD	QC 90 th PERCENTILE	Never/Som	netimes 🔳 Usually 💻 Always
Getting Needed Care	118	82.6%	17 th	91.1%	17% 14%	69%
Q10. Getting care, tests or treatment	171	93.0%	62 nd	95.4%	7% 12%	81%
Q41. Getting specialist appointment	65^	72.3%	10 th	87.7%	28%	15% 57%
Getting Care Quickly	122	89.5%	32 nd	95.0%	11% 10%	80%
Q4. Getting urgent care	60^	91.7%	32 nd	96.7%	8% 8%	83%
Q6. Getting routine care	183	87.4%	30 th	94.3%	13% 12%	76%
Other Measures						
Coordination of Care	66^	89.4%	76 th	90.7%	11% 20%	70%

Global Proportions - General Population

GLOBAL PROPORTIONS SCORING AND ACCREDITATION

The graphical presentation of frequency distributions, including scores for composites and rating questions are shown on these pages. In addition, the measure's percentile threshold when compared to the 2020 NCQA 90th percentile benchmark is shown. The 90th percentile is the standard for achieving the maximum rating possible for a particular CAHPS accreditation measure.

	VALID N	2021 SCORE*	QC PERCENTILE THRESHOLD	QC 90 th PERCENTILE		
Rating Questions						■ 0 - 6 ■ 7 - 8 ■ 9 - 10
Rating of Health Plan	311	73.6%	53 rd	77.9%	6% 20%	74%
Rating of Health Care	170	78.2%	91 st	77.7%	18%	78%
Rating of Personal Doctor	254	81.9%	78 th	83.3%	14%	82%
Rating of Specialist	63^	79.4%	100 th	76.8%	10% 11%	79%

Appendix: Improvement Strategies and Voice of the Member

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Improvement Strategies and VoM: Section Information

Improvement Strategies The left-side grey boxes contain improvement strategies compiled from SPH's years of experience working with hundreds of health plans to improve their scores. These are organized by key measures on the CAHPS survey. SPH encourages plans to review these strategies to help inform quality improvement plans.

Voice of the Member SPH periodically conducts qualitative research to help health plans better understand what members are thinking about when they answer questions on the CAHPS survey. We recruit members of different types of health plans and lead a moderated bulletin board discussion, probing for insights about their experience with aspects of care asked about on CAHPS. The quotes provided on the right-side of the following slides are pulled from conversations we have with members as part of this research.

SPH conducts this research to provide our clients additional insights into recommended improvements.

Rating of Health Plan

Rating of Health Plan Improvement Strategies

- Analyze, investigate, probe for weakness or QI opportunities among those measures or composites that are Key Drivers (or highly correlated) with Rating of the Plan (i.e., CS, GNC, GCQ, HWDC). Review QI recommendations/actions of these CAHPS composite measures.
- Carefully review, simplify and clarify all family/child member communications, processes and forms. Ensure that all materials and messages are accurate, up-to-date, complete and consistent, using concise and unambiguous language.
- · Identify key parent needs and expectations and critically assess operations and processes.
- Ensure that the member website is easily navigable and highly user friendly.
- Simplify completion of commonly used forms via "pre-loaded" applications or on-line.
- Communicate and educate all areas of the Plan on CAHPS, sharing findings, initiatives and outcomes. Seek input and observations. Engage relevant contributors into QI design/activities.
- Analyze satisfaction levels and loyalty ratings based on member profile/segmentation data (health system, age, length of membership, have PCP, etc.).
- Set S.M.A.R.T. goals. Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely for all identified activities. Assess all relevant internal data. Conduct additional surveys, data analyses as needed.
- Consider opportunities for positive and strategic messaging about the plan or health care reminders to members. Use technology to provide more effective and efficient care.
- Consider the value of a SPH CAHPS Drill-Down, Simulation Survey or CG-CAHPS to probe key CAHPS measures and/or target segments of the population.

Voice of the Member

- Specifically, I would improve communications. My insurance doesn't send any information about check-ups, vaccine reminders, dental check-ups, etc.
- **Make the website more user friendly**, make it **easier to find the information** we need. *****
- ⁶⁶ An app would be a good idea, because sometimes getting online to recertify can be difficult.⁹¹
- More available and detailed information about counseling. My daughter could benefit from some counseling to deal with living with her daily ADHD struggles. She has meltdowns and problems at school socially. It affects her in a number of ways and I am sure she is not the only child that feels this way that has Medicaid.
- ⁴⁴ It is **the issues with name brand medications** and **not covering all areas of health**, such as chiropractic care, **that are very important to my family**.⁷⁷

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Additional resource for improvement:

AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting</u>

Rating of Health Care

Rating of Health Care Improvement Strategies

- Analyze, assess, probe for weakness or QI opportunities among those measures or composites that are Key Drivers (or highly correlated) with Rating of Health Care (e.g., GNC, GCQ, HWDC, CoC). Review QI recommendations/actions for related CAHPS composite measures.
- Seek to simplify Plan requirements, processes, and/or procedures (e.g., UM, CM, Pharma, Use of IVR) impacting the member experience of care and access to care, tests or treatment. Examples include: Provide care quickly. Provide quick access to effective treatments. Minimize patient costs for care.
- Assess internal data. Track, audit, review and assess call center calls and/or complaints regarding quality of care, choice of providers, access to care, UM, CM, health system, etc.
- Communicate and educate all areas of the Plan on CAHPS, sharing findings, initiatives and outcomes. Seek input and observations. Engage relevant contributors into QI design/activities.
- Confirm adequacy of contracted providers and walk-in centers with extended hours.
- Ensure CSR have easy access to current, updated resources to provide accurate guidance about plan/drug coverage, out of pocket cost, availability of providers, requirements, processes, etc.
- Foster strong relationships with contracted providers via regular communications and collaboration. Data driven comparisons of PEC metrics can support/guide mutual improvement.
- Explore potential of aligning information flow/ EHRs to better integrate, support, or facilitate patient care, coordination of care and vital information among contracted providers.
- Consider the need to conduct additional measurement, probing of composite measures with targeted populations or health systems (e.g., CG-CAHPS or CAHPS Drill Down Survey).

Voice of the Member

- Had nothing but the best care for all my children. The doctors care and are straightforward with everything.¹¹
- We have finally found doctors that make sure my children have the best care possible. All of the doctors coordinate with each other and always update one another on his medications to keep from unwanted side effects!
- ¹¹ His therapist is great. She involves us in his treatment.¹¹
- ⁴⁴ She always spent a lot of time listening to me and taking great care of my daughter.⁹⁹
- I have never had issues with my daughter's care. The doctors always answer me fully and often provide additional resources to help me learn more.

Additional resource for improvement:

AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting</u>

Rating of Personal Doctor

Rating of Personal Doctor Improvement Strategies

- Analyze, investigate, and probe for weakness or QI opportunities among those measures or composites that are Key Drivers (or highly correlated) with rating of personal doctor. (e.g., HWDC, GCQ, GNC, Coordination Of Care).
- Review QI recommendations/actions for related CAHPS composite measures: How Well Doctors Communicate, Getting Care Quickly, Getting Needed Care, Coordination of Care.
- Work collaboratively with pediatric providers, encourage and support a family friendly approach that helps parents/families navigate the health care system and overcome obstacles.
- Provide resources, articles, tools and training sessions via multiple channels to support and drive improvement in physician-patient communication and patient-centered interviewing. Examples include: Foster relationships with patients. Partner with them. Listen to their concerns. Treat them with compassion. Spend adequate time with them and ensure questions and concerns are answered.
- Share, report and discuss relative CAHPS health care performance and feedback at the health system and/or within network level.
- Promote use of a secure online patient portal which allows patients access to their medical record and health care information of relevant to patient needs.
- Gather and analyze patient feedback on their recent office visit (i.e., patient "comment cards," follow up call/text/email, CG CAHPS survey, etc.).
- Explore ability of providers to share with patient's a summary of their medical record or health assessments to facilitate conversation about relevant health and wellness issues.
- Assess systems (e.g., EHRs) processes and/or procedures used to gather or facilitate distribution of patient information among providers.
- Suggest providers/practices periodically analyze appointment scheduling timeframes versus types of office visits. Minimize wait times.

Additional resource for improvement:

 AHRQ best practices:
 https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html

 SPH Performance Improvement Consulting:
 http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting

Voice of the Member

- ⁴⁴ My son's doctor is great. He always answers all our questions and makes our son's health and well-being a priority. He proactively suggests treatments and courses of action that we had not necessarily considered.⁹⁹
- ¹¹ Very friendly and kind, and willing to answer most questions. He doesn't always have all the information I need but gets it for me when needed.¹¹
- Our doctor's bedside manner makes him stand out! You can tell how much he truly cares!
- ¹¹ They have worked hard to get the medication we needed and have gone out of their way when there have been issues at the pharmacy.¹¹
- **Takes his time** and has those one-on-one sessions with the child.⁷⁷
- ⁶⁶Our doctor stays on top of things and is easy to get a hold of.⁹⁹

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Rating of Specialist

Rating of Specialist Improvement Strategies

- Analyze, investigate, and probe for weakness or QI opportunities among those measures or composites that are Key Drivers (or highly correlated) with rating of specialist or doctor. (e.g., HWDC, GCQ, GNC, Coordination Of Care).
- Review QI recommendations/actions for related CAHPS composite measures: How Well Doctors Communicate, Getting Care Quickly, Getting Needed Care, Coordination of Care.
- Provide resources, articles, tools and training sessions via multiple channels to support and drive improvement in physician-patient communication and patient-centered interviewing. Examples include: Listen to patients' concerns, Follow-up with the patient. Provide thorough explanations. Ensure that all questions and concerns are answered. All staff focus on being helpful and courteous to patients.
- Share, report and discuss relative CAHPS health care performance and feedback at the health system and/or within network level.
- Promote use of a secure online patient portal which allows patients access to their medical record and health care information of relevant to patient needs.
- Gather and analyze patient feedback on their recent office visit (i.e., patient "comment cards," follow up call/text/email, CG CAHPS survey, etc.)
- Assess adequacy of contracted specialist by specialty. If necessary, review quality of care information among specific specialties and/or identify practices of excellence.
- Explore ability of providers to share with patient's a summary of their medical record or health assessments to facilitate conversation about relevant health and wellness issues.
- Assess systems (e.g., EHRs) processes and/or procedures used to gather or facilitate distribution of patient information among providers.
- Suggest providers/practices periodically analyze appointment scheduling timeframes versus types of
 office visits.

Additional resource for improvement:

AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting</u>

Voice of the Member

- ⁴⁴ The doctor who performed my son's follow-up circumcision was very down to earth and did an excellent job.⁷⁷
- They're great with my children and answer everything in timely manner.
- It's hard for someone that sees a patient for 45 minutes a month to necessarily decide what is best, or at least they should let the parents have some input.³⁷
- ⁶⁶ She always **spent a lot of time listening to me** and **taking great care of my daughter**.⁷⁷
- ¹¹ My daughter hasn't seen a specialist in a long time now, but whenever she has had to see one, they have **always been very professional**.¹¹

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Getting Needed Care

Getting Needed Care Improvement Strategies

- Assess CAHPS data by health system, PO, and/or network. Communicate results and identify outliers. Evaluate with HEDIS data, complaints, appeals and/or quality of care concerns, and communicate. Identify issues, prioritize and implement improvement activities.
- Work with providers to support patients in navigating health care and remove obstacles. Support and encourage providers to take innovative action to improve access. Examples include: Serve patients quickly, treat urgent issues promptly, minimize wait times, follow-up about appointment times and test results. Another is to develop an in-depth referral/decision-making guide for PCP's to prepare for/with patients explaining need, urgency, patient expectations and responsibilities, and preparations for seeing a specialist.
- Encourage and guide parents/families when and how to use/access alternative care settings, e.g., webbased, tele-health, urgent care, and emergency care.
- Support members and collaborate with providers to enhance access to care through innovative, proactive approaches within Care Management, Chronic Care, and Quality Management. Work with providers to identify and resolve opportunities.
- Continually assess, revisit and simplify plan requirements/processes (i.e., UM) impacting access to care, tests, or treatment. Seek opportunities to improve processes and procedures.
- Review and simplify precertification/auth/referral policies/procedures for both member and provider, including messages and communications. Cross-reference with complaints, concerns, and quality of care issues. Improve and clarify processes and communications.
- Evaluate and simplify member communications, assuring that members are clearly told why something is not approved. When appropriate, offer suggestions for next steps or alternatives.
- Ensure Customer Service representatives are able to accurately advise members of available alternatives for care, such as walk-in clinics, urgent care, specialists, labs, etc.

Voice of the Member

- I have never had any difficulties getting any treatment or tests done for my child. The doctors are always right on top of things. They get everything done really quickly.
- Getting tests and services done has been a big issue. They were supposed to observe her for two nights, but the next day they tried kicking her out. Within a day, she exhibited respiratory issues and was transferred to another department. I argued for them to do a blood gas test. It was brushed off, and within a day she was in the ICU. I then cornered the doctor and demanded the blood gas test. As I suspected, she was retaining CO2.³³
- ¹¹ It may help that their doctor is the guy that runs the place, and he knows if I ask for something fast, it needs to be fast.⁷⁷
- ¹¹ I used to go to a standalone emergency clinic, and they were always able to treat my daughter for everything. I took her there once when she broke her arm and they treated her great, from xrays to splinting her arm.¹¹

Additional resource for improvement:

 AHRQ best practices:
 https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html

 SPH Performance Improvement Consulting:
 http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting

Getting Care Quickly

Getting Care Quickly Improvement Strategies

- Assess CAHPS data by health system, PO, and/or network. Communicate results and identify outliers. Correlate with HEDIS data, complaints, appeals and/or quality of care concerns, and communicate. Support and encourage providers to take innovative action to improve access.
- Support members and collaborate with providers to enhance routine and urgent access to care through innovative, proactive approaches within Care Management, Chronic Care, and Quality Management. Work with providers to identify and resolve opportunities.
- Discuss and engage providers/staff on scheduling best practices, how to improve access to routine/urgent care. Consider scheduling routine appointments well in advance, e.g., 12 months. Provide tools, resources, support and assessment.
- Support, encourage and assist in approaches toward open access scheduling. Allow a portion of each day open for urgent care and/or follow-up care.
- · Contract with additional providers for urgent and after-hour appointments/availability.
- Explore partnering with 24-hour urgent care or walk-in clinics.
- Educate providers and staff about Plan and regulatory appointment wait time requirements or standards (i.e., CAHPS, CMS, States, etc.) . Identify opportunities for improvement.
- Provide members streamlined tools and resources (links, apps, etc.) about benefits, providers, referrals, scheduling appointments, etc. Identify options and hours available, and include alternatives, including practices with evening and weekend hours. Consider alternative sources of information, e.g., refrigerator magnets.
- Explore and support alternative telecommunication technologies to expand access to care: telephone, telehealth, telemedicine and patient portals.
- Encourage use of Nurse Hotline/Nurse on Call lines or live-chat via web for members to get health information and advice.

Additional resource for improvement:

AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting</u>

Voice of the Member

- ⁴⁴ She has been **always seen in a timely manner** and was treated well.⁷⁷
- **We were in and out in about 15 minutes**, and I had the **lab results within a few days** saying my kid was healthy.
- ¹¹ The care was quick and friendly, and I got her into both appointments easily.³³
- We have an **urgent care facility** that I can go to when I **don't want to wait for an appointment**. We mostly use it for sickness visits, so I don't have to wait in the waiting room.
- It's usually easy for us to get into an urgent care. It's normally a 30-minute-per-person wait time. So if there are two people ahead of us, it's an hour wait time.

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

O How Well Doctors Communicate

How Well Doctors Communicate Improvement Strategies

- Cultivate a patient-centered care philosophy and programs across the provider network.
- Support, communicate and educate providers about the vital medical importance of effective doctorpatient communication (i.e., reduced hospitalizations & ER visits, improved adherence).
- Explain health care concepts clearly and simply to parents and children. Use simple terms for children. Be prepared to accommodate and overcome language /literacy limitations.
- Address all of the parents' and the child's concerns. When appropriate, involve the child. Maintain eye contact with both the parent and the child. Be kind, thoughtful and thorough.
- Speak directly to older children when discussing matters related to their health.
- Provide readily available recommendations, tools and guidance to all providers to support and enhance communication skills and effective conversation skills with patients. Providers need to: Provide thorough explanations, provide written materials, illustrations and/or examples to help patient's understand, repeat the patient's concern and then address the topic, ask clarifying questions, make eye contact, avoid medical jargon and technical language, avoid multi-tasking, avoid rushing the patient, use constructive verbal responses and non-verbal cues, apply empathy and interest in response to concerns, by kind, avoid condescending language or actions, address questions and concerns-as much time as necessary, schedule adequate time for each visit, and follow-up after tests or procedures.
- Collaborate and share with providers tools, resources, and best practices to support, or reinforce, a
 complete and effective information exchange with all patients (e.g., a summary of medical record or health
 assessment to facilitate an effective health or wellness discussion, patient testimonials perhaps from
 focus groups of effective and ineffective communication techniques, provide tips and/or testimonials in
 provider newsletters).

Voice of the Member

- ⁴⁴ They are thorough every time I take them to the doctor. They explained everything as to what was or wasn't wrong with my children, how to resolve it and proper education about the reasons.
- We typically go to nurse practitioners, which I prefer. They seem more willing to listen and take their time."
- ⁶⁶ Direct eye contact and the doctor restating what I had just said goes a long way to reassuring me that I'm being listened to and paid attention to.¹¹
- ¹¹ They should **take their time**. When a **doctor seems rushed**, **it feels like you are unimportant** and a bother. When they take their time, then it feels like **you are important and that your issues matter**.¹¹
- ¹¹ Don't act like things that you say are stupid. When they act like what you say is important and valid, it makes you feel respected.¹¹
- **Look at you when you're talking to them**. He is always good about **facing us when we are talking** to him.

Customer Service

Customer Service Improvement Strategies

- Emphasize comprehensive, collaborative, and high-quality customer/member services as a critical priority across all areas of the organization. Think and act together. Establish service recovery guidelines for resolving issues, including phrases that express apologies or atonement.
- Provide on-going/periodic CSR service training, open discussions and routine refresher programs. Include thorough annual updates, tools and resources and subsequent feedback. Training examples include: how to answer questions and resolve issues; consistency in being friendly, courteous and empathetic; quick issue resolution with follow-up; procedures to minimize transfers and wait/on-hold times.
- Involve the CS team in QI activities, seeking concrete customer-based input and improvements. Ensure they are fully informed of updates/changes to processes and procedures.
- Ensure CSRs have immediate access to knowledgeable staff within all key member and provider service areas (Claims, Enrollment, etc.).
- Support key subject matter experts to flexibly respond to urgent or complex types of calls, questions or issues including prompt prioritization and resolution procedures and/or authority.
- Develop, implement and review protocols and scripts ("Talking Points") to ensure up-to-date, accurate and consist information provided to your members and patients and providers.
- Establish, assess and adhere to measurable CSR performance/service standards (i.e., call satisfaction, call resolution, time on hold, etc.). Operationally define service behaviors.
- Seek QI opportunities with CS via observational walkthrough of calls and discussion/review of complaints, inquiries, and the member experience, especially any changes. Identify main issues and seek interventions that decrease volume and/or improve experience.
- · Acknowledge and reward service performance/behaviors reflective of service excellence.

Voice of the Member

- Every time I did indeed call, the staff was very respectful and that made me feel heard and valuable. Even though, essentially, I was, and still am, getting almost free healthcare, I felt like I was indeed paying a premium by how well I was treated.³¹
- ⁴⁴ I had to call in to recertify my daughter because I was late recertifying, due to the fact that I received the paperwork later than I should have. I was able to easily call the number, get someone on the phone and complete the process of recertifying very easily.³⁷
- ⁴⁴ The forms can be ridiculous. I just don't see why there should be four, five or six pages of information for me to fill out. And oftentimes, I am repeating information on the forms over and over again.⁷⁷
- ⁴⁴ I have found that in the majority of interactions, with any customer service representative that is associated with Medicaid, they tend to look down on you. There have been numerous occasions where we would have to call and change doctors, and we were treated like dirt. It's as if a child is on Medicaid because the parents don't work or whatever.³³

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Additional resource for improvement:

AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalvtics.com/consulting</u>

Coordination of Care

Coordination of Care Improvement Strategies

- Inform, support, remind and facilitate providers about coordination of care expectations, timely notification requirements, and standards of care for post-visit follow up to all PCPs. Explore options to encourage and support communications between specialists and PCPs.
- Carefully assess any parent or patient concerns associated with any health care received out-of-office, addressing and clarifying as appropriate. Seek and obtain all associated records.
- Develop on-going and timely reminders/messaging to promote and improve communication and reporting between all provider types, ideally based directly on available data/information.
- Assess the status and consistency of coordination of patient care, communication, and information shared within and across provider networks. Assure prompt feedback, standards.
- Support and facilitate a patient-centered care management approach within and across provider networks. Facilitate a complementary plan-based patient centered care management approach.
- Explore potential of aligning information flow/EHRs to better integrate, support or facilitate patient care, care coordination and vital medical and personal information among providers.
- Encourage providers to prompt patients AND patients to prompt providers, i.e., mutual interactions that review and discuss care, tests and/or treatments involving other providers.
- Encourage patients to bring a list of all medications, including dosage and frequency to all appointments. Encourage providers to prompt patients to do the same for their appointments.
- How do PCP's, providers, facilities and/or the plan assure common patient "touch points" to facilitate/support scheduling of appointments, tests and/or procedures? Where is the over-arching guidance and support for the patient/member?

