

## AmeriHealth Caritas Louisiana

<b>National Imaging Associates, Inc.*</b>	
<b>Clinical guidelines</b> <b>MYOCARDIAL PERFUSION IMAGING</b> <b>(aka NUCLEAR CARDIAC IMAGING STUDY)</b>	<b>Original Date: October 2009</b>
<b>CPT Code: 78451, 78452, 78453, 78454, 78466,</b> <b>78468, 78469, 78481, 78483, 78499</b>	<b>Last Revised Date: March 2020</b>
<b>Guideline Number: NIA_CG_024</b>	<b>Implementation Date: <a href="#">January 2021</a>TBD</b>

### GENERAL INFORMATION

It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. All prior relevant imaging results, and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.

### INDICATIONS for MPI

(Fihn 2012, Hendel 2009, Montalescot 2013, Wolk 2014)

### SUSPECTED Coronary Artery Disease (CAD)

#### Symptomatic patients without known CAD (Use Diamond Forrester table)

- Low pretest probability and unable to exercise (~~SE diversion not required~~)
- Intermediate pre-test probability with an uninterpretable ECG or unable to exercise (Wolk 2014)
- High pretest probability (Stress Echocardiogram [SE] diversion not required) (~~Hachamovitch 2004~~)
- Repeat testing in a patient with new or worsening symptoms and negative result at least one year prior AND meets one of the criteria above

#### Asymptomatic patients without known CAD (SE diversion not required)

- Previously unevaluated ECG evidence of possible myocardial ischemia including substantial ischemic ST segment or T wave abnormalities (See Overview section)

\* National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Magellan Healthcare, Inc.

- Previously unevaluated pathologic Q waves
- **Previously unevaluated** complete left bundle branch block

### INCONCLUSIVE CAD EVALUATION WITHIN THE PAST 2 YEARS AND OBSTRUCTIVE CAD REMAINS A CONCERN

- Exercise stress ECG with low risk Duke treadmill score ( $\geq 5$ ), **(see Overview)** but patient's current symptoms indicate an intermediate or high pretest probability (SE diversion not required for high pretest probability)
- Exercise stress ECG with an intermediate Duke treadmill score
- Intermediate coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) (e.g. 30 - 70% lesions)
- Non-diagnostic exercise stress test with inability to achieve target heart rate (THR) **(SE diversion not required)**
- An indeterminate (equivocal, borderline, or discordant) evaluation by prior stress imaging (SE or CMR) within the past 2 years

### FOLLOW-UP OF PATENTS POST CORONARY REVASCULARIZATION (PCI or CABG) (Wolk, 2014)

- **Asymptomatic follow-up stress imaging (MPI or SE)** at a minimum of 2 years post coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (whichever is later) is appropriate only ~~for patients~~**for patients** with a history of silent ischemia or a history of a prior left main stent (Wolk, 2014).

#### OR

For patients with high occupational risk (e.g. associated with public safety, airline and boat pilots, bus and train drivers, bridge and tunnel workers/toll collectors, police officers and firefighters)

- **New, recurrent, or worsening symptoms post coronary revascularization** is an indication for stress imaging (MPI or SE), if it will alter management

### FOLLOW-UP OF KNOWN CAD

- **Follow-up of asymptomatic or stable symptoms** when last invasive or non-invasive assessment of coronary disease showed hemodynamically significant CAD (ischemia on stress test or  $\text{FFR} \leq 0.80$  or stenosis  ~~$\geq$  greater than or equal to~~ 70% of a major vessel), over two years ago, without intervening coronary revascularization is an appropriate indication for stress imaging (MPI or SE) in patients if it will alter management

### SPECIAL DIAGNOSTIC CONDITIONS REQUIRING CORONARY EVALUATION

- Prior acute coronary syndrome (**withas** document**ationed** in MD notes), without invasive or non-invasive coronary evaluation (SE diversion not required)