Voice of the Member

- ⁶⁶ He has seen three different doctors at that office. I don't know how they transfer information to each other, since I have had to repeat things to one that I had already told another. I would think that would be in his files.⁹⁹
- When we switched her primary doctor, the new doctor knew my child's medical history. She was right on top of it. I was surprised and impressed.
- ⁶⁶ The doctor knew our son's medical history, asked him about how school was going at every visit, and engaged with him about his interests and hobbies. It almost felt like he was a part of the family.⁹⁹
- When our **son's doctor retired**, it was a bit of an **abrupt shock** to go from someone whom we had worked with for eleven years to a doctor who had never met us or our son before. However, he **took the time to talk to us and review our son's medical history**, and it **wasn't long before we were comfortable with each other** and confident that he would meet our son's medical needs.⁹¹

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Additional resource for improvement:

AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting</u>

Access to Prescription Medicine

Access to Prescription Medicine Improvement Strategies

- Encourage streamlined, efficient service for families, such as sending prescriptions directly to pharmacies immediately after the appointment.
- Don't put the family in the middle, encourage and support prompt pharmacy/provider communication and collaboration to avoid or resolve issues for members.
- Assess opportunities to improve prescription coverage that may forego serious set-backs, e.g., coverage of some allergy medications.
- Provide alerts and reminders to busy parents to obtain currently prescribed medications in a timely manner.
- Advise and educate providers and pharmacies of preferred, covered alternative medications for common prescriptions. Make this information readily and easily available on-line.
- Assess and address member concerns and complaints about problems with mail prescription service and/or timeliness. Review and simplify or clarify associated communications/materials.
- Simplify pre-auth and authorization processes and clarify requirements with clear member and provider communications.

Voice of the Member

- It's easy to get them filled and fast, but they stopped paying for my daughter's allergy medicine.
- ¹¹Normally what happens is the doctor finds an alternative that the insurance will cover.³¹
- ⁴⁴ The doctor sends them to the pharmacy, and they are always filled quick and easy, with no hassles.³³
- and iron out any issues that may come up."
- ¹¹ The bill was huge. I called to discuss how much it would cost out-of-pocket. Luckily, I had a very helpful, kind customer service representative who first asked, not only about the health of my son, but also about my health!¹¹
- ¹¹ The **representative helped me not worry about bills** during that stressful time.¹¹

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Additional resource for improvement: AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting</u>

Appendix: Questionnaire



• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

© 2021 Symphony Performance Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.



SURVEY INSTRUCTIONS

- Answer each question by marking the box to the left of your answer.
- You are sometimes told to skip over some questions in this survey. When this happens you will see an arrow with a note that tells you what question to answer next, like this:

\boxtimes	Yes 🗲	If Yes, Go to Question	1
	No		

Personally identifiable information will not be made public and will only be released in accordance with federal laws and regulations.

You may choose to answer this survey or not. If you choose not to, this will not affect the benefits your child receives. You may notice a number on the back of this survey. This number is ONLY used to let us know if you returned your survey so we don't have to send you reminders.

If you want to know more about this study, please call 1-888-797-3605.

Please answer the questions for the child listed on the letter. Please do not answer for any other children.

- 1. Our records show that your child is now in Louisiana Healthcare Connections. Is that right?
 - Yes → If Yes, Go to Question 3 No
- 2. What is the name of your child's health plan? (please print)

YOUR CHILD'S HEALTH CARE IN THE LAST 6 MONTHS

These questions ask about your child's health care from a clinic, emergency room, or doctor's office. This includes care your child got in person, by phone, or by video. Do <u>not</u> include care your child got when he or she stayed overnight in a hospital. Do <u>not</u> include the times your child went for dental care visits.

3. In the last 6 months, did your child have an illness, injury, or condition that <u>needed care</u>right away?

] Yes

No → If No, Go to Question 5

4. In the last 6 months, when your child <u>needed</u> <u>care right away</u>, how often did your child get care as soon as he or she needed?

Never
Sometimes
Usually
Always

5. In the last 6 months, did you make any in person, phone, or video appointments for a <u>check-up or routine care</u> for your child?



No -> If No, Go to Question 7

- 6. In the last 6 months, how often did you get an appointment for a <u>check-up or routine care</u> for your child as soon as your child needed?
 - Never
 Sometimes
 Usually
 Alwavs



7.	In the last 6 months, <u>not</u> counting the times your child went to an emergency room, how many times did he or she get health care in person, by phone, or by video?	12. In the last 6 months, did you need your child's doctors or other health providers to contact a school or daycare center about your child's health or health care?
	 None → If None, Go to Question 11 1 time 2 3 4 5 to 9 	 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 14 13. In the last 6 months, did you get the help you needed from your child's doctors or other health providers in contacting your child's school or daycare?
8.	 10 or more times In the last 6 months, how often did you have your questions answered by your child's doctors or other health providers? 	Yes No SPECIALIZED SERVICES 14. Special medical equipment or devices include
	 Never Sometimes Usually Always 	a walker, wheelchair, nebulizer, feeding tubes, or oxygen equipment. In the last 6 months, did you get or try to get any special medical equipment or devices for your child?
9.	Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst health care possible and 10 is the best health care possible, what number would you use to rate all your child's health care in the	 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 17
	ast 6 months?	15. In the last 6 months, how often was it easy to get special medical equipment or devices for your child?
	□ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5	 Never Sometimes Usually Always
	□ 5 □ 6 □ 7 □ 8	16. Did anyone from your child's health plan, doctor's office, or clinic help you get special medical equipment or devices for your child?
	 9 10 Best health care possible 	☐ Yes ☐ No
10.	In the last 6 months, how often was it easy to get the care, tests, or treatment your child needed?	17. In the last 6 months, did you get or try to get special therapy such as physical, occupational, or speech therapy for your child?
	 Never Sometimes Usually Always 	 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 20
11.	Is your child now enrolled in any kind of school or daycare?	
	 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 14 	

18.	In the last 6 months, how often was it easy to get this therapy for your child?		OUR CHILD'S PERSONAL DOCTOR A personal doctor is the one your child would talk to if he or she needs a check-up, has a health problem or gets sick or hurt. Does your child have a personal doctor? □ Yes □ No → If No, Go to Question 40
19.	Did anyone from your child's health plan, doctor's office, or clinic help you get this therapy for your child?	26.	In the last 6 months, how many times did your child have an in person, phone, or video visit with his or her personal doctor?
	☐ Yes ☐ No		 □ None → If None, Go to Question 36 □ 1 time
20.	In the last 6 months, did you get or try to get treatment or counseling for your child for an emotional, developmental, or behavioral problem?		□ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 to 9
	 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 23 		10 or more times
21.	In the last 6 months, how often was it easy to get this treatment or counseling for your child?	27.	In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor explain things about your child's health in a way that was easy to understand?
	 Never Sometimes Usually Always 		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
22.	Did anyone from your child's health plan, doctor's office, or clinic help you get this treatment or counseling for your child?	28.	In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor listen carefully to you?
	☐ Yes ☐ No		 Never Sometimes Usually
23.	In the last 6 months, did your child get care from more than one kind of health care		Always
	provider or use more than one kind of health care service?	29.	In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor show respect for what
	☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 25		you had to say?
24.	In the last 6 months, did anyone from your child's health plan, doctor's office, or clinic help coordinate your child's care among these different providers or services?		 Sometimes Usually Always
	Yes	30.	Is <u>your child</u> able to talk with doctors about his or her health care?
	No No		 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 32

31.	In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor explain things in a way that was easy for <u>your child</u> to understand?	36.	Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst personal doctor possible and 10 is the best personal doctor possible, what number would you use to rate your child's personal doctor?
	 Never Sometimes Usually Always 		 0 Worst personal doctor possible 1 2 3
32.	In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor spend enough time with your child?		$ \begin{array}{c} $
	 Never Sometimes Usually Always 		 7 8 9 10 Best personal doctor possible
33.	In the last 6 months, did your child's personal doctor talk with you about how your child is feeling, growing, or behaving?	37.	Does your child have any medical, behavioral, or other health conditions that have lasted for more than <u>3 months</u> ?
	☐ Yes☐ No		 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 40
34.	In the last 6 months, did your child get care from a doctor or other health provider besides his or her personal doctor?	38.	Does your child's personal doctor understand how these medical, behavioral, or other health conditions affect your child's day-to- day life?
	Yes No → If No, Go to Question 36		☐ Yes □ No
35.	In the last 6 months, how often did your child's personal doctor seem informed and up-to-date about the care your child got from these doctors or other health providers?	39.	Does your child's personal doctor understand how your child's medical, behavioral, or other health conditions affect your <u>family's</u> day-to- day life?
	 Never Sometimes Usually 		☐ Yes □ No
	Always	Whe your inclu	ETTING HEALTH CARE FROM SPECIALISTS In you answer the next questions, include the care child got in person, by phone, or by video. Do <u>not</u> de dental visits or care your child got when he or stayed overnight in a hospital.
		40.	Specialists are doctors like surgeons, heart doctors, allergy doctors, skin doctors, and other doctors who specialize in one area of health care. In the last 6 months, did you make any appointments for your child with a specialist?
			 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 44

41.	In the last 6 months, how often did you get appointments for your child with a specialist as soon as he or she needed?	45.	In the last 6 months, how often did customer service at your child's health plan give you the information or help you needed?
	 Never Sometimes Usually Always 		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
42.	How many specialists has your child talked to in the last 6 months?	46.	In the last 6 months, how often did customer service staff at your child's health plan treat you with courtesy and respect?
	 None → If None, Go to Question 44 1 specialist 2 3 4 5 or more specialists 		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
43.	We want to know your rating of the specialist your child talked to most often in the last 6 months. Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst specialist possible	47.	In the last 6 months, did your child's health plan give you any forms to fill out?
			 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 49
	and 10 is the best specialist possible, what number would you use to rate that specialist?		In the last 6 months, how often were the forms from your child's health plan easy to fill out?
	 0 Worst specialist possible 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0 		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
		49.	Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst health plan possible and 10 is the best health plan possible, what number would you use to rate your child's health plan?
The	 9 10 Best specialist possible YOUR CHILD'S HEALTH PLAN The next questions ask about your experience with your child's health plan. 		 0 Worst health plan possible 1 2 3 4
44.	In the last 6 months, did you get information or help from customer service at your child's health plan?		□ 5 □ 6 □ 7
	 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 47 		 8 9 10 Best health plan possible
	RESCRIPTION MEDICINES In the last 6 months, did you get or refill any	57.	Is this a condition that has lasted or is expected to last for at least 12 months?
-----	--	-----	--
	prescription medicines for your child? □ Yes □ No → If No, Go to Question 53		YesNo
51.	In the last 6 months, how often was it easy to get prescription medicines for your child through his or her health plan?	58.	Does your child need or use more medical care, more mental health services, or more educational services than is usual for most children of the same age?
	 Never Sometimes Usually 		 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 61
	Always	59.	Is this because of any medical, behavioral, or other health condition?
52.	Did anyone from your child's health plan, doctor's office, or clinic help you get your child's prescription medicines?		 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 61
	☐ Yes □ No	60.	Is this a condition that has lasted or is expected to last for at least 12 months?
	BOUT YOUR CHILD AND YOU In general, how would you rate your child's		☐ Yes☐ No
	overall health? Excellent Very Good	61.	Is your child limited or prevented in any way in his or her ability to do the things most children of the same age can do?
	☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor		 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 64
54.	In general, how would you rate your child's	62.	Is this because of any medical, behavioral, or other health condition?
	overall <u>mental or emotional</u> health? Excellent Very Good		 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 64
	Good Fair	63.	Is this a condition that has lasted or is expected to last for at least 12 months?
55	Does your child currently need or use		☐ Yes☐ No
55.	medicine prescribed by a doctor (other than vitamins)?	64.	Does your child need or get special therapy such as physical, occupational, or speech therapy?
	 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 58 		 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 67
56.	Is this because of any medical, behavioral, or other health condition?	65.	Is this because of any medical, behavioral, or
	 ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 58 		other health condition? □ Yes □ No → If No, Go to Question 67
		1	

66.	Is this a condition that has lasted or is expected to last for at least 12 months?	74. Are you male or female?
	Yes No	 Male Female
67.	Does your child have any kind of emotional,	75. What is the highest grade or level of school that you have completed?
	developmental, or behavioral problem for which he or she needs or gets treatment or counseling?	 8th grade or less Some high school, but did not graduate High school graduate or GED
60	 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 69 	 Some college or 2-year degree 4-year college graduate More than 4-year college degree
68.	Has this problem lasted or is it expected to last for at least 12 months?	
	Yes	76. How are you related to the child?
	No No	 Mother or father Grandparent
69.	What is <u>your child's</u> age?	Aunt or uncle
	Less than 1 year old	 Older brother or sister Other relative
	YEARS OLD (write in)	Legal guardian
70.	Is your child male or female?	Someone else
		ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS
	Female	Now we would like to ask a few more questions about the services your child's health plan provides.
71.	Is your child of Hispanic or Latino origin or descent?	77. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a
	Yes, Hispanic or LatinoNo, not Hispanic or Latino	physician you were comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs?
72.	What is your child's race? Mark one or more.	Never
	White	Sometimes
	 Black or African-American Asian 	Usually Always
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	
	 American Indian or Alaska Native Other 	78. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your child's cultural needs?
73.	What is <u>your</u> age?	
	Under 18	
	18 to 24	Usually
	25 to 34	Always
	□ 35 to 44 □ 45 to 54	79. In the last 6 months, were you given as much
	55 to 64	information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your child's condition?
	65 to 74	☐ Yes
	75 or older	\square No

80.	In the last 6 months, were you given information about your child's rights as a patient?
	<pre>Yes No</pre>
81.	In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a specific type of medicine or treatment for your child?
	Yes No
82.	Some health plans help with transportation for your child to get to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your child's health plan to get help with transportation for your child?
	Yes No

Thank You Please return the completed survey in the postage-paid envelope or send to: SPH Analytics • P.O. Box 985009 Ft. Worth, TX 76185-5009

If you have any questions, please call 1-888-797-3605.

SPH Solution Portfolio Built on Innovative Platform



LISTEN

We offer 51 surveys and market research offerings via full scale omni-channel outreach



Survey Solutions Member Experience	Survey Solutions Patient Experience	Survey Solutions Medical Practice	Qualitative Solutions
HEDIS CAHPS	HCAHPS	CG CAHPS	Focus Groups
Medicare CAHPS	OAS CAHPS	Medical Practice Express	Online Communities
Medicare HOS	ACO CAHPS	РСМН	In-depth Interviews
QHP Enrollee	CAHPS for MIPS	PCMH Express	Strategy Research
Behavioral Health (ECHO)	Home Health CAHPS	Survey Solutions Provider Experience	Brand / Brand Positioning
Call Center Satisfaction	ED Express	Provider Satisfaction with Network	Market Share
Case Management	Surgical Express	Provider Satisfaction with Health Plan	Market Segmentation
Disease Management	Outpatient Express	Provider Access	Price Positioning
CAHPS Drill Down/Simulations	Inpatient Express	Provider Verification	Product Design
New Member	Diagnostic Imaging	Survey Solutions Other Stakeholders	Advertising / Communications
Dental CAHPS	Pain Management	Employee Satisfaction	Conjoint Analysis
HCBS CAHPS	Endoscopy	AHRQ Patient Safety Survey (SOPS)	Health Care Engagement Index™ (HCEI™)
Custom Member Satisfaction / Trackers	Therapy & <u>Rehab</u>	Broker / Employer Experience	
	Hospice CAHPS		
LISTEN	ICH CAHPS		

Broadest portfolio of healthcare market research & widest set of modalities

ANALYZE

Our analytics offerings include 7 descriptive and predictive solutions built on our Nexus Platform

Data

Modeling



Data Exploration



Single of your plant method population. Single of your plant method population. <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>luents</th><th>espor</th><th>· y i w</th><th></th><th>Profile of S</th></th<>										luents	espor	· y i w		Profile of S
the denographic characteristics of trapporties surveyed boold to regression the denographic characteristics of trapporties surveyed boold to regression the denomination of the denominat	resentative													
10 content 10 conten 10 conten 10 conten		hieve a represen	o help ach	protocol	s NCQA prol	nalytics follows	ion. SPH A	ember populat	ative	d be represent	rveyed shoul	indents su	ics of respo	e demographic characteris
Bit 21% 22% 40% 40% Bit 55% 25% 40% 5% 25% 5% 25% 5% 25% 5%		Not Hispanic/ Latito				icity	Ethn		Gra	Some College				Education
135 257 325 427% 257 251 325% 427% 154 257 315% 412% 157 2516 307% 916 307% 916 917 916 916 9	6	94.4%	5.6%	2018	20					29.7%	21.8%	2018		
207 204 5.05 207 204 5.05 207 204 3.05 0.17 504 10.24 2.24 3.05 0.17 3.05 0.17 NOA 204 3.05 0.17 6x8 10.24 2.24 3.05 0.17 NOA 9.06 NOA 9.07 NOA NOA 9.07 NOA 9.07 NOA 9.0	6	93.9%	6.1%	2017	20					32.8%	24.5%	2017		21.8
200 NGGA 22.6% 32.2% 61.7% NGB NGB 10% 0.2 dotabate or tars + Sime Calling - Schligt Orabate or More - Hitgeweit Laters	6	95.1%	3.9%	2016	20	/				20.7%	5.6%	2016		48.5%
NCAL 22.4% 32.2% 41.7% 34.4% NCAL 26% 06.4 forstuter or ins + store Celling + Celling + Celling - Celling +	6	91.0%	9.0%	SPH	57					31.5%	27.4%	SPH	_	
Note Note <th< td=""><td>s</td><td>90.4%</td><td>9.6%</td><td>ICQA</td><td>NCG</td><td></td><td>91,4%</td><td></td><td></td><td>32.8%</td><td>25.4%</td><td>NCQA</td><td>~</td><td></td></th<>	s	90.4%	9.6%	ICQA	NCG		91,4%			32.8%	25.4%	NCQA	~	
White 25.8% Bind or 25.8% Hereits 20.8% June 10.8% June 10.8% Hereits 20.8% Hereits 20.8% June 10.8% Hereits 20.8% June 10.8% June 10.8% Hereits 20.8% June 10.8% June 10.8% <thjune 10.8%<="" th=""> June 10.8% <thjune 10.8%<<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>:/Latino</td><td> Not Hispenic </td><td>anic/Latino</td><td>• Hisp</td><td></td><td></td><td>te or More</td><td>rge Graduat</td><td>lege • Colli</td><td>raduate or Less • Some Co</td></thjune></thjune>					:/Latino	 Not Hispenic 	anic/Latino	• Hisp			te or More	rge Graduat	lege • Colli	raduate or Less • Some Co
White 25.8% White African Assis Public Indian Black or African American 1.1% 2018 25.9% 1.1% 67.0% 51.5% 3.1% 3.1% 67.0% 51.5% 3.1% 67.0% 51.5% 3.1% 67.0% 51.5% 3.1% 67.0% 51.5% 3.1% 67.0% 51.5% 3.1% 67.0% 51.5% 51.5% 51.5% 51.5% 51.5% 51.5% 51.5% 51.5% 51.6% 51.5%												Race		
Black or Atrican-American 1.136 2017 90.8% 2.5% 4.4% 0.6% 1.7%	Other	Indian/	acific		Asian	African-	White				5.8%	25		White
2017 90.8% 2.5% 4.4% 0.6% 1.7%	6.9%	3.1%	6.1%	6	67.8%	1.1%	25.8%	2018					1.1%	ark or African American
	4.4%	1.7%	2.6%		4.4%	2.5%	90.8%	2017						
Asian 67.8% 2016 83.9% 4.3% 13.0% 0.4% 2.6%	4.8%	2.6%	0.4%	6 I I	13.0%	4.3%	83.9%	2016	57.8%					
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 16.1% 2.4% 1.8%	5.7%	1.8%	2.4%		8.1%	7.5%	80.0%	SPH				16.1%	_	iawailan/Pacific Islander
American Indian/Alaskan 3.1% NCQA 77.0% 9.2% 5.9% 1.0% 1.7%	5.4%	1.7%	1.0%		5.9%	9.2%	77.0%	NCQA					3.1%	merican Indian/Alaskan

MOTIVATE

We target action by creating cohorts for personalized engagement and can help with outreach execution



ther (set		(and black	Ingenetiation		Coloria
 3000000 	Getting Needed Care			O THE R. LAW	() () mumation motives) (and)
Measure -					
Column Martine Col	Boys Ver 8 Automatic	O henry byk host O higher hose	drigh View 🔘 in	nyan 🛞 0 0 0 00	
Inc. The antidate provide the second American in the second of second and second field compare from which are also been appendix.		بالمسالم المسالم		The Defining Association of the 2 of	d Care composite acces is calculated by Laking the
- /10	1				number from other source to get the card, bady, or
0	100			haddened pay loss	
			and setting of the	Ups in the fast to over	contine, how others did you get an approximent to some a an appendent of
	-				
0 /10					
			-		
				14	
		BARRY SHARPY AND THE REAL			
	tata Saurie: Digita Palanasa 1			10140-027-11	
		Consume balon balon data da Consult a da AGA 10		201-000 ATT 11	
	Labora Designa Laboration	Conservation (sector) - Conserv ation (sector) - Conservation		107-960.027 L-	
	Education Design Control Control	Cargana (ancie tan (ant 2), Corport (an Alfor 1) Cargan	ELLANCOLLE I FRENCH LELLANCOLL () RENGA	ALCONDUCT 1	
	Educts Measure Entry Social Educts F Social Process And P Educts & Education Process And P Education Contemporation (18)	Company lots in the Control Community on ADA in Classes and a finded Class, on an operand all stores (ELLANCOLLE I FRENCH LELLANCOLL () RENGA	40° MOLES 11	
	Education Design Control Control	Company lots in the Control Community on ADA in Classes and a finded Class, on an operand all stores (ELLANCOLLE I FRENCH LELLANCOLL () RENGA	an wear a	
	Educts Measure Entry Social Educts F Social Process And P Educts & Education Process And P Education Contemporation (18)	Company lots in the Control Community on ADA in Classes and a finded Class, on an operand all stores (ELLANCOLLE I FRENCH LELLANCOLL () RENGA	NAME OF STREET	- sed
	Educati Masaras Entrophono Salah 1 Galar Conte Provi Aler Salah 2 Galar Provi Aler Educati A Language Property (187) Q10	Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Sec. 40) (1) Conservations (Conservation) - Conservation (Section 2) Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conservation (Section 2) - Conserv	en meneren () teaters		and Mare
_	Educat Massace Entropolation Select 2 Genetic Provide Automotive Education Conference (and Pro- Education Conference) (and Pro- Other Conference) Other Factors	Conservation (Los Conservation Conservation) Conservations (Marchange Talance of an external of Homes) (Marchange Talance of an external of Homes) (Marchange Talance of America (Marchange (Marchange Talance of America (Marchange)) (Marchange Talance of America (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange Talance of America (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange Talance of America (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Marchange)) (Salari A	114
_	Educe Based Integrated Select Select Provide Select Select Provide Provide Educe Select Provide Provide Educe Select Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Pro	Conservations (Los Service) - Generales (Los Perrol Televic) Here of the conservation of the conservation (Los Angel Televice) - Conservations - Conservation - Conservatio		Salari d N-2 W-2	81.4 0-2778
	Educe Based Integrated Select Select Provide Select Select Provide Provide Educe Select Provide Provide Educe Select Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Provide Select Provide Pro	Conservations (and prior 2). Conservation (and Prior 1) Conservations (and and external and enservation) (b) Ange (2) for sime () Conservations (and prior 2) and (and a servation) (b) Ange (2) for all and (and a servation) (and (and (and (and (and (and (and (and		Laten 4 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7	ara antra ina ang an
7.15	Educe Bases Interprete Select Select Control (SEC Educit Select Control (SEC Educit Select Control (SEC Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select	Conservations (and prior 2). Conservation (and Prior 1) Conservations (and and external and enservation) (b) Ange (2) for sime () Conservations (and prior 2) and (and a servation) (b) Ange (2) for all and (and a servation) (and (and (and (and (and (and (and (and		Calumi d No 2 Million Million	844 647798 814 615
7,**	Educe Bases Interprete Select Select Control (SEC Educit Select Control (SEC Educit Select Control (SEC Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select	Conservations (and prior 2). Conservation (and Prior 1) Conservations (and and external and enservation) (b) Ange (2) for sime () Conservations (and prior 2) and (and a servation) (b) Ange (2) for all and (and a servation) (and (and (and (and (and (and (and (and		Laten 4 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7	84 64793 64 69 60 60
7,**	Educe Bases Interprete Select Select Control (SEC Educit Select Control (SEC Educit Select Control (SEC Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select	Conservations (and prior 2). Conservation (and Prior 1) Conservations (and and external and enservation) (b) Ange (2) for sime () Conservations (and prior 2) and (and a servation) (b) Ange (2) for all and (and a servation) (and (and (and (and (and (and (and (and		Laten 4 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7	84 64793 64 69 60 60
7,*	Educe Bases Interprete Select Select Control (SEC Educit Select Control (SEC Educit Select Control (SEC Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select Educit Select	Conservations (and prior 2). Conservation (and Prior 1) Conservations (and and external and enservation) (b) Ange (2) for sime () Conservations (and prior 2) and (and a servation) (b) Ange (2) for all and (and a servation) (and (and (and (and (and (and (and (and		Laten 4 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7 N-7	84 64793 64 69 60 60

Data-driven blueprint to maximize results; plus the option to leverage SPH's help to execute a campaign

Nexus Platform[™] Experience and Engagement Data Platform

The clear industry leader in the insights

provided by our analytics

SPH Solution Portfolio



	LISTEN	ANALYZE healthcare consumer experience	MOTIVATE members to improve health			
Voice of	Member	Voice of	f Patient	Strategy Research	Data Analysis Solutions	Predictive Analytics + Targeted Outreach
HEDIS CAHPS	Health Risk Assessments	HCAHPS	OAS CAHPS	Brand / Brand Positioning	Nexus Portal	Smart Member Engagement
Medicare CAHPS	Performance Guarantees	CG CAHPS	ASC Patient Satisfaction	Market Share	Experience Explorer	Care Gap Closure
Medicare HOS	Net Promoter Score™ Surveys	ACO CAHPS	Pain Management	Market Segmentation	Nationwide Benchmarks	Diabetes
QHP Enrollee	Ongoing Tracker Surveys	CAHPS for MIPS	Endoscopy	Price Positioning	Predictive Analytics with SPH Forensics™	Cancer Screening
Behavioral Health (ECHO) CAHPS Drill Down/Simulations		ICH CAHPS	Diagnostic Imaging	Product Design	trACTION™ Impact Analysis & Modeler	Vaccinations
Call Center Satisfaction New Member		Home Health CAHPS	Therapy & Rehab	Advertising / Communications	Dynamic Data Analysis (DDA)	Omnichannel Outreach
Case Management	ment Disenrolled Members Hospice		Surgical Express	Qualitative Research	Conjoint Analysis	SDoH Assessment
Disease Management	LTC/LTSS	РСМН	ED Express	Focus Groups	Voice of the Member / Patient Priority Modeler	Access to Care Audits
Dental CAHPS	HCBS CAHPS	Outpatient Express	Inpatient Express	Online Communities	Condition Intelligence Analytics	Health Risk Assessments (HRAs)
Custom Voice of Member	r/Patient Market Research	Voice of Provider	Access to Care	In-depth Interviews	Health Care Engagement Index™ (HCEI™)	Rx Adherence and MTM
		Provider Satisfaction with Network	Provider Access	Voice of Other Stakeholders	Performance Improvement Solutions	New Member Welcome
		Provider Satisfaction with Health Plan	Provider Verification	AHRQ Patient Safety Survey (SOPS)	Scores / Ratings Improvement Consulting	Retention and Renewal
LIST	EN			Employee Satisfaction		Discharge Phone Calls
				Broker / Employer Experience	ANALYZE	MOTIVATE

Nexus Platform[™] Experience and Engagement Data Platform



MY 2020 CAHPS[®] Medicaid Adult 5.1H Final Report

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

© 2021 Symphony Performance Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

- Overview
- Methodology
- Executive Summary
- Measure Analyses
- Summary of Trend and Benchmarks
- Profile of Survey Respondents
- Demographic Segment Analyses
- Supplemental Questions
- Appendix: Correlation Analyses
- Appendix: Flowchart
- Appendix: Accreditation
- Appendix: Improvement Strategies & Voice of the Member
- Appendix: Questionnaire

Overview

SPH Analytics (SPH), a National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) certified HEDIS[®] Survey Vendor, was selected by Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA) to conduct its MY 2020 CAHPS[®] 5.1H Medicaid Adult Survey. NCQA requires health plans to submit CAHPS survey results in compliance with HEDIS[®] accreditation requirements.

SURVEY OBJECTIVE The overall objective of the CAHPS[®] study is to capture accurate and complete information about consumerreported experiences with health care. Specifically, the survey aims to measure how well plans are meeting their members' expectations and goals; to determine which areas of service have the greatest effect on members' overall satisfaction; and to identify areas of opportunity for improvement, which can aid plans in increasing the quality of provided care.

2021 NCQA CHANGES NCQA is using AHRQ's new 5.1 version of the CAHPS survey for 2021. These modified HEDIS CAHPS surveys include minor changes to some of the instructions and survey items to indicate the different ways in which patients may be receiving care: in person or via telehealth.

There are no new questions on the 5.1 version, but existing questions have been modified so that respondents know they should include telehealth visits as an appointment type as they respond to the survey. For instance, the introductory language to a section now reads:

"These questions ask about your own health care from a clinic, emergency room, or doctor's office. This includes care you got in person, by phone, or by video. Do not include care you got when you stayed overnight in a hospital. Do not include the times you went for dental care visits."

This new wording about care "in person, by phone or by video" has been added to appropriate questions and introductions throughout the survey.

Your Strategic Account Executive for this project is Alisa Simpson (678-689-0303) and your Project Manager is Julia Schneider (248-539-8757). Should you have any questions or comments regarding any aspect of the survey or reporting process, please feel free to call either your Strategic Account Executive or your Project Manager.

Methodology

SPH administered the MY 2020 Medicaid Adult 5.1H CAHPS survey using an NCQA approved methodology. Surveys were collected via a mail, phone, and internet methodology. Members eligible for the survey were those **18 years and older (as of December 31 of the measurement year) who were continuously enrolled in the plan for at least five of the last six months of the measurement year.** A synopsis of the data collection methodology is outlined below:

Mail Protocol Begins 3/2/2021Phone Protocol 04/27/2021 - 05/11/2021	Last day to accept completed surveys 05/19/2021		Data submission 5/26/202			
VALID SURVEYS			2019	2020	2021	
Total Number of Mail Completes = 190 (0 in Spanish)	0. malata	Completed Survey	266	178	280	
Total Number of Phone Completes = 66 (0 in Spanish)	Complete	SUBTOTAL	266	178	280	
Total Number of Internet Completes = 24 (0 in Spanish)		Does not Meet Eligibility Criteria (01)	4	0	9	
live of undelivered less 247		Language Barrier (03)	1	0	4	
Number of undeliverables: 347	Ineligible	Mentally/Physically Incapacitated (04)	1	0	4	
2021 RESPONSE RATE		Deceased (05)	1	0	5	
		SUBTOTAL	7	0	22	
Response Rate = Completed Sample size – Ineligible members		Break-off/Incomplete (02)	11	6	10	
		Refusal (06)	3	1	53	
190 (Mail) + 66 (Phone) + 24 (Internet) = 280	Non-Response	Maximum Attempts Made (07)	1869	2447	2267	
$\frac{10.7\%}{2632 \text{ (Sample)} - 22 \text{ (Ineligible)} = 2610} = 10.7\%$		Added to DNC List (08)	4	1	0	
		SUBTOTAL	1887	2455	2330	
ESPONSE RATE COMPARISON		TOTAL	2160	2633	2632	
The 2021 SPH Analytics Book of Business average response rate is 14.8% .		OVERSAMPLING %	60.0%	95.0%	95.0%	
		RESPONSE RATE	12.4%	6.8%	10.7%	

Note: Respondents were given the option of completing the survey in Spanish. A telephone number was provided on the survey cover letter for members to call if they would like to complete the survey in Spanish.

Executive Summary



• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Overview of Terms

Summary Rates are defined by NCQA in its HEDIS MY 2020 CAHPS[®] 5.1H guidelines and generally represent the most favorable response percentages. The Summary Rates for Effectiveness of Care Measures, with the exception of the *Flu Vaccinations (Adults 18-64)* measure, are calculated on a two-year rolling average due to anticipated small denominators.



Rating questions are typically displayed with two Summary Rates:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
										10

Percentile Rankings Your plan's approximate percentile rankings in relation to the Quality Compass[®] All Plans benchmark were calculated by SPH Analytics using information derived from the NCQA 1-100 Benchmark.

Significance Testing All significance testing is performed at the 95% confidence level using a t-test.

Small Denominator Threshold NCQA will assign a measure result of NA to overall ratings or composites with a denominator (i.e., the average number of responses across all questions used to calculate the composite) less than 100.

Technical Notes Please refer to the Technical Notes for more information.

NCQA BENCHMARK INFORMATION

The source for data contained in this publication is Quality Compass[®] All Plans 2020. It is used with the permission of NCQA. Any analysis, interpretation, or conclusion based on these data is solely that of the authors, and NCQA specifically disclaims responsibility for any such analysis, interpretation, or conclusion. Quality Compass[®] is a registered trademark of NCQA.

COVID-19 IMPACT

Because the 2020 survey administration took place during extraordinary circumstances, please use caution when comparing and interpreting trend results.

LEGACY DSS / MORPACE / SPH

For the 2020 reporting, the Analytics team reviewed all calculations and statistics to determine the best go forward strategy for SPH Analytics. Some historical calculations were updated to align with those decisions. As such, there are instances where a trend score from 2019 might be slightly different from historical reports.

Dashboard - 2021 Key Findings

TRENDING

Key measures that had significantly higher or lower scores compared to last year

MEASURE NAME	Trending
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 9 or 10)	1
Q31. Flu Vaccinations (Adults 18-64) (% Yes)	Ŷ



MEASURE NAME	2021 SCORE	ESTIMATED NCQA RATING
Rating of Health Plan (% 9 or 10)	71.4%	****
Rating of Health Care (% 9 or 10)	64.3%	****
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 9 or 10)	75.7%	****
Rating of Specialist (% 9 or 10)	75.0%	****
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	82.9%	***
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	80.0%	**
Coordination of Care (% Always or Usually)	83.3%	NA^
Flu Vaccinations Adults 18-64 (% Yes)	36.2%	**
Smoking Advice: Rolling average (% Always, Usually or Sometimes)	69.5%	*

SatisAction[™] KEY DRIVER STATISTICAL MODEL Key Drivers Of The Rating Of The Health Plan

POWER

Promote and Leverage Strengths

	_
Q8	Health care overall
Q22	Specialist overall
Q18	Personal doctor overall
Q27	Easy to fill out forms
Q24	CS provided info./help

OPPORTUNITIES

Focus Resources on Improving Processes That Underlie These Items

- Q25 CS courtesy/respect Q9 Got care/tests/treatment
- Q9 Got care/tests/treatment
- **Q20** Got specialist appt.

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.

Please refer to slide 13 for details.

SPH Book of Business Trends

COVID-19 Impact The pandemic caused significant disruption throughout most of 2020 and continuing into 2021. Therefore, it is best to interpret trend results with a degree of caution. Survey results from 2020 may have been impacted for some health plans because of the pandemic. SPH Analytics monitors industry trends in measure scores. On the right, we have provided a side-by-side comparison of aggregate SPH Book of Business scores to help you understand broader trends in measure scoring over the past three years. We chose to display the SPH Book of Business since we have 2021 results at the time this report was published.

Trend Highlights An increase in Rating scores from 2019 to 2020 can be seen while the same scores show little or no change moving into 2021. Getting Needed Care and Getting Care Quickly measures have remained relatively stable over the last two years. Flu, on the other hand, has declined since 2019.

	SPH Book of Business Trenc (Medicaid Adult)				
	2019	2020	2021		
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)					
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	62.0%	64.6%	64.5%		
Q8. Rating of Health Care	56.2%	58.8%	59.4%		
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	68.8%	70.7%	70.4%		
Q22. Rating of Specialist	66.8%	70.9%	69.7%		
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)					
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	78.4%	80.3%	79.8%		
Q8. Rating of Health Care	75.7%	76.9%	77.5%		
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	82.7%	84.2%	83.8%		
Q22. Rating of Specialist	82.9%	84.7%	83.9%		
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	83.2%	83.5%	84.1%		
Q9. Getting care, tests, or treatment	85.5%	86.3%	85.8%		
Q20. Getting specialist appointment	80.9%	80.7%	82.4%		
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	82.7%	82.7%	82.6%		
Q4. Getting urgent care	84.9%	85.0%	84.3%		
Q6. Getting routine care	80.4%	80.4%	80.9%		
Coordination of Care (Q17) (% Always or Usually)	83.8%	85.9%	84.8%		
Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18-64 (Q31) (% Yes)	45.4%	44.1%	40.6%		

Health Plan Domain Performance

Your plan's performance on measures that are typically considered to be in the domain of the health plan.

	SUMMARY RATE			2021 SPH B	ENCHMARK	2020 QC BENCHMARK	
MEASURE	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK
Rating of Health Plan (% 9 or 10)	64.0%	71.4%	7.4%	64.5% 🔺	93 rd	62.2% 🔺	94 th
Rating of Health Plan (% 8, 9 or 10)	77.1%	84.0%	6.9%	79.8%	85 th	78.5% 🔺	85 th
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	81.3%	82.9%	1.6%	84.1%	32 nd	83.0%	46 th
Customer Service (% Always or Usually)	92.8%	88.8%	-4.0%	89.7%	38 th	89.3%	36 th
Ease of Filling Out Forms (% Always or Usually)	95.7%	98.1%	2.4%	95.8% 🔺	89 th	95.8% 🔺	91 st

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Your overall Rating of Health Plan (9-10) Summary Rate score is 71.4% and represents a change of 7.4% from 2020.

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 40.

Significance Testing

Health Care Domain Performance

Your plan's performance on measures that are typically considered to be in the provider health care domain.

	SUMMARY RATE			2021 SPH B	ENCHMARK	2020 QC BENCHMARK		
MEASURE	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	
Rating of Health Care (% 9 or 10)	57.2%	64.3%	7.1%	59.4%	81 st	57.7%	88 th	
Rating of Health Care (% 8, 9 or 10)	71.7%	80.2%	8.5%	77.5%	72 nd	76.4%	80 th	
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	80.2%	80.0%	-0.2%	82.6%	24 th	82.3%	25 th	
How Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	87.3%	91.1%	3.8%	92.6%	19 th	93.2%	14 th	
Coordination of Care (% Always or Usually)	80.3%	83.3%	3.0%	84.8%	36 th	85.1%	30 th	
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 9 or 10)	60.3%	75.7% 1	15.4%	70.4%	90 th	69.2% 🔺	90 th	
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 8, 9 or 10)	74.3%	85.6% 1	11.3%	83.8%	73 rd	83.5%	68 th	
Rating of Specialist (% 9 or 10)	67.6%	75.0%	7.4%	69.7%	86 th	69.5%	87 th	
Rating of Specialist (% 8, 9 or 10)	77.9%	81.0%	3.1%	83.9%	25 th	83.9%	21 st	

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Your overall Rating of Health Care (9-10) Summary Rate score is 64.3% and represents a change of 7.1% from 2020.

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 40.

Significance Testing

Effectiveness of Care Performance

Your plan's performance on HEDIS measures collected through the CAHPS 5.1H survey.

MEACUDE	SUMMARY RATE			2021 SPH BE	ENCHMARK	2020 QC BENCHMARK		
MEASURE	2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	
Flu Vaccinations (Adults 18-64) (% Yes)	50.6%	36.2% ↓	-14.4%	40.6%	32 nd	43.8% 🔻	12 th	
Advising Smokers and Tobacco Users to Quit: Rolling average (% Always, Usually or Sometimes)	74.8%	69.5%	-5.3%	74.0%	30 th	77.2% 🔻	8 th	
Discussing Cessation Medications: Rolling average (% Always, Usually or Sometimes)	49.3%	55.8%	6.5%	52.3%	70 th	54.5%	61 st	
Discussing Cessation Strategies: Rolling average (% Always, Usually or Sometimes)	44.9%	46.1%	1.2%	46.2%	52 nd	48.7%	34 th	

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 40.

Significance Testing

Gap Analysis - 2020 Quality Compass

GAP ANALYSIS

Two scores can be used to evaluate a plan's performance gap – Achieved Max Score or Theoretical Max Score.

Achieved Max Score Gap – The spread between your plan's score and the highest score achieved by a plan within the 2020 Quality Compass (100th Percentile).

Displayed by the outer bound of the dark green section of the graph.

Theoretical Max Score Gap – The spread between your plan's score and the highest possible score a plan could achieve (100%). *Displayed by the outer bound of the graph.*

For each measure, your plan's 2021 and 2020 scores are plotted against the 2020 Quality Compass distribution.

Your plan's 2021 percentile ranking based on the 2020 Quality Compass along with the change in score from 2020 is reported on the outer edge of the graph.



202	2020 Quality Compass Thresholds							
<10 th	10-32 nd	33-66 th	67-89 th	<u>≥</u> 90 th		2020 Score		



OWeR Chart: Explanation

POWeR[™] CHART CLASSIFICATION MATRIX

The SatisAction[™] key driver statistical model was used to identify the key drivers of the rating of the health plan and the results are presented in the POWeR[™] Chart classification matrix on the following page.

Overview The SatisAction[™] key driver statistical model is a powerful, proprietary statistical methodology used to identify the key drivers of the rating of the health plan and provide actionable direction for satisfaction improvement programs. This methodology is the result of a number of years of development and testing using health care satisfaction data. We have been successfully using this approach since 1997.

The model provides the following:

- Identification of the elements that are important in driving of the rating of the health plan.
- Measurement of the relative importance of each of these elements.
- Measurement of how well members think the plan performed on those important elements.
- Presentation of the importance/performance results in a matrix that provides clear direction for member satisfaction improvement efforts by the plan.



OWeR Chart: Your Results

SURVEY ME	ASURE	SUMMARY RATE SCORE*	SPH ESTIMATED PERCENTILE	SPH ESTIMATED RATING				
POWER								
Q8	Health care overall	64.3%	81 st	4				
Q22	Specialist overall	75.0%	86 th	4				
Q18	Personal doctor overall	75.7%	90 th	5				
Q27	Easy to fill out forms	98.1%	89 th	4				
Q24	CS provided info./help	84.9%	51 st	3				
OPPORTUNITY								
Q25	CS courtesy/respect	92.7%	15 th	2				
Q9	Got care/tests/treatment	85.5%	47 th	3				
Q20	Got specialist appt.	80.4%	35 th	3				
WAIT								
Q4	Got urgent care	80.7%	20 th	2				
Q14	Dr. showed respect	90.5%	<5 th	1				
Q42	Staff was sensitive to cultural needs	69.7%						
Q15	Dr. spent enough time	90.5%	47 th	3				
Q17	Dr. informed about care	83.3%	36 th	3				
Q6	Got routine care	79.4%	40 th	3				
Q13	Dr. listened carefully	91.6%	29 th	2				
Q12	Dr. explained things	91.7%	35 th	3				
RETAIN								

RETAIN

None

* Summary rates are top-two box scores.