- Newly diagnosed systolic heart failure (EF < 50%) with symptoms or signs of ischemia unless invasive coronary angiography is immediately planned (SE diversion not required) (Fihn, 2012; Patel, 2013; Yancy, 2013)
- LVEF ≤ 50% requiring myocardial viability assessment to assist with decisions regarding coronary revascularization (~~SE diversion not required~~) (Patel, 2013; Yancy, 2013)
- Ventricular arrhythmias
  - Sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) > 100 bpm, ventricular fibrillation (VF), or exercise induced VT, when invasive coronary arteriography is not immediately planned (Al-Khatib 2018) (SE diversion not required)
  - Nonsustained VT, multiple episodes, each ≥ 3 beats at ≥ 100 bpm, or frequent PVCs (defined as greater than or equal to 30/hour **on remote monitoring**) without known cause or associated cardiac pathology, when an exercise ECG cannot be performed (Zimetbaum 2018)
- Prior to Class IC antiarrhythmic drug initiation (Propafenone or Flecainide), in intermediate and high global risk patients (SE diversion not required) (Reiffel, 2015)
- Assessment of hemodynamic significance of one of the following documented conditions: **(Anagnostopoulos 2004):**
  - Anomalous coronary arteries (Grani, 2017)
  - Myocardial bridging of coronary artery
- Coronary aneurysms in Kawasaki's disease (Newburger, 2016) or due to atherosclerosis
- Following radiation therapy to the anterior or left chest, at 5 years post initiation and every 5 years thereafter (Lancellotti, 2013)

#### PRIOR TO ELECTIVE ~~NON-CARDIAC~~NON-CARDIAC SURGERY

- Patients who have no above indication for non-invasive coronary evaluation, but are referred for preoperative cardiac evaluation, are eligible for MPI if **all 4 criteria** are met:
  - Surgery is supra-inguinal vascular, intrathoracic, or intra-abdominal; **AND**
  - The patient has **at least one** of the additional cardiac complication risk factors:
    - Ischemic Heart Disease
    - History of stroke or **transient ischemic attack (TIA)**
    - History of congestive heart failure or ejection fraction ≤ 35%
    - Insulin-requiring diabetes mellitus
    - Creatinine ≥ 2.0 mg/dl
  - AND**
  - The patient has limited functional capacity (< 4 METS), such as one of the following:
    - Unable to take care of their activities of daily living (ADLs) or ambulate
    - Unable to walk 2 blocks on level ground
    - Unable to climb 1 flight of stairs
  - AND**
  - There has not been a conclusive stress evaluation, CTA, or heart catheterization within the past year; and the results of such a test would be likely to substantially alter therapy and/or preclude proceeding with the intended surgery.
- Planning for solid organ transplantation is an indication for preoperative MPI, if there has not been a conclusive stress evaluation, CTA, or heart catheterization within the past year

and with  $\geq 3$  of the following risk factors ~~one of the following~~: (SE diversion not required) (Lentine, 2012):

- ~~○ The patient has limited functional capacity ( $< 4$  METS), such as one of the following:~~
  - ~~▪ Unable to take care of their ADLs or ambulate~~
  - ~~▪ Unable to walk 2 blocks on level ground~~
  - ~~▪ Unable to climb 1 flight of stairs~~

~~OR~~

~~In a patient with  $\geq 3$  of the following (following (Lentine 2012):~~

- ~~▪ Age  $> 60$~~
- ~~▪ Smoking~~
- ~~▪ Hypertension~~
- ~~▪ Dyslipidemia~~
- ~~▪ Left ventricular hypertrophy~~
- ~~▪  $> 1$  year on dialysis (for renal transplant patients)~~
- ~~▪ Diabetes mellitus~~
- ~~▪ Prior ischemic heart disease~~

## POST CARDIAC TRANSPLANT (SE diversion not required)

- ~~● Annually, for the first five years post cardiac transplantation, in a patient **not undergoing** ~~who otherwise will not~~ will not undergo annual invasive coronary arteriography~~
- 
- ~~● After the first five years post cardiac transplantation ∴~~
- ~~● pPatients with documented transplant coronary vasculopathy can be screened annually if ~~the risk of annual~~ invasive coronary arteriography is not planned ~~acceptable (e.g. high risk of contrast nephropathy) or not desired.~~~~
- 

---

## BACKGROUND

This guideline is for stress imaging, specifically myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI), with appropriate preference for alternatives, such as stress echocardiography (SE) or stress ECG alone when more suitable (see section below).