KEY DRIVERS, SUMMARY RATES AND PERCENTILES

The key drivers of the rating of the health plan are presented in the POWeR[™] Chart classification matrix. The table assesses the key drivers and each measure is ranked by importance within each quadrant. Focus resources on improving processes that underlie the most important items and look for a significant improvement in the rating of the health plan.



Overall Rating of Health Plan – Plan and Industry Key Drivers

YOUR PLAN TOP 10 KEY DRIVERS These items have a relatively large impact on the Rating of Health Plan. Leverage these questions since they are important to your members and the Rating of Health Plan score for this plan. They are listed in descending order of importance for your plan.

INDUSTRY KEY DRIVERS SPH Book of Business regression analysis has identified **Key Drivers** of Rating of Health Plan. The numbers represent the ranked importance across the entire Book of Business.

		ALIGNMENT Are your key drivers typical of the industry?		ATTRIBUTE	YOUR PLAN SUMMARY RATE SCORE	INDUSTRY KEY DRIVER RANK	SPH BoB SUMMARY RATE SCORE	SPH BoB PERCENTILE	CLASSIFICATION
RATING OF		Ø	Q8	Health care overall	64.3%	1	59.4%	81 st	POWER
HEALTH PLAN		Ø	Q22	Specialist overall	75.0%	3	69.7%	86 th	POWER
71.4% Your plan scored in the 93 rd percentile when compared to the	~	Ø	Q18	Personal doctor overall	75.7%	2	70.4%	90 th	POWER
	TOP 10 PLAN KEY DRIVERS	Ø	Q25	CS courtesy/respect	92.7%	4	95.0%	15 th	OPPORTUNITY
		Ø	Q9	Got care/tests/treatment	85.5%	6	85.8%	47 th	OPPORTUNITY
SPH Book of Business benchmark		(Q27	Easy to fill out forms	98.1%	15	95.8%	89 th	POWER
		Ø	Q24	CS provided info./help	84.9%	7	84.5%	51 st	POWER
Aligns with top 10 industry drivers		Ø	Q20	Got specialist appt.	80.4%	10	82.4%	35 th	OPPORTUNITY
Differs from top 10 industry drivers		Ø	Q4	Got urgent care	80.7%	5	84.3%	20 th	WAIT
	, 10 10	(Q14	Dr. showed respect	90.5%	11	94.6%	<5 th	WAIT
	TOP , STRY ERS)	Q6	Got routine care	79.4%	8	80.9%	40 th	WAIT
	ADD'L TOP 1 INDUSTRY DRIVERS		Q13	Dr. listened carefully	91.6%	9	92.9%	29 th	WAIT
	- AC	Note: All SPH BoB sc	ores & ranki	ngs are calculated based on the 2021 SPH Book of Bu	usiness. Any items below th	e dotted line are industi	y key drivers		

Note: All SPH BoB scores & rankings are calculated based on the 2021 SPH Book of Business. Any items below the dotted line are industry key drivers that are not identified as key drivers for your plan.

Overall Rating of Health Plan

		Different c	Demographic Com lemographic subgroups can have dissimilar	•		ealth plan.		
	8 - 10	9 - 10		8 - 10	9 - 10	Ethnicity & Race		
MALE (n=110)	80.0%	64.5%	18 - 34 (n=72)	83.3%	66.7%	AAA	8 - 10	9 - 10
FEMALE	00.00/	70.40/	35 - 44 (n=31)	80.6%	74.2%	WHITE		
Gender (n=159)	86.8%	76.1%	Age 45 - 54 (n=61)	91.8%	78.7%	(n=142)	83.1%	69.0%
			55 or older (n=103)	80.6%	68.9%	BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN (n=121)	86.0%	75.2%
	8 - 10	9 - 10	_	8 - 10	9 - 10	ASIAN (n=10) [^]	70.0%	50.0%
EXC./VERY GOOD (n=105)	90.5%	78.1%	EXC./VERY GOOD (n=118)	87.3%	75.4%	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	100%	100%
GOOD (<i>n</i> =80)	81.3%	70.0%	GOOD (n=81)	84.0%	70.4%	(n=1)^		
Health Status FAIR/POOR (n=83)	79.5%	65.1%	Mental/Emotional Health Status	78.3%	65.2%	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	87.5%	62.5%
	8 - 10	9 - 10		8 – 10	9 - 10	(n=8)	07.570	02.370
HS GRAD OR LESS	85.1%	73.5%	MAIL (n=182)	81.3%	72.0%	OTHER (<i>n</i> =13) [^]	84.6%	69.2%
(n=181)	00.170	10.070	PHONE (n=64)	92.2%	71.9%	HISPANIC/LATINO (n=12)^	100%	83.3%
Education SOME COLLEGE OR MORE (n=83)	80.7%	66.3%	Data Collection INTERNET (n=23)	82.6%	65.2%	NOT HISPANIC/ LATINO (n=248)	83.1%	71.4%
			Indicates a base size smaller than 20. Interp.	et results with	caution	MY 20	20 Medicaid A	dult Survey - 16

^ Indicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Estimated NCQA Health Insurance Plan Ratings

	2021 SCORE	SCORE DEFINITION	QC PERCENTILE RANK	SPH ESTIMATED RATING				
CONSUMER SATISFACT	ΓΙΟΝ			3.5				
GETTING CARE				2.5				
Getting Needed Care	82.9%	Usually or Always	46 th	3.0				
Getting Care Quickly	80.0%	Usually or Always	25 th	2.0				
SATISFACTION WITH PLA	SATISFACTION WITH PLAN PHYSICIANS							
Rating of Personal Doctor	75.7%	9 or 10	90 th	4.0				
Rating of Specialist	75.0%	9 or 10	87 th	4.0				
Rating of Health Care	64.3%	9 or 10	88 th	4.0				
Coordination of Care	83.3%	Usually or Always	30 th	NA				
SATISFACTION WITH PLA	N SERVICES			5.0				
Rating of Health Plan	71.4%	9 or 10	94 th	5.0				
PREVENTION								
Flu Vaccinations Adults Ages 18-64	36.2%	Yes	12 th	2.0				
TREATMENT								
Smoking Advice: Rolling Average	69.5%	Sometimes, Usually or Always	8 th	1.0				
In response to the COVID-19 p	andemic, NCQA a	lid not publish Health F	Plan Ratings in 202	20.				

EXPLANATION

NCQA calculates health plan ratings (HPR) by evaluating plans in three categories: consumer satisfaction, clinical quality (includes prevention and treatment) and NCQA Accreditation Standards score.

The overall NCQA star rating is the weighted average of an organization's HEDIS and CAHPS measure ratings, plus Accreditation bonus points (if the organization has NCQA Accreditation), rounded to the nearest half point.

The CAHPS measures are classified based on their national percentile (10th, 33rd, 66th and 90th) into scores ranging from 1 to 5 (in increments of 0.5), where 5 is the highest score and 1 is the lowest.

Results are summarized in the table to the left. **Percentiles and ratings are estimated by SPH** based on the 2020 NCQA data and benchmarks.

Rating = 1	Rating = 2	Rating = 3	Rating = 4	Rating = 5
<10 th	10 th – 32 rd	33 rd – 66 th	67 th – 90 th	>90 th
Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile

Notes:

- NCQA will assign a measure result of NA to overall ratings or composites with a denominator (i.e., the average number of responses across all questions used to calculate the composite) less than 100.
- Medicaid plans have the option to be scored on either Adult CAHPS or Child CAHPS data.

Oversampling Scenarios

OVERSAMPLING SCENARIO EXPLANATION

SPH displays projected results with current oversampling, no oversampling, and the scenario that achieves all reportable measures. The scenarios take into account changes in denominators and reportable measures that might impact ratings.

This plan currently oversamples at the rate of 95%. SPH recommends no oversampling in order to maximize ratings.

Based on the scenarios tested, holding everything else constant, an oversampling rate of 132% and above yields all reportable measures and a decrease on 1 measure. This is an estimate only and cannot be used to predict NCQA star ratings.

	ESTIMATED	OVERSAMPLI	NG SCENARIOS	
MEASURE NAME	RATING (Current: 95%)	0%	<u>≥</u> 132%	
CONSUMER SATISFACTION	3.5	4.5	3.5	
GETTING CARE	2.5	NA	2.5	
Getting Needed Care	3.0	NA	3.0	
Getting Care Quickly	2.0	NA	2.0	
SATISFACTION WITH PLAN PHYSICIANS	4.0	4.0	3.5	
Rating of Personal Doctor	4.0	4.0	4.0	Higher R
Rating of Specialist	4.0	NA	4.0	Lower R
Rating of Health Care	4.0	NA	4.0	Reportat
Coordination of Care	NA	NA	2.0	
SATISFACTION WITH PLAN SERVICES	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Rating of Health Plan	5.0	5.0	5.0	
PREVENTION				
Flu Vaccinations Adults Ages 18-64	2.0	2.0	2.0	
TREATMENT				
Smoking Advice: Rolling Average	1.0	NA	1.0	MY 2020 Medicaid Adult

Performance to Percentile Thresholds

COMPARISON TO QUALITY COMPASS PERCENTILE THRESHOLDS

The graph shows how your plan's scores used for accreditation ratings compare to the most recent Quality Compass thresholds published by NCQA (Fall 2020).



Top Three Measures

Your plan had the highest NCQA Quality Compass® All Plans percentile rankings for these three measures.

MEASURE	2021	YOUR PLAN SCORE		CHANGE	2020 QC BI	GAP	
	VALID N	2020	2021	OTANOL	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	
Rating of Health Plan (% 9 or 10)	269	64.0%	71.4%	7.4%	62.2%	94 th	9.2%
Rating of Personal Doctor (% 9 or 10)	222	60.3%	75.7% 1	15.4%	69.2%	90 th	6.5%
Rating of Health Care (% 9 or 10)	182	57.2%	64.3%	7.1%	57.7%	88 th	6.6%

Bottom Three Measures

Your plan had the lowest NCQA Quality Compass® All Plans percentile rankings for these three measures.

MEASURE	2021 VALID N	YOUR PLAN SCORE		CHANGE	2020 QC BENCHMARK		GAP
		2020	2021	CHANGE	SUMMARY RATE	PERCENTILE RANK	
Coordination of Care (% Always or Usually)	84^	80.3%	83.3%	3.0%	85.1%	30 th	-1.8%
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	124	80.2%	80.0%	-0.2%	82.3%	25 th	-2.3%
How Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	168	87.3%	91.1%	3.8%	93.2%	14 th	-2.1%

Significance Testing

Improvement Strategies

Improving Performance

These measures had the lowest NCQA Quality Compass[®] All Plans percentile rankings for your plan. While plans should also review which measures have lower scores than last year and which measures perform lower than benchmark, SPH offers these opportunities for improvement based on national percentile rankings.

Improvement Strategies - Coordination of Care

- Inform, support, remind and facilitate providers about coordination of care expectations, timely notification requirements, and standards of care for postvisit follow up to all PCPs. Explore options to encourage and support communications between specialists and PCPs.
- Develop on-going and timely reminders/messaging to promote and improve communication and reporting between all provider types, ideally based directly on available data/information.
- Assess the status and consistency of coordination of patient care, communication, and information shared within and across provider networks. Assure prompt feedback, standards.
- Support and facilitate a patient-centered care management approach within and across provider networks. Facilitate a complementary plan-based patient centered care management approach.
- Explore potential of aligning information flow/EHRs to better integrate, support or facilitate patient care, care coordination and vital medical and personal information among providers.
- Encourage providers to prompt patients AND patients to prompt providers, i.e., mutual interactions that review and discuss care, tests and/or treatments involving other providers.
- Encourage patients to bring a list of all medications, including dosage and frequency to all appointments. Encourage providers to prompt patients to do the same for their appointments.
- How do PCP's, providers, facilities and/or the plan assure common patient "touch points" to facilitate/support scheduling of appointments, tests and/or procedures? Where is the over-arching guidance and support for the patient/member?

Improvement Strategies – Getting Care Quickly

- Assess CAHPS data by health system, PO, and/or network. Communicate results and identify outliers. Correlate with HEDIS data, complaints, appeals and/or quality of care concerns, and communicate. Support and encourage providers to take innovative action to improve access.
- Support members and collaborate with providers to enhance routine and urgent access to care through innovative, proactive approaches within Care Management, Chronic Care, and Quality Management. Work with providers to identify and resolve opportunities.
- Discuss and engage providers/staff on scheduling best practices, how to improve access to routine/urgent care. Consider scheduling routine appointments well in advance, e.g., 12 months. Provide tools, resources, support and assessment.
- Support, encourage and assist in approaches toward open access scheduling. Allow a portion of each day open for urgent care and/or follow-up care.
- Contract with additional providers for urgent and after-hour appointments/availability.
- Explore partnering with 24-hour urgent care or walk-in clinics.
- Educate providers and staff about Plan and regulatory appointment wait time requirements or standards (i.e., CAHPS, CMS, States, etc.). Identify opportunities for improvement.
- Provide members streamlined tools and resources (links, apps, etc.) about benefits, providers, referrals, scheduling appointments, etc. Identify options and hours available, and include alternatives, including practices with evening and weekend hours. Consider alternative sources of information, e.g., refrigerator magnets.
- Explore and support alternative telecommunication technologies to expand access to care: telephone, telehealth, telemedicine and patient portals.
- Encourage use of Nurse Hotline/Nurse on Call lines or live-chat via web for members to get health information and advice.

Improvement Strategies – How Well Doctors Communicate

- Cultivate a patient-centered care philosophy and programs across the provider network.
- Support, communicate and educate providers about the vital medical importance of effective doctor-patient communication (i.e., reduced hospitalizations & ER visits, improved adherence).
- Provide readily available recommendations, tools and guidance to all providers to support and enhance communication skills and effective conversation skills with patients. Providers need to: Provide thorough explanations, provide written materials, illustrations and/or examples to help patient's understand, repeat the patient's concern and then address the topic, ask clarifying questions, make eye contact, avoid medical jargon and technical language, avoid multi-tasking, avoid rushing the patient, use constructive verbal responses and non-verbal cues, apply empathy and interest in response to concerns, by kind, avoid condescending language or actions, address questions and concerns-as much time as necessary, schedule adequate time for each visit, and follow-up after tests or procedures.
- Collaborate and share with providers tools, resources, and best practices to support, or reinforce, a complete and effective information exchange with all patients (e.g., a summary of medical record or health assessment to facilitate an effective health or wellness discussion, patient testimonials perhaps from focus groups - of effective and ineffective communication techniques, provide tips and/or testimonials in provider newsletters).
- Develop tools and guidance for patients to optimize appointment time and specific topic-based conversation guides or question checklists with providers (e.g., Doc Talk).
- Support patients with chronic illnesses/conditions and their providers with up-to-date tools, resources and conversation guides that address common clinical needs, continual review, modification and update of progress, next steps and self-management topics.

See full list of strategies in the Appendix: Improvement Strategies

Need Additional Assistance? For health plans that need additional assistance interpreting survey results and leveraging data to identify appropriate next steps for improvement, SPH offers Performance Improvement Consulting. Contact your Strategic Account Executive to learn more or visit our website at http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting.

Measure Analyses



Measure Details and Scoring

• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Measure Analyses: Section Information

Drilling Down Into Ratings and Composites This section is designed to give plans a detailed report on the performance of each global rating and composite measure.

The Composite Analysis typically consists of two pages. The first page displays composite level details and the second displays results for the attributes contained within the composite. It is critical to look at these attribute questions to determine if there is a particular aspect of care that is driving your composite score.



Analyses presented in this section include:

- > Plan Summary Rate Scores with comparisons to trending (if available)
- Comparisons to benchmarks
- Percentile rankings
- Proportions of respondents on gate questions
- > Comparisons to the SPH Book of Business on each measure plotted with Rating of Health Plan

Measures Included in Analyses

- Rating of Health Plan
- Rating of Health Care
- Rating of Personal Doctor
- Rating of Specialist
- Getting Needed Care
- Getting Care Quickly
- Coordination of Care
- Customer Service*
- How Well Doctors Communicate*





* The Customer Service and How Well Doctors Communicate measures are not used for NCQA ratings.





SatisAction™ KEY DRIVER STATISTICAL MODEL Key Drivers Of The Rating Of The Health Plan

POWER

Promote and Leverage Strengths

- **Q8** Health care overall
- Q22 Specialist overall
- Q18 Personal doctor overall
- **Q27** Easy to fill out forms
- Q24 CS provided info./help

OPPORTUNITIES

Focus Resources on Improving Processes That Underlie These Items

Q25	CS courtesy/respect
Q9	Got care/tests/treatment
Q20	Got specialist appt.

RATING OF HEALTH PLAN % 8, 9 or 10



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).





SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS DISTRIBUTION





RATING OF HEALTH CARE

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).





SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS DISTRIBUTION



RATING OF PERSONAL DOCTOR % 8, 9 or 10



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).





SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS DISTRIBUTION



RATING OF SPECIALIST % 8, 9 or 10



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).





GETTING NEEDED CARE % Always or Usually



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).









GETTING CARE QUICKLY % Always or Usually 80.2% 80.0% 82.6%



Significance Testing

100%

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).





MY 2020 Medicaid Adult Survey - 31




COORDINATION OF CARE % Always or Usually



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.





CUSTOMER SERVICE % Always or Usually



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.

* The Customer Service measure is not used for NCQA ratings.



CUSTOMER SERVICE QUESTIONS

The Customer Service composite score is calculated by taking the average of two questions:

- Q24. In the last 6 months, how often did your health plan's customer service give you the information or help you needed?
- Q25. In the last 6 months, how often did your health plan's customer service staff treat you with courtesy and respect?

2021 CUSTOMER SERVICE COMPOSITE SUMMARY RATE SCORE



Gate Question	Valid n	Yes
Q23. Tried to get information or help from health plan's customer service	268	36.2%

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\clubsuit) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.





How Well Doctors Communicate* Composite

PERCENTILE RANKING 2020 QC ALL PLANS % A or U 14th SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS DISTRIBUTION 100% 90% Rating of Health Plan % *9 or 10* %0% %0% 30% 20% 70% 80% 90% How Well Doctors Communicate

% A or U

O Your Plan

HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE % Always or Usually



Significance Testing

100%

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow) , the 2019 score (\clubsuit) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle) .

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.

* The How Well Doctors Communicate measure is not used for NCQA ratings.

SPH 90th Percentile

How Well Doctors Communicate Attribute Questions

HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE QUESTIONS

The How Well Doctors Communicate composite score is calculated by taking the average of four questions:

- Q12. In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor • explain things in a way that was easy to understand?
- Q13. In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor ٠ listen carefully to you?
- Q14. In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor show respect for what you had to say?
- Q15. In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor • spend enough time with you?

2021 HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE **COMPOSITE SUMMARY RATE SCORE**



Gate Question	Valid n	Yes
Q10. Have a personal doctor	277	81.6%

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (1), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\triangledown) .

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.





How Well Doctors Communicate Attribute Questions, Continued

HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE QUESTIONS

The How Well Doctors Communicate composite score is calculated by taking the average of four questions:

- Q12. In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor • explain things in a way that was easy to understand?
- Q13. In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor ٠ listen carefully to you?
- Q14. In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor show respect for what you had to say?
- Q15. In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor • spend enough time with you?

2021 HOW WELL DOCTORS COMMUNICATE **COMPOSITE SUMMARY RATE SCORE**



Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (1), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle).

Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\triangledown) .

^Denominator less than 100. NCQA will assign an NA to this measure.





Q15. PERSONAL DOCTOR SPENT ENOUGH TIME

Summary of Trend and Benchmarks



Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Summary of Trend and Benchmarks: Section Information

Trend and Benchmark Comparisons The CAHPS[®] 5.1H survey is designed to use composite scores to facilitate the aggregation of information and the communication of results. Questions are combined into composite categories comprising a particular service area managed by your plan. These composites, the questions that make up composites (attributes), additional measures, and rating questions are shown on the following pages.

<u>Summary Rate Scores</u>: Shows how your plan's composite and key question Summary Rates compare to trend data (if applicable) and scores from the 2021 SPH Analytics Medicaid Adult Book of Business and the 2020 Medicaid Adult Quality Compass[®] All Plans benchmarks. To help you identify how your plan's population compares to other plans and to previous data, statistically significant differences are highlighted.

Plan Percentile Rankings: Shows your plan's Summary Rates and percentile rankings in relation to the benchmarks.

Significance Testing

Green – Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). **Red** – Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

No color denotes that there was no significant difference between the percentages or that there was insufficient sample size to conduct the statistical test. All significance testing is performed at the 95% confidence level.

Benchmark Information

Available Benchmar

The following benchmarks are used throughout the report.