Radionuclide myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) allows for evaluation of cardiac perfusion at rest and at exercise, as well as using pharmacologic agents for the diagnosis and management of coronary artery disease. With radionuclide MPI, pharmacologic stress may be performed with an inotropic agent or vasodilator. ~~There are currently 3 vasodilators approved for MPI stress testing: dipyridamole, adenosine, and regadenoson.~~ The se agents ~~sy~~ are indicated for patients who cannot reach an adequate endpoint with physical exercise stress testing (Pagnanelli, 2017).

**Stable patients without known CAD** fall into 2 categories (Fihn, 2012; Montalescot, 2013; Wolk, 2013):

- **Asymptomatic**, for whom global risk of CAD events can be determined from coronary risk factors, using calculators available online (see Websites for Global Cardiovascular Risk Calculators section).
- **Symptomatic**, for whom we estimate the pretest probability that their chest-related symptoms are due to clinically significant ~~( $\geq 70\%$ )~~ CAD (below):

### The 3 Types of Chest Pain or Discomfort

- **Typical Angina (Definite)** is defined as including all **3** characteristics:
  - Substernal chest pain or discomfort with characteristic quality and duration
  - Provoked by exertion or emotional stress
  - Relieved by rest and/or nitroglycerine
- **Atypical Angina (Probable)** has only **2** of the above characteristics
- **Nonanginal Chest Pain/Discomfort** has only **0 - 1** of the above characteristics

Once the type of chest pain has been established from the medical record, the Pretest Probability of obstructive CAD is estimated from the **Diamond Forrester Table** below, recognizing that in some cases multiple additional coronary risk factors could increase pretest probability (Fihn 2012, Wolk 2013):

Age (Years)	Gender	Typical/Definite Angina Pectoris	Atypical/Probable Angina Pectoris	Nonanginal Chest Pain
≤ 39	Men	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	Intermediate	Very low	Very low
40–49	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate
	Women	Intermediate	Low	Very low
50–59	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate
	Women	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
≥ 60	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate
	Women	High	Intermediate	Intermediate

- **Very low:** < 5% pretest probability of CAD, usually not requiring stress evaluation
- **Low:** 5 - 10% pretest probability of CAD
- **Intermediate:** 10% - 90% pretest probability of CAD
- **High:** > 90% pretest probability of CAD

### OVERVIEW:

MPI may be performed without diversion to SE in any of the following (see below): (Henzlova 2016, Wolk 2013):

- ~~Inability to exercise~~

- ~~Other comorbidities~~
- ~~Electrocardiography (ECG) and Echocardiography related baseline abnormalities~~
- ~~Risk related scenarios~~

#### Scenarios that support MPI over SE

(Henzlova 2016) MPI may be performed without diversion to SE in any of the following (Henzlova, 2016; Wolk, 2013):

#### Inability to Exercise

- ~~Physical limitations~~ **Physical limitations** precluding ability to exercise for at least 3 full minutes of Bruce protocol
- Limited functional capacity (< 4 METS) **such as one** of the following:
  - Unable to take care of their ADLs or ambulate
  - Unable to walk 2 blocks on level ground
  - Unable to climb 1 flight of stairs

#### Other Comorbidities

- Severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) with pulmonary function test (PFT) documentation, severe shortness of breath on minimal exertion, or requirement of home oxygen during the day
- Poorly controlled hypertension, with systolic BP > 180 or diastolic BP > 120 (and clinical urgency not to delay MPI)

#### ECG and Echo Related Baseline Findings

- Prior cardiac surgery (coronary artery bypass graft or valvular)
- Obesity with body mass index (BMI) over 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or documented poor acoustic imaging window
- Left ventricular ejection fraction ≤ 40%
- Pacemaker or ICD
- **Persistent** Atrial fibrillation
- Resting wall motion abnormalities that would make SE interpretation difficult
- Complete left bundle branch block (LBBB)

#### Risk Related scenarios

- High pretest probability in suspected CAD
- Intermediate or high global risk in patients requiring type IC antiarrhythmic drugs (prior to initiation of therapy)
- Arrhythmia risk with exercise

#### **ECG Stress Test Alone versus Stress Testing with Imaging**

Prominent scenarios suitable for an ECG stress test WITHOUT imaging (i.e. exercise treadmill ECG test) require that the patient can exercise for at least 3 minutes of Bruce protocol with achievement of near maximal heart rate AND has an interpretable ECG for ischemia during exercise (Wolk, 2013):

- The (symptomatic) low or intermediate pretest probability patient who can exercise and has an interpretable ECG (Wolk, 2014)

- The patient who is under evaluation for exercise induced arrhythmia
- The patient who requires an entrance stress test ECG for a cardiac rehab program or for an exercise prescription
- For the evaluation of syncope or presyncope during exertion (Shen, 2017)

### Duke Exercise ECG Treadmill Score [\(Mark 1987\)](#)

Calculates risk from ECG treadmill alone:

- The equation for calculating the Duke treadmill score (DTS) is:  $DTS = \text{exercise time in minutes} - (5 \times \text{ST deviation in mm or } 0.1 \text{ mV increments}) - (4 \times \text{exercise angina score})$ , with angina score being 0 = none, 1 = non-limiting, and 2 = exercise-limiting
- The score typically ranges from - 25 to + 15. These values correspond to low-risk (with a score of  $\geq + 5$ ), intermediate risk (with scores ranging from - 10 to + 4), and high-risk (with a score of  $\leq - 11$ ) categories

An uninterpretable baseline ECG includes (Fihn, 2012):

- ST segment depression 1 mm or more; (not for non-specific ST- T wave changes)
- Ischemic looking T waves; at least 2.5 mm inversions (excluding V1 and V2)
- LVH with repolarization ~~abnormalities, preabnormalities, pre~~-excitation pattern such as ~~WPW, ventricular~~ **WPW, ventricular** paced rhythm, or LBBB
- Digitalis use with associated ST segment abnormalities

### Global Risk of Cardiovascular Disease

**Global risk** of CAD is defined as the probability of manifesting cardiovascular disease over the next 10 years and refers to **asymptomatic** patients without known cardiovascular disease. It should be determined using one of the risk calculators below. A high risk is considered greater than a 20% risk of a cardiovascular event over the ensuing 10 years. **High global risk by itself generally lacks scientific support as an indication for stress imaging.** There are rare ~~exceptions, exemptions,~~ such as patients requiring IC antiarrhythmic drugs, ~~who might require coronary risk stratification prior to initiation of the drug~~ or patients with a CAC score > 400 Agatston units, ~~when global risk is moderate or high.~~

- **CAD Risk—Low**  
10-year absolute coronary or cardiovascular risk less than 10%.
- **CAD Risk—Moderate**  
10-year absolute coronary or cardiovascular risk between 10% and 20%.
- **CAD Risk—High**  
10-year absolute coronary or cardiovascular risk of greater than 20%.

### Websites for Global Cardiovascular Risk Calculators\*

([Arnet, 2019](#); D'Agostino, 2008; Goff, 2014; McClelland, 2015; Ridker, 2007)

\*Patients who have already manifested cardiovascular disease are already at high global risk and are not applicable to the calculators.

Risk Calculator	Websites for Online Calculator
Framingham Cardiovascular Risk	<a href="https://reference.medscape.com/calculator/framingham-cardiovascular-disease-risk">https://reference.medscape.com/calculator/framingham-cardiovascular-disease-risk</a>
Reynolds Risk Score Can use if no diabetes Unique for use of family history	<a href="http://www.reynoldsriskscore.org/">http://www.reynoldsriskscore.org/</a>
Pooled Cohort Equation	<a href="http://clincalc.com/Cardiology/ASCVD/PooledCohort.aspx?example">http://clincalc.com/Cardiology/ASCVD/PooledCohort.aspx?example</a>
ACC/AHA Risk Calculator	<a href="http://tools.acc.org/ASCVD-Risk-Estimator/">http://tools.acc.org/ASCVD-Risk-Estimator/</a>
MESA Risk Calculator With addition of Coronary Artery Calcium Score, for CAD-only risk	<a href="https://www.mesa-nhlbi.org/MESACHDRisk/MesaRiskScore/RiskScore.aspx">https://www.mesa-nhlbi.org/MESACHDRisk/MesaRiskScore/RiskScore.aspx</a>

### Definitions of Coronary Artery Disease

(Fihn, 2012; Mintz, 2016; Montalescot, 2013; Patel, 2017)

Percentage stenosis refers to the reduction in diameter stenosis when angiography is the method and can be estimated or measured using angiography or more accurately measured with intravascular ultrasound (IVUS).