	2020 Quality Compass [®] All Plans	2020 NCQA 1-100 Benchmark	2021 SPH Analytics Book of Business
	Includes all Medicaid Adult samples that submitted data to NCQA in 2020.	A percentile benchmark (with values ranging from the first through the one hundredth percentile) calculated by NCQA and derived from Medicaid Adult data submitted to NCQA in 2020.	Includes all Medicaid samples that contracted with SPH Analytics to administer the MY 2020 CAHPS 5.1H survey and submitted data to NCQA.
PROS	 Contains more plans than Public Report Is presented in NCQA's The State of Health Care Quality 	 Utilized by SPH Analytics to calculate approximate percentile ranking of plan scores in relation to the Quality Compass[®] All Plans benchmark 	 Provides a benchmark for each question from the survey Permits precise percentile ranking of plan compared to benchmark Historically, the SPH BoB has varied by less than 1% from the Public Report benchmark
CONS	 Only contains benchmarks for certain key questions, composites, and rating questions 	 Only contains benchmarks for certain key questions, composites, and rating questions 	 Contains fewer plans than the Public Report and the Quality Compass[®] All Plans Benchmarks
SIZE	164 Plans	164 Plans	163 Plans / 44,346 Respondents

Summary Rate Scores

STAR RATIN	NG MEASURES	2021 VALID N	2019	2020	2021	2021 SPH BENCHMARK	2020 QC BENCHMARK
	Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)						
	★ Q28. Rating of Health Plan	269	68.4%	64.0%	71.4%	64.5% 🔺	62.2% 🔺
<u>9</u>	★ Q8. Rating of Health Care	182	61.5%	57.2%	64.3%	59.4%	57.7%
	★ Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	222	71.4%	60.3%	75.7% ↑	70.4%	69.2% 🔺
Total Star Rating	★ Q22. Rating of Specialist	100	71.8%	67.6%	75.0%	69.7%	69.5%
-	Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)						
*	Q28. Rating of Health Plan	269	80.6%	77.1%	84.0%	79.8%	78.5% 🔺
Measures	Q8. Rating of Health Care	182	78.6%	71.7%	80.2%	77.5%	76.4%
	Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	222	85.9%	74.3%	85.6% ↑	83.8%	83.5%
	Q22. Rating of Specialist	100	82.4%	77.9%	81.0%	83.9%	83.9%
<u>4</u>	★ Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	143	80.2%	81.3%	82.9%	84.1%	83.0%
-	Q9. Getting care, tests, or treatment	179	85.1%	88.0%	85.5%	85.8%	85.9%
Above	Q20. Getting specialist appointment	107	75.3%	74.7%	80.4%	82.4%	80.1%
QC	★ Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	124	84.3%	80.2%	80.0%	82.6%	82.3%
Benchmark*	Q4. Getting urgent care	88^	86.7%	79.4%	80.7%	84.3%	85.0%
	Q6. Getting routine care	160	81.9%	81.0%	79.4%	80.9%	79.8%
	Other Measure (% Always or Usually)						
	★ Q17. Coordination of Care	84^	83.0%	80.3%	83.3%	84.8%	85.1%
<u>5</u>	Effectiveness of Care Measures						
	★ Q31. Flu Vaccinations (Adults 18-64) (% Yes)	257	42.5%	50.6%	36.2% 🗸	40.6%	43.8% 🔻
At or Below QC	★ Q33. Advising Smokers and Tobacco Users to Quit: Rolling Avg.	154	78.5%	74.8%	69.5%	74.0%	77.2% 🔻
Benchmark*	Q34. Discussing Cessation Medications: Rolling Avg.	154	47.4%	49.3%	55.8%	52.3%	54.5%
	Q35. Discussing Cessation Strategies: Rolling Avg.	154	45.8%	44.9%	46.1%	46.2%	48.7%

Note: Please refer to benchmark descriptions on slide 40.

Summary Rate Scores

OTHER MEASURES (Not used for accreditation/ratings)	2021 VALID N	2019	2020	2021	2021 SPH BENCHMARK	2020 QC BENCHMARK
Customer Service (% Always or Usually)		91.4%	92.8%	88.8%	89.7%	89.3%
Q24. Provided information or help	93^	86.2%	87.1%	84.9%	84.5%	84.2%
Q25. Treated with courtesy and respect	96^	96.6%	98.4%	92.7%	95.0%	94.4%
How Well Doctors Communicate (% Always or Usually)	168	95.2%	87.3%	91.1%	92.6%	93.2%
Q12. Personal doctor explained things	169	95.7%	84.8%	91.7%	92.5%	93.3%
Q13. Personal doctor listened carefully	167	96.7%	87.5%	91.6% ‡	92.9%	93.4%
Q14. Personal doctor showed respect	169	96.7%	89.2%	90.5% ‡	94.6%	94.7%
Q15. Personal doctor spent enough time	168	91.8%	87.5%	90.5%	90.7%	91.3%
Other Measure (% Always or Usually)						
Q27. Ease of filling out forms	265	95.3%	95.7%	98.1%	95.8% 🔺	95.8% 🔺

Regional Performance

	SUMMARY RATE	2021 SPH BoB REGION
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)		
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	71.4% 💠	65.0%
Q8. Rating of Health Care	64.3%	61.3%
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	75.7%	71.8%
Q22. Rating of Specialist	75.0%	68.9%
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)		
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	84.0%	79.4%
Q8. Rating of Health Care	80.2%	78.2%
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	85.6%	84.0%
Q22. Rating of Specialist	81.0%	82.0%
Getting Needed Care (% Always or Usually)	82.9%	83.3%
Q9. Getting care, tests, or treatment	85.5%	84.2%
Q20. Getting specialist appointment	80.4%	82.3%
Getting Care Quickly (% Always or Usually)	80.0%	81.1%
Q4. Getting urgent care	80.7%	82.2%
Q6. Getting routine care	79.4%	80.0%
Coordination of Care (Q17) (% Always or Usually)	83.3%	82.2%
Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18-64 (Q31) (% Yes)	36.2%	40.6%
Medical Assistance with Smoking and Tobacco Use Cessation (% Always, Usually or Sometimes) (Rolling average)		
Q33. Advising Smokers and Tobacco Users to Quit	69.5%	73.2%
Q34. Discussing Cessation Medications	55.8%	49.9%
Q35. Discussing Cessation Strategies	46.1%	41.2%

HHS Regions: The regions used align with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services regions.



Region 6: Dallas

- Arkansas
- New Mexico
- Texas
- Louisiana
- Oklahoma

Significance Testing Current year score is significantly higher (�) or lower (�) than the 2021 SPH BoB Region score. MY 2020 Medicaid Adult Survey - 43

Percentile Rankings

	2021 Plan	Plan QC 2020 Quality Compass S																National Percentiles from 21 SPH Book of Business						
	Score	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th			67 th		90 th	95 th	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th	33 rd	50 th	67 th		90 th	95 th			
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)																					/			
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	71.4%	94 th	51.6	54.0	58.0	59.4	62.8	65.6	66.5	70.2	71.8	93 rd	54.5	55.7	60.6	61.8	63.6	66.1	67.2	70.4	72.2			
Q8. Rating of Health Care	64.3%	88 th	48.2	51.3	54.3	55.3	57.6	59.6	61.4	64.5	67.5	81 st	50.6	52.4	55.4	56.8	58.6	61.6	63.3	66.8	68.2			
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	75.7%	90 th	58.2	62.8	66.2	67.7	69.7	71.7	72.4	75.7	77.4	90 th	61.9	63.3	66.9	67.9	70.3	72.0	73.7	75.7	77.2			
Q22. Rating of Specialist	75.0%	87 th	60.2	62.8	65.4	67.4	70.4	72.5	73.7	75.6	78.5	86 th	60.2	62.0	65.2	66.7	69.4	71.8	73.6	75.9	76.9			
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)																					'			
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	84.0%	85 th	68.8	72.1	75.6	76.6	79.5	81.3	82.5	84.5	85.8	85 th	72.1	73.2	76.0	77.7	79.8	81.5	82.4	84.8	86.6			
Q8. Rating of Health Care	80.2%	80 th	67.9	70.3	73.4	74.9	76.8	78.2	79.4	82.4	84.2	72 nd	69.3	70.7	74.8	76.2	77.9	79.5	80.5	82.7	84.0			
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	85.6%	68 th	75.2	78.2	81.7	82.4	83.7	85.3	86.5	88.2	89.5	73 rd	78.0	79.7	81.5	82.4	83.9	85.2	85.7	87.9	88.9			
Q22. Rating of Specialist	81.0%	21 st	76.0	77.8	81.6	82.8	84.2	85.7	86.8	88.5	91.7	25 th	76.9	78.9	81.0	81.9	83.6	85.2	86.0	88.1	89.1			
Getting Needed Care (% A or U)	82.9%	46 th	72.9	77.0	81.0	81.6	83.4	85.5	86.2	88.4	89.3	32 nd	76.9	78.4	81.4	82.9	84.1	85.5	86.2	88.5	89.0			
Q9. Getting care, tests, or treatment	85.5%	38 th	78.6	79.9	83.3	84.6	86.5	88.0	88.7	91.0	91.4	47 th	76.9	80.6	83.0	83.8	85.8	87.6	88.7	90.6	91.1			
Q20. Getting specialist appointment	80.4%	49 th	69.6	73.5	77.0	77.8	80.5	82.9	84.3	87.7	88.6	35 th	72.4	75.4	79.2	80.0	82.4	84.4	85.2	88.0	89.3			
Getting Care Quickly (% A or U)	80.0%	25 th	72.7	75.4	79.9	81.0	83.5	84.9	86.1	87.1	88.1	24 th	75.4	76.7	80.0	81.0	82.4	83.9	84.8	87.5	88.5			
Q4. Getting urgent care	80.7%	14 th	75.6	77.6	82.5	83.2	85.5	87.5	88.3	90.4	92.6	20 th	76.8	78.6	81.3	82.6	84.3	86.0	87.3	90.1	91.8			
Q6. Getting routine care	79.4%	39 th	69.9	72.3	76.1	78.5	80.8	82.7	83.8	85.7	86.8	40 th	70.5	72.4	76.7	78.4	80.8	83.2	84.0	86.9	89.3			
Q17. Coordination of Care (% A or U)	83.3%	30 th	77.6	79.2	82.5	83.5	85.6	87.6	88.3	90.2	92.1	36 th	75.0	77.4	80.7	82.7	84.9	86.6	87.8	90.8	91.6			
Q31. Flu Vaccinations, 18-64 (% Yes)	36.2%	12 th	31.5	35.2	39.7	41.1	43.4	46.3	48.1	52.6	56.8	32 nd	27.5	30.2	34.5	36.4	39.7	42.1	43.8	52.6	56.8			
Medical Assistance with Smoking and Tobacco Use Cessation (% A, U, or S) (Rolling average)																								
Q33. Advising Smokers and Tobacco Users to Quit	69.5%	8 th	65.3	69.8	74.3	75.2	77.7	80.4	80.9	84.2	85.0	30 th	56.1	60.7	68.1	70.0	73.2	76.5	77.8	81.7	85.1			
Q34. Discussing Cessation Medications	55.8%	61 st	43.0	45.0	49.3	51.2	54.2	57.6	59.4	64.3	67.0	70 th	35.5	37.5	44.2	46.6	50.0	54.7	56.8	63.5	69.0			
Q35. Discussing Cessation Strategies % A = % Always, % U = % Usually, % S = % Sometimes, Sh	46.1%	34 th	37.7	40.9	43.8			50.8		56.7	60.6	52 nd	28.1	33.3	39.2	40.9	45.8	48.5	50.0	56.3	59.3			

% A = % Always, % U = % Usually, % S = % Sometimes. Shading indicates that the plan has achieved the percentile level in the column header.

Percentile Rankings

	2021 Plan	QC				ional P)20 Qua						SPH	ZUZI SPH BOOK OF BUSINESS								
	Score	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th		50 th	67 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	%tile	5 th	10 th	25 th	33 rd	50 th	67 th	75 th		95 th
Customer Service (% A or U)	88.8%	36 th	84.8	86.1	87.5	88.6	89.6	90.7	91.2	92.4	93.2	38 th	84.8	85.2	87.4	88.3	89.9	91.2	91.7	92.8	93.3
Q24. Provided information or help	84.9%	54 th	78.0	80.0	82.1	82.7	84.5	86.3	86.8	88.5	90.0	51 st	75.5	77.9	81.4	82.1	84.6	86.4	88.2	89.4	90.4
Q25. Treated with courtesy and respect	92.7%	25 th	90.5	91.5	92.7	93.9	95.0	95.8	96.3	97.1	97.4	15 th	90.6	91.8	93.3	94.2	95.0	96.1	96.7	97.6	98.3
How Well Doctors Communicate (% A or U)	91.1%	14 th	89.2	90.7	92.0	92.4	93.4	94.2	94.5	95.7	96.5	19 th	88.7	89.9	91.3	91.9	92.7	93.6	94.1	95.2	95.6
Q12. Personal doctor explained things	91.7%	21 st	88.8	89.8	91.9	92.6	93.5	94.7	95.1	96.2	96.6	35 th	88.1	89.2	90.8	91.2	92.9	93.9	94.4	95.4	96.1
Q13. Personal doctor listened carefully	91.6%	17 th	89.1	90.0	92.2	92.6	93.4	94.4	95.0	96.4	97.1	29 th	87.9	89.4	91.4	91.8	93.1	94.0	94.4	95.6	95.7
Q14. Personal doctor showed respect	90.5%	<5 th	91.1	92.2	93.4	93.9	94.8	95.5	96.0	97.4	98.1	<5 th	91.2	91.9	93.5	93.7	94.5	95.4	95.9	96.9	97.5
Q15. Personal doctor spent enough time	90.5%	33 rd	85.8	87.7	89.5	90.5	91.7	92.9	93.3	94.4	95.4	47 th	85.3	86.5	88.9	89.7	90.8	92.0	92.2	93.7	95.0
Ease of Filling Out Forms (Q27) (% A or U)	98.1%	91 st	92.5	93.5	94.6	95.0	95.9	96.7	97.2	98.0	98.6	89 th	92.9	93.7	94.5	95.1	95.8	96.7	96.9	98.1	98.5

% A = % Always, % U = % Usually, % S = % Sometimes. Shading indicates that the plan has achieved the percentile level in the column header.

Profile of Survey Respondents



Demographic Composition

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Profile of Survey Respondents: Section Information

Demographic Profile The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.

The percentages of respondents are displayed by demographic category (Age, Gender, Health Status, Mental/Emotional Health Status, Education, Ethnicity, and Race) from your current survey, compared to trend data (if applicable) and the 2021 SPH Analytics Medicaid Adult Book of Business and the 2020 Medicaid Adult Quality Compass[®] All Plans benchmarks. NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

The demographic makeup of your plan's member base may not mirror the "average" plan; therefore, caution is recommended when making comparisons to benchmark data. To help you identify how your plan's population compares to other plans and to previous data, statistically significant differences are notated. Refer to the Technical Notes for more information on this topic.

Significance Testing

Current year score is significantly higher than the 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than the 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\bigtriangledown).

SPH refers to the 2021 SPH Analytics Book of Business benchmark. **QC** refers to the 2020 Quality Compass [®] All Plans benchmark.

No color denotes that there was no significant difference between the percentages or that there was insufficient sample size to conduct the statistical test. All significance testing is performed at the 95% confidence level.

Profile of Survey Respondents

Survey Demographics

The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.



Gender





Note: NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

Note: Due to space constraints, scores <5% will not be labeled on the graph.



Mental/Emotional Health Status



Profile of Survey Respondents

Survey Demographics

The demographic characteristics of respondents surveyed should be representative of your member population. SPH Analytics follows NCQA protocol to help achieve a representative sample of your plan's member population.



Race



	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian/ Alaskan	Other
2021	52.2%	46.4%	3.6%	0.4%	2.9% ↑	4.7%
2020	50.6%	47.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.6%	1.8%
2019	48.9%	45.4%	1.5%	0.4%	0.8%	5.3%
SPH	63.0% 🔻	23.7% 🔺	6.3% 🔻	1.3% 🔻	3.9%	10.7% 🔻
QC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note: NCQA did not provide Quality Compass demographic benchmarks in 2020.

Demographic Segment Analyses



Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Demographic Analyses: Section Information

Segmenting Responses The CAHPS[®] 5.1H survey asks demographic questions about the respondent. This section allows you to evaluate the differences across segments of your plan's members. Reviewing measures across different survey response categories may indicate a health plan's overall ability to meet the needs of a varied population.

The percentages represent the Summary Rate for each segment. For example, in the table below, the Summary Rate for the *Rating of Health Plan* is the percentage of respondents who rated their health plan an 8, 9 or 10. The interpretation of this example would be, "Of the respondents with a high school education or less, 85% gave their health plan a rating of 8, 9 or 10. And, of the respondents with some college education or more, 80% gave their health plan a rating of 8, 9 or 10."

	High School or Less (A)	Some College or More (B)
Rating of Health Plan	85% ^B	80%

A letter and green font indicates that result is significantly higher than the corresponding column.

Segment Groups

- Rating of Health Plan (Q28)
- Rating of Health Care (Q8)
- Respondent's Health Status (Q29)
- Respondent's Mental/Emotional Health Status (Q30)
- Survey Type
- Respondent's Age (Q36)
- Respondent's Gender (Q37)
- Respondent's Education (Q38)
- Respondent's Ethnicity (Q39)
- Respondent's Race (Q40)

	Rating of Health PlanRating of Health Care			<u>He</u>	alth Sta	<u>atus</u>	Menta	I <mark>l Healt</mark> h	<u>Status</u>	<u>s</u>	urvey Ty	<u>/pe</u>		A	de		
	8-10	0-7	8-10	0-7	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Excellent/		Fair/Poor	Mail	Phone	Internet	18-34	35-44	45-54	55+
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(∨)	(VV)
Total respondents	226	43	146	36	107	83	88	121	85	72	190	66	24	76	33	61	108
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)																	
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	85.0%	н 0.0%	84.1%	J 28.6%	78.1%	70.0%	65.1%	75.4%	70.4%	65.2%	72.0%	71.9%	65.2%	66.7%	74.2%	78.7%	68.9%
Q8. Rating of Health Care	73.5%	12.0%	80.1%	J 0.0%	81.4% LM	55.0%	58.1%	71.6%	62.3%	55.6%	62.3%	69.8%	64.7%	62.2%	60.9%	72.9%	60.8%
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	84.2%	н 30.3%	86.7%	J 38.7%	75.0%	85.7%	M 68.0%	81.1% P	78.3%	65.1%	75.3%	75.0%	80.0%	72.7%	74.1%	84.9%	72.1%
Q22. Rating of Specialist	82.7%	41.2%	84.4%	44.4%	85.7%	77.4%	67.5%	81.1%	82.1%	62.9%	76.9%	75.0%	63.6%	73.3%	66.7%	95.8%	67.3%
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)																	
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	100%	н 0.0%	95.2%	48.6%	90.5% M	81.3%	79.5%	87.3%	84.0%	78.3%	81.3%	92.2% C	82.6%	83.3%	80.6%	91.8% W	80.6%
Q8. Rating of Health Care	89.0%	28.0%	100%	J 0.0%	88.1%	78.3%	75.8%	85.1%	75.5%	77.8%	77.0%	93.0% C	70.6%	73.0%	87.0%	91.7% TW	74.3%
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	94.0%	н 42.4%	93.8%	54.8%	86.8%	91.4%	M 78.7%	88.9%	88.4%	77.8%	86.0%	86.5%	80.0%	81.8%	81.5%	92.5%	84.9%
Q22. Rating of Specialist	88.9%	47.1%	89.1%	50.0%	92.9%	87.1%	70.0%	89.2% P	85.7%	68.6%	80.0%	83.3%	81.8%	86.7%	75.0%	95.8%	73.5%
Getting Needed Care (% A or U)	87.6%	H 60.6%	91.2%	57.8%	82.9%	82.6%	83.4%	81.5%	77.8%	88.9%	86.8%	75.6%	74.5%	78.8%	76.1%	95.0% тw	79.0%
Q9. Getting care, tests, or treatment	90.2%	60.0%	92.9%	55.6%	87.7%	86.4%	83.9%	84.9%	82.4%	88.9%	89.2%	76.2%	82.4%	88.9%	77.3%	97.8% W	78.7%
Q20. Getting specialist appointment	85.1%	61.1%	89.6%	60.0%	78.1%	78.8%	82.9%	78.0%	73.3%	88.9%	84.5%	75.0%	66.7%	68.8%	75.0%	92.3%	79.2%
Getting Care Quickly (% A or U)	82.0%	68.1%	85.4%	74.3%	72.8%	81.1%	83.9%	81.3%	76.2%	82.4%	85.0%	72.1%	65.2%	71.4%	92.9%	77.3%	81.8%
Q4. Getting urgent care	82.7%	60.0%	88.1%	77.8%	76.0%	80.0%	83.3%	83.9%	75.0%	82.1%	86.0%	75.0%	57.1%	76.2%	100%	72.7%	82.4%
Q6. Getting routine care	81.3%	76.2%	82.8%	70.8%	69.6%	82.1%	84.5%	78.7%	77.4%	82.6%	84.0%	69.2%	73.3%	66.7%	85.7%	81.8%	81.3%
Coordination of Care (Q17) (% A or U)	84.3%	76.9%	89.1%	66.7%	85.7%	89.3%	75.0%	87.9%	87.0%	75.0%	87.0%	72.7%	87.5%	81.3%	76.9%	90.0%	82.4%
Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18-64 (Q31) (% Yes)	37.6%	32.6%	42.0%	40.0%	30.2%	35.0%	45.0% к	31.3%	35.4%	45.5%	40.1%	27.7%	30.0%	16.9%	25.8%	33.3% т	53.4% TUV
Medical Assistance with Smoking and Tobacco Use Cessation (% A, U or S) (Rolling average)																	
Q33. Advising Smokers and Tobacco Users to Quit	69.8%	75.0%	73.8%	88.0%	64.9%	73.3%	68.6%	72.0%	69.0%	68.3%	71.9%	57.1%	58.3%	44.4%	56.5%	71.1%	79.5%
Q34. Discussing Cessation Medications	55.9%	56.5%	58.8%	72.0%	56.8%	57.8%	52.9%	65.3% 0	43.9%	58.1%	57.5%	50.0%	46.2%	33.3%	43.5%	61.5%	62.5%
Q35. Discussing Cessation Strategies	46.5%	47.8%	47.6%	56.0%	50.0%	47.7%	42.9%	52.0%	42.5%	43.5%	46.0%	33.3%	61.5%	31.6%	34.8%	55.3%	50.0%

		ing of th Plan		<u>ng of</u> h Care	He	ealth Sta	atus	Menta	l Health	<u>Status</u>	<u>S</u>	urvey Ty	<u>'pe</u>		A	ge	
	8-10	0-7	8-10	0-7	Excellent/ Very good	(-i00d	Fair/Poor	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Mail	Phone	Internet	18-34	35-44	45-54	55+
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(∨)	(VV)
Total respondents	226	43	146	36	107	83	88	121	85	72	190	66	24	76	33	61	108
Customer Service (% A or U)	94.9%	58.3%	97.1%	80.0%	86.4%	92.1%	89.4%	89.6%	90.1%	86.0%	90.8%	82.3%	100%	91.7%	87.5%	94.4%	85.8%
Q24. Provided information or help	92.2%	50.0%	96.2%	73.3%	82.5%	84.2%	87.9%	86.0%	84.0%	84.0%	88.1%	75.0%	100%	83.3%	83.3%	94.4%	82.2%
Q25. Treated with courtesy and respect	97.5%	66.7%	98.1%	86.7%	90.2%	100%	90.9%	93.2%	96.3%	88.0%	93.4%	89.7%	100%	100%	91.7%	94.4%	89.4%
How Well Doctors Communicate (% A or U)	92.8%	84.0%	95.5%	87.0%	90.5%	94.7%	88.0%	93.2%	93.5%	85.5%	92.8%	83.9%	96.7%	97.3%	77.3%	92.7%	91.8%
Q12. Personal doctor explained things	94.4%	80.0%	96.4%	88.9%	90.0%	93.0%	91.8%	93.9%	92.6%	87.8%	94.8%	82.1%	93.3%	92.9%	81.8%	93.3%	93.2%
Q13. Personal doctor listened carefully	92.9%	84.0%	95.5%	85.2%	90.0%	96.5%	88.1%	92.4%	92.6%	89.4%	92.1%	89.5%	93.3%	96.4%	77.3%	93.2%	93.1%
Q14. Personal doctor showed respect	92.3%	84.0%	94.6%	88.9%	90.0%	94.7%	86.9%	93.9%	92.6%	83.7%	92.2%	82.1%	100%	100%	77.3%	91.1%	90.4%
Q15. Personal doctor spent enough time	91.5%	88.0%	95.5%	85.2%	92.0%	94.7%	85.0%	92.4%	96.3% P	81.3%	92.1%	82.1%	100%	100%	72.7%	93.2%	90.4%
Other Measures																	
Q27. Ease of filling out forms (% A or U)	99.1%	92.7%	99.3%	94.1%	97.1%	100%	97.6%	99.2%	97.5%	96.9%	98.9%	95.2%	100%	98.7%	100% W	100% W	95.9%
Q7. Average number of visits to doctor's office or clinic	2.6	2.2	3.5	3.3	1.9	2.5	3.1 к	2.0	2.5	3.0 N	2.3	2.8	2.0	1.7	3.8	3.0 т	2.3
Q11. Average number of visits to personal doctor	2.3	1.8	2.7	2.3	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2	1.4	3.8	2.3 т	2.2 т
Q21. Average number of specialists seen	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.8 0	1.5	1.8	1.2	1.2	2.1	1.2	1.7

	Ge	nder	Edu	cation			R	Race			Eth	nicity
	Male	Female	High school or less	Some college or more	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other	Hispanic	Not Hispanic
	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Total respondents	118	162	187	88	144	128	10^	1^	8^	13^	13^	258
Rating Questions (% 9 or 10)												
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	64.5%	76.1% X	73.5%	66.3%	69.0%	75.2%	50.0%	100%	62.5%	69.2%	83.3%	71.4%
Q8. Rating of Health Care	55.7%	69.6%	64.4%	62.9%	64.6%	65.4%	40.0%	100%	57.1%	90.0%	70.0%	64.7%
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	68.6%	80.1%	79.7% a	66.2%	77.9%	75.0%	50.0%	100%	66.7%	76.9%	72.7%	75.2%
Q22. Rating of Specialist	69.0%	79.3%	77.5%	67.9%	76.3%	75.0%	80.0%	100%	100%	83.3%	100%	74.5%
Rating Questions (% 8, 9 or 10)												
Q28. Rating of Health Plan	80.0%	86.8%	85.1%	80.7%	83.1%	86.0%	70.0%	100%	87.5%	84.6%	100%	83.1%
Q8. Rating of Health Care	74.3%	83.9%	80.5%	79.0%	80.8%	80.8%	60.0%	100%	85.7%	90.0%	80.0%	79.6%
Q18. Rating of Personal Doctor	81.4%	88.2%	86.7%	82.4%	86.9%	85.9%	50.0%	100%	83.3%	92.3%	81.8%	85.1%
Q22. Rating of Specialist	73.8%	86.2%	81.7%	78.6%	81.4%	83.3%	100%	100%	100%	83.3%	100%	80.9%
Getting Needed Care (% A or U)	76.9%	87.4%	82.6%	83.7%	82.6%	83.5%	60.0%	100%	69.0%	95.0%	80.0%	82.8%
Q9. Getting care, tests, or treatment	84.3%	86.2%	87.1%	81.7%	86.6%	84.4%	60.0%	100%	71.4%	90.0%	60.0%	86.5%
Q20. Getting specialist appointment	69.6%	88.5% X	78.2%	85.7%	78.7%	82.5%	60.0%	100%	66.7%	100%	100%	79.0%
Getting Care Quickly (% A or U)	75.1%	83.3%	81.5%	77.9%	82.5%	77.4%	53.6%	NA	60.7%	56.8%	85.7%	80.1%
Q4. Getting urgent care	71.4%	86.8%	78.3%	85.7%	80.4%	80.6%	50.0%	NA	50.0%	50.0%	100%	81.0%
Q6. Getting routine care	78.8%	79.8%	84.7% a	70.0%	84.6%	74.2%	57.1%	100%	71.4%	63.6%	71.4%	79.3%
Coordination of Care (Q17) (% A or U)	79.4%	86.0%	90.6% a	70.0%	88.5%	76.7%	50.0%	100%	100%	66.7%	75.0%	83.1%
Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18-64 (Q31) (% Yes)	31.1%	39.7%	36.7%	34.5%	38.9%	33.6%	44.4%	NA	16.7%	36.4%	41.7%	36.6%
Medical Assistance with Smoking and Tobacco Use Cessation (% A, U or S) (Rolling average)												
Q33. Advising Smokers and Tobacco Users to Quit	60.9%	77.1% ×	68.1%	73.5%	68.4%	73.5%	50.0%	100%	100%	75.0%	100%	68.4%
Q34. Discussing Cessation Medications	46.3%	63.5% ×	54.2%	60.0%	51.9%	61.8%	50.0%	100%	100%	75.0%	60.0%	54.1%
Q35. Discussing Cessation Strategies	37.9%	52.3%	45.8%	48.6%	40.0%	55.2%	50.0%	100%	50.0%	50.0%	60.0%	43.7%

	Ge	ender	<u>Edu</u>	<u>cation</u>			R	lace			<u>Eth</u>	nicity
	Male	Female	High school or less	Some college or more	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other	Hispanic	Not Hispanic
	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Total respondents	118	162	187	88	144	128	10^	1^	8^	13^	13^	258
Customer Service (% A or U)	90.6%	87.6%	89.4%	87.6%	88.4%	90.1%	87.5%	NA	100%	87.5%	90.0%	88.3%
Q24. Provided information or help	89.2%	82.1%	85.2%	84.4%	84.2%	86.0%	75.0%	NA	100%	75.0%	80.0%	85.0%
Q25. Treated with courtesy and respect	92.1%	93.1%	93.7%	90.9%	92.5%	94.1%	100%	NA	100%	100%	100%	91.6%
How Well Doctors Communicate (% A or U)	89.6%	91.9%	92.0%	90.4%	95.7%	86.7%	75.0%	100%	100%	97.5%	100%	90.3%
Q12. Personal doctor explained things	93.3%	90.8%	92.0%	92.3%	95.7%	88.2%	66.7%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90.8%
Q13. Personal doctor listened carefully	86.7%	94.4%	93.7%	88.5%	93.6%	89.6%	83.3%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90.8%
Q14. Personal doctor showed respect	88.3%	91.7%	91.2%	90.4%	95.7%	86.8%	66.7%	100%	100%	90.0%	100%	89.5%
Q15. Personal doctor spent enough time	90.0%	90.7%	91.1%	90.4%	97.9% c	82.1%	83.3%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90.2%
Other Measures												
Q27. Ease of filling out forms (% A or U)	97.3%	98.7%	97.7%	98.8%	98.6%	97.5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98.0%
Q7. Average number of visits to doctor's office or clinic	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.2	3.4	3.0	5.9	2.9	2.8	2.4
Q11. Average number of visits to personal doctor	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.3	3.2	3.0	4.9	1.9	1.3	2.3
Q21. Average number of specialists seen	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5	2.6	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.6

Supplemental Questions

Results for Supplemental Questions

• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Supplemental Questions

Currues Herry	Opt-out Responses			Category R	esponses	Plan S	Summary Rate	Score	2021 SPH ВоВ
Survey Item	Out of 280 Total Respondents		Base		onses Per Question	2019	2020	2021	Summary Rate Score
Q41. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were		<u>Always</u>	<u>Usually</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	Never	(n = 259)	(n = 173)	(n = 271)	
comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs?		14.0%	5.5%	14.0%	66.4%	17.0%	36.4%	19.6% ↓	
Q42. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the		<u>Always</u>	<u>Usually</u>	Sometimes	Never			(n = 261)	
health care staff was sensitive to your cultural needs?		53.3%	16.5%	10.0%	20.3%			69.7%	
Q43. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>				(n = 46)	(n = 270)	
manage your condition?		84.1%	15.9%				87.0%	84.1%	
Q44. In the last 6 months, were you given information		Yes	No				(n = 48)	(n = 264)	
about your rights as a patient?		83.3%	16.7%				81.3%	83.3%	
Q45. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>				(n = 47)	(n = 265)	
specific type of medicine or treatment?		67.2%	32.8%				74.5%	67.2%	
Q46. Some health plans help with transportation to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus,		Yes	<u>No</u>					(n = 272)	
tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your health plan to get help with transportation?		12.9%	87.1%					12.9%	

Summary Rate Indicator	S
One share the straight of the state of the s	

Significance Testing

Grey shading indicates that the response is included in the summary rate score.

Current year score is significantly higher than 2020 score (\uparrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\blacktriangle). Current year score is significantly lower than 2020 score (\downarrow), the 2019 score (\ddagger) or benchmark score (\triangledown).

Low Base

Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

		ng of h Plan		<u>ng of</u> h Care	<u>He</u>	alth Sta	<u>itus</u>	<u>Menta</u>	l Health	<u>Status</u>	<u>s</u>	urvey Ty	/ <u>pe</u>		<u>A</u>	ge	
Summary Rate Score	8-10	0-7	8-10	0-7	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Excellent/ Very good	Good	Fair/Poor	Mail	Phone	Internet	18-34	35-44	45-54	55+
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(\vee)	(W)
Total respondents	226	43	146	36	107	83	88	121	85	72	190	66	24	76	33	61	108
Q41. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs? (% Always or Usually)	18.9%	21.4%	20.8%	13.9%	23.1%	18.5%	15.5%	18.6%	24.4%	14.5%	21.0%	16.1%	17.4%	16.9%	30.3%	24.6%	14.4%
Q42. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your cultural needs? (% Always or Usually)	74.4% H	43.9%	80.3%	65.7%	65.3%	69.2%	74.7%	68.5%	75.9%	63.8%	69.7%	65.1%	85.0%	58.0%	81.3% T	75.4% т	70.4%
Q43. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your condition? (% Yes)	88.7% H	⊣ 61.0%	92.4%	80.0%	83.2%	85.2%	83.7%	85.2%	86.6%	78.9%	82.6%	87.5%	86.4%	80.6%	81.8%	88.3%	86.4%
Q44. In the last 6 months, were you given information about your rights as a patient? (% Yes)	86.5% H	+ 68.3%	90.1%	90.9%	85.1%	83.5%	81.7%	84.1%	86.4%	77.9%	80.3%	90.5% Q	88.9%	78.3%	90.9%	91.5% TW	79.2%
Q45. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a specific type of medicine or treatment? (% Yes)	69.9%	56.1%	75.4%	68.6%	69.6%	69.2%	62.7%	66.7%	66.7%	70.6%	62.1%	79.7% Q	73.7%	69.0%	72.7%	72.9%	62.0%
Q46. Some health plans help with transportation to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your health plan to get help with transportation? (% Yes)	12.7%	7.1%	11.2%	16.7%	12.4%	7.6%	17.4%	10.2%	7.2%	21.7% NC	0 14.3%	12.1%	4.2%	4.1%	3.0%	19.7% TU	18.6% TU

A letter and green font indicates that result is significantly higher than the corresponding column. Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

	Gei	nder	Educ	<u>cation</u>			R	<u>ace</u>			<u>Eth</u> i	nicity
Summary Rate Score	Male	Female	High school or less	Some college or more	White	Black or African- American	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other	Hispanic	Not Hispanic
	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Total respondents	118	162	187	88	144	128	10^	1^	8^	13^	13^	258
Q41. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious beliefs? (% Always or Usually)	16.8%	21.5%	21.0%	14.1%	20.7%	18.5%	40.0%	100%	50.0%	30.8%	15.4%	19.7%
Q42. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your cultural needs? (% Always or Usually)	65.4%	72.7%	68.2%	72.5%	76.1% c	64.2%	55.6%	100%	85.7%	76.9%	53.8%	70.7%
Q43. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your condition? (% Yes)	82.7%	85.0%	84.1%	85.5%	89.7% c	77.8%	88.9%	100%	75.0%	100%	92.3%	83.5%
Q44. In the last 6 months, were you given information about your rights as a patient? (% Yes)	78.9%	86.5%	81.5%	88.0%	84.4%	79.5%	90.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83.5%
Q45. In the last 6 months, did you feel you could refuse a specific type of medicine or treatment? (% Yes)	63.3%	69.9%	63.3%	77.1% z	73.9% c	59.3%	90.0%	100%	71.4%	92.3%	76.9%	66.8%
Q46. Some health plans help with transportation to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your health plan to get help with transportation? (% Yes)	13.2%	12.7%	16.5% a	5.9%	7.7%	17.2% b	10.0%	0.0%	12.5%	15.4%	41.7%	11.8%

A letter and green font indicates that result is significantly higher than the corresponding column. Andicates a base size smaller than 20. Interpret results with caution.

Appendix: Correlation Analyses

Plan Specific Correlations

• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Correlation Analyses

Highest Correlations

Below are the 10 key measures with the highest correlations to the Rating measures.

With	Health	Care	Rating

Q22	Specialist overall	0.6845
Q28	Health plan overall	0.6692
Q18	Personal doctor overall	0.5348
Q9	Got care/tests/treatment	0.4588
Q25	CS courtesy/respect	0.3501
Q20	Got specialist appt.	0.3374
Q15	Dr. spent enough time	0.3268
Q24	CS provided info./help	0.2879
Q17	Dr. informed about care	0.2842
012	Dr. listened carefully	0.2731

	With Personal Doctor Rating	
Q22	Specialist overall	0.5812
Q14	Dr. showed respect	0.5619
Q15	Dr. spent enough time	0.5376
Q8	Health care overall	0.5348
Q28	Health plan overall	0.4976
Q17	Dr. informed about care	0.4607
Q13	Dr. listened carefully	0.4215
Q9	Got care/tests/treatment	0.3497
Q12	Dr. explained things	0.3408
Q20	Got specialist appt.	0.2956

	With Specialist Rating	
Q8	Health care overall	0.6845
Q18	Personal doctor overall	0.5812
Q17	Dr. informed about care	0.5737
Q25	CS courtesy/respect	0.5587
Q14	Dr. showed respect	0.5569
Q28	Health plan overall	0.5239
Q15	Dr. spent enough time	0.4721
Q9	Got care/tests/treatment	0.3962
Q24	CS provided info./help	0.3651
Q13	Dr. listened carefully	0.3433

Appendix: Flowchart



Understanding Relative Performance of Composite Measures

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Flowchart – Understanding Relative Performance

How composite questions perform relative to each other

Composite summary rate scores are displayed in the orange box.



Next to the composite score are the questions included in the composite.



There are two numbers in the boxes under the questions. The top number is how much that question contributes to the composite score (Actual Contribution). The bottom number is the gap between the Maximum and Actual Contribution.

Plan Score	Maximum	Actual	Maximum	_ Actual =	Gap
Х	Contribution =	- Contribution	Contribution	Contribution	
Max Score					

Q6 Example:





For the pie chart, the dark blue is the sum of the Actual Contributions and the light blue slice is the sum of the Gaps.



Flowchart – Understanding Relative Performance



* The How Well Doctors Communicate and Customer Service composites are not used in NCQA ratings.

Appendix: Accreditation



Estimated NCQA Plan Ratings and Frequency Distributions

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

Estimated NCQA Health Insurance Plan Ratings

EXPLANATION Beginning in 2020, NCQA made significant changes to Health Plan Accreditation. CAHPS[®] is no longer scored using 3-point scores for purposes of health plan accreditation. Instead, health plans are scored on a 1-5 star rating system based on HEDIS and CAHPS measures, with a bonus available for plans successfully completing the review of standards and guidelines.

The information contained in this report uses the methodology described by NCQA, but **only the NCQA results are official**. Results in this report should be used for quality improvement purposes only. The image to the right lists the measures from CAHPS required for Health Plan Accreditation as published by NCQA. Additional pages of required measures are available via the link provided.

- NCQA calculates health plan ratings (HPR) by evaluating plans in three categories: consumer satisfaction, clinical quality (includes prevention and treatment), and NCQA Accreditation Standards score.
- The overall NCQA star rating is the weighted average of an organization's HEDIS and CAHPS measure ratings, plus Accreditation bonus points (if the organization has NCQA Accreditation), rounded to the nearest half point.
- The CAHPS measures are classified based on their national percentile (10th, 33rd, 67th and 90th) into scores ranging from 1 to 5 (in increments of 0.5), where 5 is the highest score and 1 is the lowest. Percentiles and ratings are estimated based on the 2020 NCQA Quality Compass data and benchmarks.

Rating = 1	Rating = 2	Rating = 3	Rating = 4	Rating = 5	
<10 th	10 th – 32 rd	33 rd – 66 th	67 th – 90 th	>90 th	
Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	

Note: The **COVID-19** pandemic and the associated strain on the health care system led NCQA to decide not to publish Health Plan Ratings in 2020. Note: Because 3-point scores are no longer used by NCQA, SPH does not calculate 3-point scores and accreditation thresholds within this report.

Required HEDIS and CAHPS Measures for HEDIS Reporting Year 2021

HEDIS/CAHPS Measures Required for HP Accreditation—Medicaid

	Measure Name	Web Display Name	Weight*
PATIE	NT EXPERIENCE		
Getting	g Care		
Getting	Needed Care (Usually + Always)	Getting care easily	1.5
Getting Care Quickly (Usually + Always)		Getting care quickly	1.5
Satisfa	action With Plan Physicians		
Rating	of Personal Doctor (9 + 10)	Rating of primary care doctor	1.5
Rating	of Specialist Seen Most Often (9 + 10)	Rating of specialists	1.5
Rating of All Health Care (9 + 10)		Rating of care	1.5
Coordination of Care (Usually + Always)		Coordination of care	1.5
Satisfa	action With Plan Services		
Rating of Health Plan (9 + 10)		Rating of health plan	1.5
PREVE	ENTION		
Childre	en and Adolescent Well-Care		
ADV	Annual Dental Visits—Total	Dental visits	1
CIS	Childhood Immunization Status—Combination 10	Childhood immunizations	3
IMA	Immunizations for Adolescents—Combination 2	Adolescent immunizations	3
WCC	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— BMI Percentile—Total	BMI percentile assessment	1
Wome	n's Reproductive Health		
PPC	Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Timeliness of Prenatal Care	Prenatal checkups	1
	Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Postpartum Care	Postpartum care	1
Cance	r Screening		
BCS	Breast Cancer Screening	Breast cancer screening	1
CCS	Cervical Cancer Screening	Cervical cancer screening	1
Other	Preventive Services		
CHL	Chlamydia Screening in Women—Total	Chlamydia screening	1
FVA	Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18-64	Flu shots	1

The weight column indicates the weight of the item (maximum value = 3) in the overall score calculation.

https://www.ncqa.org/wp-

content/uploads/2020/12/20201218_2021_List_of_Required_Performance_Measures.pdf

NCQA 2020

Estimated NCQA Plan Ratings

	2021 VALID N	2021 SCORE	SCORE DEFINITION	QC PERCENTILE RANK	SPH ESTIMATED RATING	WEIGHT
CONSUMER SATISFACTION					3.5	
GETTING CARE				2.5		
Getting Needed Care	143	82.9%	Usually or Always	46 th	3.0	1.5
Getting Care Quickly	124	80.0%	Usually or Always	25 th	2.0	1.5
SATISFACTION WITH PLAN PHYSICIANS				4.0		
Rating of Personal Doctor	222	75.7%	9 or 10	90 th	4.0	1.5
Rating of Specialist	100	75.0%	9 or 10	87 th	4.0	1.5
Rating of Health Care	182	64.3%	9 or 10	88 th	4.0	1.5
Coordination of Care	84^	83.3%	Usually or Always	30 th	NA	1.5
SATISFACTION WITH PLAN SERVICES				5.0		
Rating of Health Plan	269	71.4%	9 or 10	94 th	5.0	1.5
PREVENTION						
Flu Vaccinations: Adults Ages 18-64	257	36.2%	Yes	12 th	2.0	1.0
TREATMENT						
Smoking Advice: Rolling Average	154	69.5%	Sometimes, Usually or Always	8 th	1.0	1.0

NOTE NCQA will assign a measure result of NA to overall ratings or composites with a denominator (i.e., the average number of responses across all questions used to calculate the composite) less than 100.
Global Proportions

GLOBAL PROPORTIONS SCORING AND ACCREDITATION

The graphical presentation of frequency distributions, including scores for composites and rating questions are shown on these pages. In addition, the measure's percentile threshold when compared to the 2020 NCQA 90th percentile benchmark is shown. The 90th percentile is the standard for achieving the maximum rating possible for a particular CAHPS accreditation measure.