- Coronary artery calcification is a marker of risk, as measured by Agatston score on coronary artery calcium imaging. It is not a diagnostic tool so much as it is a **risk stratification** tool. Its incorporation into global risk can be achieved by using the MESA risk calculator.
- Ischemia-producing disease (also called hemodynamically or functionally significant disease, for which revascularization might be appropriate) generally implies at least one of the following:
  - Suggested by percentage diameter stenosis  $\geq 70\%$  by angiography; borderline lesions are 40 - 70% (Fihn, 2012)
  - For a left main artery, suggested by a percentage stenosis  $\geq 50\%$  (Fihn, 2012; [Lofti, 2018](#); Mintz, 2016)
  - FFR (fractional flow reserve)  $\leq 0.80$  for a major vessel ([Lofti, 2018](#); Mintz, 2016)
  - Demonstrable ischemic findings on stress testing (ECG or stress imaging), that are at least mild in degree
- FFR (fractional flow reserve) is the distal to proximal pressure ratio across a coronary lesion. Less than or equal to 0.80 is considered a significant reduction in coronary flow.
- ~~Newer technology that estimates FFR from CCTA image is covered under the separate NIA Guideline for FFR-CT.~~

### Anginal Equivalent

(Fihn, 2012; ~~Moya 2009~~; Shen, 2017)



Development of an anginal equivalent (e.g. shortness of breath, fatigue, or weakness) either with or without prior coronary revascularization should be based upon the documentation of reasons to suspect that symptoms other than chest discomfort are not due to other organ systems (e.g. dyspnea due to lung disease, fatigue due to anemia. This may include respiratory rate, oximetry, lung exam, etc. (as well as d-dimer, chest CT(A), and/or PFTs, when appropriate), and then incorporated into the evaluation of coronary artery disease as would chest discomfort. Syncope per se is not an anginal equivalent.

## Abbreviations

<del>AAD</del>	<del>Antiarrhythmic drug</del>
ADLs	Activities of daily living
BSA	Body surface area in square meters
CAD	Coronary artery disease
ECG	Electrocardiogram
FFR	Fractional flow reserve
LBBB	Left bundle-branch block
LVEF	Left ventricular ejection fraction
LVH	Left ventricular hypertrophy
MI	Myocardial infarction
MET	Estimated metabolic equivalent of exercise
MPI	Myocardial perfusion imaging
PFT	Pulmonary function test
PVCs	Premature ventricular contractions
SE	Stress echocardiography
VT	Ventricular tachycardia
VF	Ventricular fibrillation
WPW	Wolf Parkinson White

## POLICY HISTORY:

**Review Date:** July 23, 2019

### Review Summary:

- For special diagnostic consideration, prior acute coronary syndrome (as documented in MD notes), the following clause was added: 'without subsequent invasive or non-invasive coronary evaluation (SE diversion not required)'
- For section on prior to elective non-cardiac surgery the following was added: 'There has not been a conclusive stress evaluation, CTA, or heart catheterization within the past year'
- For section on prior to elective non-cardiac surgery indication 'Planning for solid organ transplantation is an indication for preoperative MPI, if there has not been a conclusive stress evaluation, CTA, or heart catheterization within the past year'
- Added indication for follow-up every 2 years for patients with known CAD in high-risk occupations
- Added prior left main stent in asymptomatic patients as follow-up every two years
- Clarification of diversion to stress echo in suitable ~~patients~~ patients post-revascularization

- Clarification of post cardiac transplant
- Removed section on Global Risk Calculator
- Added “with EKG changes,” as indication for stress echo in patients on digoxin or with LVH
- Removed indication for ETT in asymptomatic patients
- Added presyncope and syncope with exercise as an indication for ETT