	VALID N	2021 SCORE*	QC PERCENTILE THRESHOLD	QC 90 th PERCENTILE		ever/Sometim	nes 🗖 Usually 📕 Always
Getting Needed Care	143	82.9%	46 th	88.4%	17%	16%	67%
Q9. Getting care, tests or treatment	179	85.5%	38 th	91.0%	15%	20%	65%
Q20. Getting specialist appointment	107	80.4%	49 th	87.7%	20%	12%	68%
Getting Care Quickly	124	80.0%	25 th	87.1%	20%	16%	64%
Q4. Getting urgent care	88^	80.7%	14 th	90.4%	19%	14%	67%
Q6. Getting routine care	160	79.4%	39 th	85.7%	21%	19%	61%
Other Measures							
Coordination of Care	84^	83.3%	30 th	90.2%	17%	21%	62%

*Scores are % Always or Usually. **Note:** Due to space constraints, scores <5% will not be labeled on the graph.

Global Proportions

GLOBAL PROPORTIONS SCORING AND ACCREDITATION

The graphical presentation of frequency distributions, including scores for composites and rating questions are shown on these pages. In addition, the measure's percentile threshold when compared to the 2020 NCQA 90th percentile benchmark is shown. The 90th percentile is the standard for achieving the maximum rating possible for a particular CAHPS accreditation measure.

	VALID N	2021 SCORE*	QC PERCENTILE THRESHOLD	QC 90 th PERCENTILE					
Rating Questions							■ 0 - 6	7-8 9	- 10
Rating of Health Plan	269	71.4%	94 th	70.2%	10%	18%		71%	
Rating of Health Care	182	64.3%	88 th	64.5%	13%	23	%	64%	
Rating of Personal Doctor	222	75.7%	90 th	75.7%	10%	14%		76%	
Rating of Specialist	100	75.0%	87 th	75.6%	12%	13%		75%	
Prevention							No	Yes	
Flu Vaccinations Adults Ages 18-64	257	36.2%	12 th	52.6%			64%		36%
Treatment					Neve	er	Sometimes	Usually	Always
Smoking Advice	154	69.5%	8 th	84.2%	31	%	23%	15%	31%

*Scores are % 9 or 10, %Yes (Flu) or % Always, Usually or Sometimes (Smoking Advice: Rolling Average). Note: Due to space constraints, scores <5% will not be labeled on the graph.

Appendix: Improvement Strategies and Voice of the Member

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)



Improvement Strategies and VoM: Section Information

Improvement Strategies The left-side grey boxes contain improvement strategies compiled from SPH's years of experience working with hundreds of health plans to improve their scores. These are organized by key measures on the CAHPS survey. SPH encourages plans to review these strategies to help inform quality improvement plans.

Voice of the Member SPH periodically conducts qualitative research to help health plans better understand what members are thinking about when they answer questions on the CAHPS survey. We recruit members of different types of health plans and lead a moderated bulletin board discussion, probing for insights about their experience with aspects of care asked about on CAHPS. The quotes provided on the right-side of the following slides are pulled from conversations we have with members as part of this research.

SPH conducts this research to provide our clients additional insights into recommended improvements.

Rating of Health Plan

Rating of Health Plan Improvement Strategies

- Analyze, investigate, probe for weakness or QI opportunities among those measures or composites that are Key Drivers (or highly correlated) with Rating of the Plan (i.e., CS, Claims, GNC, GCQ, HWDC). Review QI recommendations/actions of these CAHPS composite measures.
- Communicate and educate all areas of the Plan on CAHPS, sharing findings, initiatives and outcomes. Seek input and observations. Engage relevant contributors into QI design/activities.
- Analyze satisfaction levels and loyalty ratings based on member profile/segmentation data (health system, age, length of membership, have PCP, etc.).
- Set S.M.A.R.T. goals Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely for all identified activities. Assess all relevant internal data. Conduct additional surveys, data analyses as needed.
- Consider opportunities for positive and strategic messaging about the plan or health care reminders to adult members. Use technology to provide more effective and efficient care.
- Consider the value of a SPH CAHPS Drill-Down, Simulation Survey or CG-CAHPS to probe key CAHPS measures and/or target segments of the population.
- Explore opportunity to continually monitor rating of the plan using targeted follow-up surveys, e.g., call satisfaction, care management, etcetera.
- Develop online videos (vs. excessive reading) explaining key terms and activities members need to know, e.g., how much you have to pay, or Understanding Your Health Insurance Costs. Evaluate and implement complementing, consistent, simple and clear communications.
- Explore or enhance potential of a mobile app providing member immediate access to secure accurate, upto-date information about their Plan, benefits, coverage, copays, cost, claims, etc.

Voice of the Member

- I like that I get a summary in the mail every few months on visits that I have had, and I like how I can see how the cost was broken down, as well.
- I can't see the specialists I need to. Either they won't cover them, or I have to go through this approval process.¹¹
- ⁴⁴ They provide an annual goal sheet for me to fill out, which holds me accountable for my health. It helps me regulate my daily actions, which helps me meet my desired goals.⁷⁷
- ¹¹ Because they are proactive. They tend to make sure that I am making my appointments, and from time to time they will send me information on how to improve my health with diet and exercise.¹¹
- ⁵⁶ The current website format with physician search features has been a welcome replacement for the member's provider handbook, which was frequently outdated. I have yet to see if the plan is keeping up with updating which practitioners are accepting new patients.⁹⁹

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Additional resource for improvement:

Rating of Health Care

Rating of Health Care Improvement Strategies

- Analyze, assess, probe for weakness or QI opportunities among those measures or composites that are Key Drivers (or highly correlated) with Rating of Health Care (e.g., GNC, GCQ, HWDC, CoC). Review QI recommendations/actions for related CAHPS composite measures.
- Seek to simplify Plan requirements, processes, and/or procedures (e.g., UM, CM, Pharma, Use of IVR) impacting the member experience of care and access to care, tests or treatment. Examples include: Provide care quickly. Provide quick access to effective treatments. Minimize patient costs for care.
- Assess internal data. Track, audit, review and assess call center calls and/or complaints regarding quality of care, choice of providers, access to care, UM, CM, health system, etc.
- Communicate and educate all areas of the Plan on CAHPS, sharing findings, initiatives and outcomes. Seek input and observations. Engage relevant contributors into QI design/activities.
- Confirm adequacy of contracted providers and walk-in centers with extended hours.
- Ensure CSR have easy access to current, updated resources to provide accurate guidance about plan/drug coverage, out of pocket cost, availability of providers, requirements, processes, etc.
- Foster strong relationships with contracted providers via regular communications and collaboration. Data driven comparisons of PEC metrics can support/guide mutual improvement.
- Explore potential of aligning information flow/ EHRs to better integrate, support, or facilitate patient care, coordination of care and vital information among contracted providers.
- Consider the need to conduct additional measurement, probing of composite measures with targeted populations or health systems (e.g., CG-CAHPS or CAHPS Drill Down Survey).

Voice of the Member

- I am still trying to figure out how to help my health issues right now by trying to find a doctor who will stick around the practice, so I can trust they will be concerned with how to take care of my health and help me be aware of what to expect.
- ¹¹ I had a much better doctor prior to the last two, who are okay, but they don't read medical files and it's like stepping back in time.⁷⁷
- ⁴⁴Other than my one specialist, who is amazing, I have had horrible care. Such **incontinuity of care** is neglectful, at best. I **never get to see the same doctor for follow-up**. I end up **seeing many different doctors for the same issue**.⁷⁷
- ⁴⁴ The care that I have received in the last six months has been **the best that I have received in my life**. I have been **impressed with the professionalism and prompt handling of my health care** in the last six months.⁷⁷

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Additional resource for improvement:

Rating of Personal Doctor

Rating of Personal Doctor Improvement Strategies

- Analyze, investigate, and probe for weakness or QI opportunities among those measures or composites that are Key Drivers (or highly correlated) with rating of personal doctor. (e.g., HWDC, GCQ, GNC, Coordination Of Care).
- Review QI recommendations/actions for related CAHPS composite measures: How Well Doctors Communicate, Getting Care Quickly, Getting Needed Care, Coordination of Care.
- Provide resources, articles, tools and training sessions via multiple channels to support and drive improvement in physician-patient communication and patient-centered interviewing. Examples include: Foster relationships with patients. Partner with them. Listen to their concerns. Treat them with compassion. Spend adequate time with them and ensure questions and concerns are answered.
- Share, report and discuss relative CAHPS health care performance and feedback at the health system and/or within network level.
- Promote use of a secure online patient portal which allows patients access to their medical record and health care information of relevant to patient needs.
- Gather and analyze patient feedback on their recent office visit (i.e., patient "comment cards," follow up call/text/email, CG CAHPS survey, etc.).
- Explore ability of providers to share with patient's a summary of their medical record or health assessments to facilitate conversation about relevant health and wellness issues.
- Assess systems (e.g., EHRs) processes and/or procedures used to gather or facilitate distribution of patient information among providers.
- Suggest providers/practices periodically analyze appointment scheduling timeframes versus types of office visits. Minimize wait times.

Additional resource for improvement:

AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting</u>

Voice of the Member

- ^{II} My doctor actually steps up to tell me about my weight and how I should watch it. Even though I am pretty much healthy, I like that she talks to me as we can work on a plan to lose it. But I have had doctors who just see me for who I am and don't really pay attention to me or my concerns.^{II}
- ¹¹ My doctor listens to me and it feels like we're a team when it comes to my health.¹¹
- ¹¹ He is **truly concerned with helping** each of his patients and **he listens** to what you have to say.¹¹
- ⁴⁴ Overall, the doctors knew what they were doing. However, I would have given them a higher rating if my doctor truly cared about me as a person. My example for this was when I asked her questions, she made it out like I didn't know what I was talking about.³³
- I don't care for the new doctor. They don't look at my old records to give me what I want. She doesn't understand I have too much pain to work, and I can't concentrate.

Rating of Specialist

Rating of Specialist Improvement Strategies

- Analyze, investigate, and probe for weakness or QI opportunities among those measures or composites that are Key Drivers (or highly correlated) with rating of specialist or doctor. (e.g., HWDC, GCQ, GNC, Coordination Of Care).
- Review QI recommendations/actions for related CAHPS composite measures: How Well Doctors Communicate, Getting Care Quickly, Getting Needed Care, Coordination of Care.
- Provide resources, articles, tools and training sessions via multiple channels to support and drive improvement in physician-patient communication and patient-centered interviewing. Examples include: Listen to patients' concerns, Follow-up with the patient. Provide thorough explanations. Ensure that all questions and concerns are answered. All staff focus on being helpful and courteous to patients.
- Share, report and discuss relative CAHPS health care performance and feedback at the health system and/or within network level.
- Promote use of a secure online patient portal which allows patients access to their medical record and health care information of relevant to patient needs.
- Gather and analyze patient feedback on their recent office visit (i.e., patient "comment cards," follow up call/text/email, CG CAHPS survey, etc.)
- Assess adequacy of contracted specialist by specialty. If necessary, review quality of care information among specific specialties and/or identify practices of excellence.
- Explore ability of providers to share with patient's a summary of their medical record or health assessments to facilitate conversation about relevant health and wellness issues.
- Assess systems (e.g., EHRs) processes and/or procedures used to gather or facilitate distribution of patient information among providers.
- Suggest providers/practices periodically analyze appointment scheduling timeframes versus types of
 office visits.

Additional resource for improvement:

 AHRQ best practices: https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html

 SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting

Voice of the Member

- ¹¹ He listens, takes as much time as you need and makes sure he answers all your questions. I always have a say in my care. If he doesn't know something, he tells you and will find out for you. He also advocates on my behalf with other doctors.³³
- I recently saw an OB/GYN that did a biopsy of my cervix. It was a difficult procedure emotionally, as the findings are to determine if the tissue is cancerous or not. The doctor did the procedure and I like the professional demeanor that he held. He reassured me not with words to me, but by explaining the procedure itself, which helped put me at ease.³⁷
- Some are good, some are not so good. My cancer specialists also takes the time and listens, so I'm satisfied.
- ⁴⁴ My endocrinologist is too fast talking and doesn't seem to want to listen to me when I talk about possible hormone issues. He brushes my symptoms off as not related to my condition when I know they are!

Getting Needed Care

Getting Needed Care Improvement Strategies

- Assess CAHPS data by health system, PO, and/or network. Communicate results and identify outliers. Evaluate with HEDIS data, complaints, appeals and/or quality of care concerns, and communicate. Identify issues, prioritize and implement improvement activities.
- Work with providers to support patients in navigating health care and remove obstacles. Support and encourage providers to take innovative action to improve access. Examples include: Serve patients quickly, treat urgent issues promptly, minimize wait times, follow-up about appointment times and test results. Another is to develop an in-depth referral/decision-making guide for PCP's to prepare for/with patients explaining need, urgency, patient expectations and responsibilities, and preparations for seeing a specialist.
- Support members and collaborate with providers to enhance access to care through innovative, proactive approaches within Care Management, Chronic Care, and Quality Management. Work with providers to identify and resolve opportunities.
- Continually assess, revisit and simplify plan requirements/processes (i.e., UM) impacting access to care, tests, or treatment. Seek opportunities to improve processes and procedures.
- Review and simplify precertification/auth/referral policies/procedures for both member and provider, including messages and communications. Cross-reference with complaints, concerns, and quality of care issues. Improve and clarify processes and communications.
- Evaluate and simplify member communications, assuring that members are clearly told why something is not approved. When appropriate, offer suggestions for next steps or alternatives.
- Ensure Customer Service representatives are able to accurately advise members of available alternatives for care, such as walk-in clinics, urgent care, specialists, labs, etc.
- Establish a specialist referral hotline for providers and members.

Additional resource for improvement:

AHRQ best practices: <u>https://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html</u> SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <u>http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting</u>

Voice of the Member

- ⁶⁶ I had an appointment, and not only were they rude, I wasn't taken care of. I had an appointment, and they didn't seem to care. Instead of trying to fit me in, they told me they would reschedule me to come back another time. Along with being very rude, no one there helped, not a doctor or office staff, they just sent me home.⁹⁹
- **44** After three years of struggling for a diagnosis for my back, I was finally listened to and referred to a spinal institute. That was like lightning fast. X-ray and MRI in the same day. Doctors barely gave me two weeks to have surgery. I do believe his words to me were, 'You are fortunate I am not taking you back this second.' It was pretty urgent.³¹
- ⁴⁴ My provider network seems to be pretty timely in making progress. My primary doctor discovered something with a blood test, and I was seeing the specialist within a couple of weeks. Did a test procedure the next week and was referred to the cancer center within about another week. All the appointments actually happened much faster than I imagined.³³

Getting Care Quickly

Getting Care Quickly Improvement Strategies

- Assess CAHPS data by health system, PO, and/or network. Communicate results and identify outliers. Correlate with HEDIS data, complaints, appeals and/or quality of care concerns, and communicate. Support and encourage providers to take innovative action to improve access.
- Support members and collaborate with providers to enhance routine and urgent access to care through innovative, proactive approaches within Care Management, Chronic Care, and Quality Management. Work with providers to identify and resolve opportunities.
- Discuss and engage providers/staff on scheduling best practices, how to improve access to routine/urgent care. Consider scheduling routine appointments well in advance, e.g., 12 months. Provide tools, resources, support and assessment.
- Support, encourage and assist in approaches toward open access scheduling. Allow a portion of each day open for urgent care and/or follow-up care.
- · Contract with additional providers for urgent and after-hour appointments/availability.
- Explore partnering with 24-hour urgent care or walk-in clinics.
- Educate providers and staff about Plan and regulatory appointment wait time requirements or standards (i.e., CAHPS, CMS, States, etc.) . Identify opportunities for improvement.
- Provide members streamlined tools and resources (links, apps, etc.) about benefits, providers, referrals, scheduling appointments, etc. Identify options and hours available, and include alternatives, including practices with evening and weekend hours. Consider alternative sources of information, e.g., refrigerator magnets.
- Explore and support alternative telecommunication technologies to expand access to care: telephone, telehealth, telemedicine and patient portals.
- Encourage use of Nurse Hotline/Nurse on Call lines or live-chat via web for members to get health information and advice.

Additional resource for improvement:

 AHRQ best practices: <a hrcfs://cahps.ahrq.gov/surveys-guidance/hp/improve/index.html

 SPH Performance Improvement Consulting: <a hrcfs:http://www.sphanalytics.com/consulting

Voice of the Member

- While treating multiple medical issues, all treatment and **appointments have been timely**, and **scheduling has been tweaked to meet my needs**. So, I'll give my care a solid 10.⁷⁷
- It is pretty good when you can get the medications in the same day, as then you can try to fix the problems that you are in. This is great when doctors pay more attention to the person, as you got to get in right away before anything else could go wrong. As it is always good to get there sooner rather than waiting until later and having more issues go wrong.¹¹
- ¹¹ The routine blood work at the lab was easy to get because you don't need an appointment - you just walk in and wait. This experience was memorable because it was the fastest I have ever gotten all of the care I needed.¹¹
- ¹¹ The only thing I haven't liked is the long wait times to be seen by appointment.¹¹

O How Well Doctors Communicate

How Well Doctors Communicate Improvement Strategies

- Cultivate a patient-centered care philosophy and programs across the provider network.
- Support, communicate and educate providers about the vital medical importance of effective doctorpatient communication (i.e., reduced hospitalizations & ER visits, improved adherence).
- Provide readily available recommendations, tools and guidance to all providers to support and enhance communication skills and effective conversation skills with patients. Providers need to: Provide thorough explanations, provide written materials, illustrations and/or examples to help patient's understand, repeat the patient's concern and then address the topic, ask clarifying questions, make eye contact, avoid medical jargon and technical language, avoid multi-tasking, avoid rushing the patient, use constructive verbal responses and non-verbal cues, apply empathy and interest in response to concerns, by kind, avoid condescending language or actions, address questions and concerns-as much time as necessary, schedule adequate time for each visit, and follow-up after tests or procedures.
- Collaborate and share with providers tools, resources, and best practices to support, or reinforce, a
 complete and effective information exchange with all patients (e.g., a summary of medical record or health
 assessment to facilitate an effective health or wellness discussion, patient testimonials perhaps from
 focus groups of effective and ineffective communication techniques, provide tips and/or testimonials in
 provider newsletters).
- Develop tools and guidance for patients to optimize appointment time and specific topic-based conversation guides or question checklists with providers (e.g., Doc Talk).
- Support patients with chronic illnesses/conditions and their providers with up-to-date tools, resources and conversation guides that address common clinical needs, continual review, modification and update of progress, next steps and self-management topics.

Voice of the Member

- No matter how trivial or how serious I thought something was, he wanted to hear about anything I perceived to be a health issue or emerging problem. It was a very refreshing approach.
- ⁴⁴ Last time I was there, **she told me I didn't need to use a certain brand** and in order to save me money, she told me to pick up the store brand and said it is just as good as the high dollar brand and will work just as well. I kind of always knew this and she was **really showing how much she cared for me** and **helped in saving me money**.¹¹
- If they branch off from what you say, it means that they are actually listening to you. This is good, as they are trying to process what you are saying and build off of it.
- For me, doctors show respect when they acknowledge me by giving me eye contact and waiting for an answer when they ask a question. They also show respect when they engage me in a dialogue to help resolve an issue or concern.

Additional resource for improvement:

Customer Service

Customer Service Improvement Strategies

- Emphasize comprehensive, collaborative, and high-quality customer/member services as a critical priority across all areas of the organization. Think and act together. Establish service recovery guidelines for resolving issues, including phrases that express apologies or atonement.
- Provide on-going/periodic CSR service training, open discussions and routine refresher programs. Include thorough annual updates, tools and resources and subsequent feedback. Training examples include: how to answer questions and resolve issues; consistency in being friendly, courteous and empathetic; quick issue resolution with follow-up; procedures to minimize transfers and wait/on-hold times.
- Involve the CS team in QI activities, seeking concrete customer-based input and improvements. Ensure they are fully informed of updates/changes to processes and procedures.
- Ensure CSRs have immediate access to knowledgeable staff within all key member and provider service areas (Claims, Enrollment, etc.).
- Support key subject matter experts to flexibly respond to urgent or complex types of calls, questions or issues including prompt prioritization and resolution procedures and/or authority.
- Develop, implement and review protocols and scripts ("Talking Points") to ensure up-to-date, accurate and consist information provided to your members and patients and providers.
- Establish, assess and adhere to measurable CSR performance/service standards (i.e., call satisfaction, call resolution, time on hold, etc.). Operationally define service behaviors.
- Seek QI opportunities with CS via observational walkthrough of calls and discussion/review of complaints, inquiries, and the member experience, especially any changes. Identify main issues and seek interventions that decrease volume and/or improve experience.
- Acknowledge and reward service performance/behaviors reflective of service excellence.

Voice of the Member

- To contact ours is not to have a pleasant experience, but hours and days of frustration. You are put on hold or routed to an answering service, and you hope for a return call but don't really expect one or you simply get disconnected repeatedly if you chose to stay on hold.
- I have recently contacted my plan to change my contact information. The exchange went very smoothly, and the customer service representative went the extra mile by connecting me with Medi-Cal in order to make the changes permanent in both systems.
- fit is nice to know what you are paying for up
 front instead of getting sticker shocked with bill.
- What is covered and what isn't, with a simple list and not overcrowded with a bunch of words that I have no idea what they really mean towards my health.
- I didn't have any difficulties filling out forms. My local family services office handled most of the paperwork. I just provided basic information.

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Additional resource for improvement:

Coordination of Care

Coordination of Care Improvement Strategies

- Inform, support, remind and facilitate providers about coordination of care expectations, timely notification requirements, and standards of care for post-visit follow up to all PCPs. Explore options to encourage and support communications between specialists and PCPs.
- Develop on-going and timely reminders/messaging to promote and improve communication and reporting between all provider types, ideally based directly on available data/information.
- Assess the status and consistency of coordination of patient care, communication, and information shared within and across provider networks. Assure prompt feedback, standards.
- Support and facilitate a patient-centered care management approach within and across provider networks. Facilitate a complementary plan-based patient centered care management approach.
- Explore potential of aligning information flow/EHRs to better integrate, support or facilitate patient care, care coordination and vital medical and personal information among providers.
- Encourage providers to prompt patients AND patients to prompt providers, i.e., mutual interactions that review and discuss care, tests and/or treatments involving other providers.
- Encourage patients to bring a list of all medications, including dosage and frequency to all appointments. Encourage providers to prompt patients to do the same for their appointments.
- How do PCP's, providers, facilities and/or the plan assure common patient "touch points" to facilitate/support scheduling of appointments, tests and/or procedures? Where is the over-arching guidance and support for the patient/member?

Voice of the Member

- Last summer, I had allergies so bad I had to go into urgent care for a fix. I went to my regular doctor and several follow ups during the next few months, and he had all the information he needed to help me out.³¹
- I saw an ENT who literally lied about speaking with my dentist. Physicians need to understand that they must build trust with a new patient, and they won't do that if they're lying about another medical provider that the patient already knows well.
- ⁴⁴ Recently, I felt that my primary care acting nurse practitioner was well versed in the particular thyroid condition that I have. I was glad that she was so informed, listening to what I was saying and the findings that were in my chart previously.³⁷
- ⁴⁴ I believe a lot of information is overlooked these days with a general practitioner and several specialists involved in one patient's care. All of the information might be in the paperwork, but most physicians don't read everything about each patient that is available to them in print.⁹⁹

(SPH National Sample) Recommended actions for improvement based on comments from adult consumers across the country with health insurance coverage

Additional resource for improvement:

Appendix: Questionnaire



• Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Centene LA)

© 2021 Symphony Performance Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.



SURVEY INSTRUCTIONS

 Answer each question by marking the box to the left of your answer. You are sometimes told to skip over some question this survey. When this happens you will see a arrow with a note that tells you what question to answer next, like this: 	tions n	These questions ask about your own health care from a clinic, emergency room, or doctor's office. This includes care you got in person, by phone, or by video. Do <u>not</u> include care you got when you stayed overnight in a hospital. Do <u>not</u> include the times you went for dental care visits.
 Yes → If Yes, Go to Question 1 No 		3. In the last 6 months, did you have an illness, injury, or condition that <u>needed care right</u>
Personally identifiable information will not be made public and will only be released in accordance with federal laws and regulations. You may choose to answer this survey or not.	f	<u>away</u> ? ☐ Yes ☐ No → If No, Go to Question 5
you choose not to, this will not affect the bene you get. You may notice a number on the back this survey. This number is ONLY used to let u	its of	4. In the last 6 months, when you <u>needed care</u> <u>right away</u> , how often did you get care as soon as you needed?
know if you returned your survey so we don't l to send you reminders. If you want to know more about this study, plea call 1-888-797-3605.		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
1. Our records show that you are now in Louisiana Healthcare Connections. Is that right?		5. In the last 6 months, did you make any in person, phone, or video appointments for a <u>check-up or routine care</u> ?
 Yes → If Yes, Go to Question 3 No 		 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 7
2. What is the name of your health plan? (Ple print)	ase	6. In the last 6 months, how often did you get an appointment for a <u>check-up or routine care</u> as soon as you needed?
		 Never Sometimes Usually Always

YOUR HEALTH CARE IN THE LAST 6 MONTHS

7.	In the last 6 months, <u>not</u> counting the times you went to an emergency room, how many times did you get health care for yourself in	11.	In the last 6 months, how many times did you have an in person, phone, or video visit with your personal doctor about your health?
	person, by phone, or by video? □ None → If None, Go to Question 10 □ 1 time □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 to 9 □ 10 or more times		 None → If None, Go to Question 18 1 time 2 3 4 5 to 9 10 or more times
8.	Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst health care possible and 10 is the best	12.	In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor explain things in a way that was easy to understand?
	health care possible, what number would you use to rate all your health care in the last 6 months?		 Never Sometimes Usually
	 0 Worst health care possible 1 		Always
	□ 2 □ 3	13.	In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor listen carefully to you?
	□ 4 □ 5 □ 6 □ 7 □ 8		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
	9 10 Best health care possible	14.	In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor show respect for what you had to say?
9.	In the last 6 months, how often was it easy to get the care, tests, or treatment you needed?		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
Y	Always	15.	In the last 6 months, how often did your personal doctor spend enough time with you?
10.	A personal doctor is the one you would talk to if you need a check-up, want advice about a health problem, or get sick or hurt. Do you have a personal doctor?		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
	Yes No → If No, Go to Question 19	16.	In the last 6 months, did you get care from a doctor or other health provider besides your personal doctor?
			 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 18

17. In the last 6 months, how often did your	21. How many specialists have you talked to in
personal doctor seem informed and up- to-date about the care you got from these doctors or other health providers?	the last 6 months? ☐ None → If None, Go to Question 23 ☐ 1 specialist
 Never Sometimes Usually Always 	 1 specialist 2 3 4 5 or more specialists
18. Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst personal doctor possible and 10 is the best personal doctor possible, what number would you use to rate your personal doctor?	22. We want to know your rating of the specialist you talked to most often in the last 6 months. Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst specialist possible and 10 is the best
 0 Worst personal doctor possible 1 	specialist possible, what number would you use to rate that specialist?
 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 □ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 Best personal doctor possible 	□ 0 Worst specialist possible □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 □ 7 □ 8 □ 9
GETTING HEALTH CARE FROM SPECIALISTS	☐ 10 Best specialist possible
When you answer the next questions, include the care you got in person, by phone, or by video. Do <u>not</u> include dental visits or care you got when you stayed overnight in a hospital.	YOUR HEALTH PLAN The next questions ask about your experience with your health plan.
19. Specialists are doctors like surgeons, heart doctors, allergy doctors, skin doctors, and	23. In the last 6 months, did you get information or help from your health plan's customer service?
other doctors who specialize in one area of health care. In the last 6 months, did you make any appointments with a specialist?	 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 26
 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 23 	24. In the last 6 months, how often did your health plan's customer service give you the information or help you needed?
20. In the last 6 months, how often did you get an appointment with a specialist as soon as you needed?	☐ Never ☐ Sometimes
 Never Sometimes Usually Always 	☐ Usually ☐ Always

25.	In the last 6 months, how often did your health plan's customer service staff treat you with courtocy and respect?	30.	In general, how would you rate your overall <u>mental or emotional</u> health?
	 with courtesy and respect? Never Sometimes Usually Always 		 Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
26.	In the last 6 months, did your health plan give you any forms to fill out?	31.	Have you had either a flu shot or flu spray in the nose since July 1, 2020?
	 Yes No → If No, Go to Question 28 		 ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know
27.	In the last 6 months, how often were the forms from your health plan easy to fill out?	32.	Do you now smoke cigarettes or use tobacco
	 Never Sometimes Usually Always 		every day, some days, or not at all? □ Every day □ Some days □ Not at all → If Not at all, Go to Question 36
28.	Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst health plan possible and 10 is the best health plan possible, what number would you		☐ Don't know → If Don't know, Go to Question 36
	 use to rate your health plan? 0 Worst health plan possible 1 	33.	In the last 6 months, how often were you advised to quit smoking or using tobacco by a doctor or other health provider in your plan?
	□ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
AF	 7 8 9 10 Best health plan possible 	34.	In the last 6 months, how often was medication recommended or discussed by a doctor or health provider to assist you with quitting smoking or using tobacco? <i>Examples of medication are: nicotine gum,</i> <i>patch, nasal spray, inhaler, or prescription</i>
	In general, how would you rate your overall health?		medication.
	 Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor 		 Never Sometimes Usually Always
		1	

In the last 6 months, how often did your	ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS
doctor or health provider discuss or provide methods and strategies other than medication to assist you with quitting smoking or using	Now we would like to ask a few more questions about the services your health plan provides.
are: telephone helpline, individual or group counseling, or cessation program.	41. When selecting your health provider(s), how often did you have a problem finding a physician you were comfortable with based on your cultural, personal, or religious
Sometimes	beliefs?
Usually Always	 Never Sometimes Usually
	Always
□ 25 to 34 □ 35 to 44	42. In the last 6 months, how often did you feel that the health care staff was sensitive to your cultural needs?
 10 to 01 55 to 64 65 to 74 75 or older 	 Never Sometimes Usually
Are you male or female?	Always
 Male Female 	43. In the last 6 months, were you given as much information as you wanted about what you could do to manage your condition?
What is the highest grade or level of school that you have completed?	☐ Yes ☐ No
 8th grade or less Some high school, but did not graduate High school graduate or GED Some college or 2-year degree 4-year college graduate 	 44. In the last 6 months, were you given information about your rights as a patient? Yes No
More than 4-year college degree	45. In the last 6 months, did you feel you
Are you of Hispanic or Latino origin or descent?	could refuse a specific type of medicine or treatment?
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	
No, Not Hispanic or Latino	
What is your race? Mark one or more.	
 White Black or African-American Asian Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander American Indian or Alaska Native Other 	
	methods and strategies other than medication to assist you with quitting smoking or using tobacco? Examples of methods and strategies are: telephone helpline, individual or group counseling, or cessation program. Never Sometimes Usually Always What is your age? 18 to 24 25 to 34 25 to 34 35 to 44 45 to 54 55 to 64 65 to 74 75 or older Male Female What is the highest grade or level of school that you have completed? 8th grade or less Some college or 2-year degree 4-year college graduate More than 4-year college degree Yes, Hispanic or Latino No, Not Hispanic or Latino Yes, Hispanic or Latino No, Not Hispanic or Latino What is your race? Mark one or more. White Black or African-American Asian Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

46. Some health plans help with transportation to doctors' offices or clinics. This help can be a shuttle bus, tokens or vouchers for a bus or taxi, or payments for mileage. In the last 6 months, did you phone your health plan to get help with transportation?

Yes
No

Thank You Please return the completed survey in the postage-paid envelope or send to: SPH Analytics • P.O. Box 985009 Ft. Worth, TX 76185-5009

If you have any questions, please call 1-888-797-3605.





SPH Solution Portfolio Built on Innovative Platform



LISTEN

We offer 51 surveys and market research offerings via full scale omni-channel outreach



Survey Solutions Member Experience	Survey Solutions Patient Experience	Survey Solutions Medical Practice	Qualitative Solutions
HEDIS CAHPS	HCAHPS	CG CAHPS	Focus Groups
Medicare CAHPS	OAS CAHPS	Medical Practice Express	Online Communities
Medicare HOS	ACO CAHPS	РСМН	In-depth Interviews
QHP Enrollee	CAHPS for MIPS	PCMH Express	Strategy Research
Behavioral Health (ECHO)	Home Health CAHPS	Survey Solutions Provider Experience	Brand / Brand Positioning
Call Center Satisfaction	ED Express	Provider Satisfaction with Network	Market Share
Case Management	Surgical Express	Provider Satisfaction with Health Plan	Market Segmentation
Disease Management	Outpatient Express	Provider Access	Price Positioning
CAHPS Drill Down/Simulations	Inpatient Express	Provider Verification	Product Design
New Member	Diagnostic Imaging	Survey Solutions Other Stakeholders	Advertising / Communications
Dental CAHPS	Pain Management	Employee Satisfaction	Conjoint Analysis
HCBS CAHPS	Endoscopy	AHRQ Patient Safety Survey (SOPS)	Health Care Engagement Index™ (HCEI™)
Custom Member Satisfaction / Trackers	Therapy & <u>Rehab</u>	Broker / Employer Experience	
	Hospice CAHPS		
LISTEN	ICH CAHPS		

Broadest portfolio of healthcare market research & widest set of modalities

ANALYZE

Our analytics offerings include 7 descriptive and predictive solutions built on our Nexus Platform

Data

Modeling



Data Exploration

```
Benchmarking
```

FIOIR	5013	urvey Re	spor	luents						Please se	e Technical Notes fr	or more in
The demographic	characteristic	s of respondents su	rveyed shoul	d be represent	Ative of your men	nber popula	tion. SPH A	inalytics follow	s NCQA protoc	oi to help ac	hieve a represe	ntative
l	ducation		HS Graduate or Less	Some College	College Graduate or More		Ethr	nicity		Hispanic/ Latiso	Not Hispanic Latino	
	21.8%	2018	21.8%	29.7%	48.5%				2018	5.6%	94.4%	
	71.8%	2017	24.5%	32.8%	42.7%			7	2017	6.1%	93.9%	
48.5%		2016	5.6%	20.7%	73.7%			V	2016	3.9%	95.1%	
	29.75	SPH	27.4%	31.5%	41.2%				SPH	9.0%	91.0%	
		NCQA	25.4%	32.8%	41.7%		94,455		NCQA	9.6%	90.4%	
HS Graduate or Less	 Some Colle 	ge • College Gradual	te or More			 Hisp 	anic/Latino	 Not Hispeni 	:/Latino			
	White	Race 25	.8%				White	Black or African- American	Asian	Hawailan/ Pacific Islander	American Indian/ Alaskan	Othe
Black or African-	American	1.1%				2018	25.8%	1.1%	67.8%	16.1%	3.1%	6.95
Darde of Personne		1.1.1				2017	90.8%	2.5%	4.4%	0.6%	1.7%	4.49
	Asian			6	7.8%	2016	83.9%	4.3%	13.0%	0.4%	2.6%	4.89
Hawailan/Pacifi	c Islander	16.1%				SPH	80.0%	7.5%	8.1%	2.4%	1.8%	5.79
American India	n/Alaskan	3.1%				NCQA	77.0%	9.2%	5.9%	1.0%	1.7%	5.45
	Other	6.9%										

kus p

MOTIVATE

We target action by creating cohorts for personalized engagement and can help with outreach execution



	that (who		(reduced)	Tegenetistics		Coloria	
		Getting Needed Care			·····) () mentation methods ()	
	Measure 1						
	Column And State of Column	Bare Yes: 8 full fine and	C henry byk host C that be be	dright Vare () inco	ante 🛞 64 () 64		
Image: A set of the		-		-	The being backs	Core composite acces is catculated by Laking the methods	
					et una latte	units, how offeet and then by a filler unit holds, or	
	0 /10	100		-			
	10 mm			10000	contractor at some	entile, hoe often del poi pel an appenentent lo sen a en poi nambel?	
The second secon							
The second secon							
And a second sec							
Have a field provide the speer of sector and provide provide the speer sector and provide provide the speer sector and provide the s					14		
		10.0					
Mail Section							
And		a na taona taona taona t			107-MG-817-31		
All and a set of the s			Compare Marche State (and 2) - Contracts Sol - PCP 19		10 MG 80 11		
MARE MARI MARI MARI MARI MARE Mare Mare Mare Mare		Education Research Control Sector	Conservation (a la contra) - Conservation (a la Point (Classe)		107 PRC 417 11		
MARE MARI MARI MARI MARI MARE Mare Mare Mare Mare		Education Design Conference on California Conf	Compare for the line (see 2). Contracts the POP IS Class : States of States (lines of cardinates allocers) Visit Ann Third (line)	Ramo'Nor 1 Provins Alternation of Provins &	BPROACT IN		
		Educts Measure Entry Social Educts F Social Process And P Educts & Education Process And P Educts & Language 21(2011) 187	Compare for the line (see 2). Contracts the POP IS Class : States of States (lines of cardinates allocers) Visit Ann Third (line)	Ramo'Nor 1 Provins Alternation of Provins &	ISTROACT IS		
Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Na All All All All Na All All All All Na All All All All		Educati Masaras Entrophono Salah 1 Galar Conte Provi Aler Salah 2 Galar Provi Aler Educati A Language Property (187) Q10	Company Barchis Han Cont (1) - Contenden San 2011 11 CCOnt / Contend Annuel Films and according a discussion (1916 Support of Barchiss Lines (San 2) and a Phage San Anglan - I of Barchiss Lines (San 2) and a Phage	a uniformation (,) and a .			
	-	Educati Masaras Entrophono Salah 1 Galar Conte Provi Aler Salah 2 Galar Provi Aler Educati A Language Property (187) Q10	toman kang kang kang kang kang Cowi Samang Almang Kang Kang Kang Kang Ting Kang Kang Kang Kang Ting Kang	annadoli (proc	Salari d		
and the second se	-	Educe Bases Interprete Select Order Freise Base Select Select Freise Base Educed Europage Freise (187) Educed Europage Freise (187)	Conservation (Long Service) (Long Service) Conservation (Long Service) C		Salari A Na 2 Na 20	#1.6 0.5778	
and a stand of the		Educe Bases Interpreter	Compare Name, No. (and 2). Commands the APP 1 Comma Comma Comma Command and an extreme of all results (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (all even (No. (Lident 4 No 7 No 10 No 1	814 64778 814 679	
	_	Educe Bases Interpreter	Compare Name, No. (and 2). Commands the APP 1 Comma Comma Comma Command and an extreme of all results (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (all even (No. (Lident 4 No 7 No 10 No 1	814 64778 814 679	
	7-15	Educe Bases Interpreter	Compare Name, No. (and 2). Commands the APP 1 Comma Comma Comma Command and an extreme of all results (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (all even (No. (Lident 4 No 7 No 10 No 1	814 64778 814 679	
1 - 1 - 1 - 1	7.*	Educe Bases Interpreter	Compare Name, No. (and 2). Commands the APP 1 Comma Comma Comma Command and an extreme of all results (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (all even (No. (Lident 4 No 2 No 2 No 2 No 1 No 1		
1. Marchana and a fair of the	7.*	Educe Bases Interpreter	Compare Name, No. (and 2). Commands the APP 1 Comma Comma Comma Command and an extreme of all results (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (all even (No. (Lident 4 No 2 No 2 No 2 No 1 No 1		
	7.5	Educe Bases Interpreter	Compare Name, No. (and 2). Commands the APP 1 Comma Comma Comma Command and an extreme of all results (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (all even (No. (Lident 4 No 2 No 2 No 2 No 1 No 1		
	7.3	Educe Bases Interpreter	Compare Name, No. (and 2). Commands the APP 1 Comma Comma Comma Command and an extreme of all results (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (and price in all factoring cases that all even (No. (all even (No. (Lident 4 No 2 No 2 No 2 No 1 No 1		

Data-driven blueprint to maximize results; plus the option to leverage SPH's help to execute a campaign

Nexus Platform[™] Experience and Engagement Data Platform

The clear industry leader in the insights

provided by our analytics

SPH Solution Portfolio



	LISTEN	ANALYZE healthcare consumer experience	MOTIVATE members to improve health			
Voice of	Member	Voice of	Patient	Strategy Research	Data Analysis Solutions	Predictive Analytics + Targeted Outreach
HEDIS CAHPS	Health Risk Assessments	HCAHPS	OAS CAHPS	Brand / Brand Positioning	Nexus Portal	Smart Member Engagement
Medicare CAHPS	Performance Guarantees	CG CAHPS	ASC Patient Satisfaction	Market Share	Experience Explorer	Care Gap Closure
Medicare HOS	Net Promoter Score™ Surveys	ACO CAHPS	Pain Management	Market Segmentation	Nationwide Benchmarks	Diabetes
QHP Enrollee	Ongoing Tracker Surveys	CAHPS for MIPS	Endoscopy	Price Positioning	Predictive Analytics with SPH Forensics™	Cancer Screening
Behavioral Health (ECHO)	CAHPS Drill Down/Simulations	ICH CAHPS	ICH CAHPS Diagnostic Imaging		trACTION™ Impact Analysis & Modeler	Vaccinations
Call Center Satisfaction	New Member	Home Health CAHPS	Therapy & Rehab	Advertising / Communications	Dynamic Data Analysis (DDA)	Omnichannel Outreach
Case Management	Disenrolled Members	Hospice CAHPS	Surgical Express	Qualitative Research	Conjoint Analysis	SDoH Assessment
Disease Management	se Management LTC/LTSS PCMH ED Express		ED Express	Focus Groups	Voice of the Member / Patient Priority Modeler	Access to Care Audits
Dental CAHPS	HCBS CAHPS	Outpatient Express	Inpatient Express	Online Communities	Condition Intelligence Analytics	Health Risk Assessments (HRAs)
Custom Voice of Member	r/Patient Market Research	Voice of Provider	Access to Care	In-depth Interviews	Health Care Engagement Index™ (HCEI™)	Rx Adherence and MTM
		Provider Satisfaction with Network	Provider Access	Voice of Other Stakeholders	Performance Improvement Solutions	New Member Welcome
		Provider Satisfaction with Health Plan	Provider Verification	AHRQ Patient Safety Survey (SOPS)	Scores / Ratings Improvement Consulting	Retention and Renewal
LIST	EN			Employee Satisfaction		Discharge Phone Calls
				Broker / Employer Experience	ANALYZE	MOTIVATE

Nexus Platform[™] Experience and Engagement Data Platform