**Review Date:** March 2020

**Review Summary:**

- Added **general information section as Introduction which outlines requirements for documentation of pertinent office notes by a licensed clinician, and inclusion of laboratory testing and relevant imaging results for case review**
- Added clarification of repeat testing in a patient with new or worsening symptoms and negative result at least one year prior to include the statement “AND meets one of the criteria above”
- Added clarification of frequent PVCs under ventricular arrhythmias which states defined as greater than or equal to 30/hour to include “on remote monitoring” <sup>Introduci</sup>
- Edited indication of planning for solid organ transplantation to remove the requirement of limited functional capacity but maintaining requirement of  $\geq 3$  listed risk factors
- Removed explanation of three vasodilators approved for stress testing from the background
- Changes **Added edits to the Coronary Artery disease definition section**
- Updated and added new references

## REFERENCES

Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MUJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for Management of Patients ~~With~~with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death. *JACC*. 2018; 72(14):~~e~~e: e91-e220.

~~Anagnostopoulos C, Harbinson M, Kelion A, et al. Procedure guidelines for radionuclide myocardial perfusion imaging. *Heart*. 2004; 90: i1-i10. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1876307/pdf/v090p000i1.pdf>~~ Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, Buroker AB, Goldberger ZD, Hahn EJ, Himmelfarb CD, Khera A, Lloyd-Jones D, McEvoy JW, Michos ED, Miedema MD, Muñoz D, Smith SC Jr, Virani SS, Williams KA Sr, Yeboah J, Ziaeian B. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;74:e177–232.

Badano LP, Miglioranza MH, Edvardsen T, et al. European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging/Cardiovascular Imaging Department of the Brazilian Society of Cardiology recommendations for the use of cardiac imaging to assess and follow patients after heart transplantation. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015; 16(9):919-48.

Cha Y, Lee GK, Klarich KW, et al. Advances in arrhythmia and electrophysiology, premature ventricular contraction-induced cardiomyopathy, a treatable condition. *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology*. 2012; 5:229-236. Available at: <http://circep.ahajournals.org/content/5/1/229.full>

~~Crea F, Camici PG, Merz CN. Coronary microvascular dysfunction: An update. *European Heart Journal*, 2013; 35(17):1101-1111. Available at: <http://eurheartj.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2013/12/21/eurheartj.eht513>~~

D'Agostino RB Sr, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2008; 117:743-753.

Davies JE, Sen S, Dehbi HM, et al. Use of the instantaneous wave-free ratio or fractional flow reserve in PCI. *N Engl J Med*. 2017; 376:1824–34.

Denby KJ, Clark DE, Markham LW. Management of Kawasaki disease in adults. *Heart*. 2017; 103(22):1760-1769.

Douglas PS, et al. ACCF/ASE/AHA/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCM/SCCT/SCMR 2011 Appropriate use criteria for echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiography*. 2011; 24:229-67.

Einstein, A. Effects of radiation exposure from cardiac imaging: How good are the data? *JACC*. 2012; 59(6):553-565. Available at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/cgi/content/short/59/6/553>  
~~Fazel R, Dilsizian V, Einstein, AJ, et al. ASNC Information Statement, Strategies for defining an optimal risk-benefit ratio for stress myocardial perfusion SPECT. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2011 May; 18(3):385-92.~~

Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation*. 2012; 126(25):e354-471.

Fleischer LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, et al. ACC/AHA Guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *JACC*. 2014; 64(22):e77-e137. Available at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1893784>.

~~Fleischmann KE, Hunink MG, Kuntz KM, et al. Exercise echocardiography or exercise SPECT imaging? A meta-analysis of diagnostic test performance. *JAMA*. 1998; 280:913-920.~~

~~Garber AM, Solomon NA. Cost-effectiveness of alternative test strategies for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. *Ann Intern Med*. 1999; 130(9):719.~~

Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, et al. 2016 AHA/ACC Guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *JACC*. 17 Mar 21; 69(11):e71-e126. Available at: [www.onlinejacc.org/content/early/2016/11/23/j.jacc.2016.11.007](http://www.onlinejacc.org/content/early/2016/11/23/j.jacc.2016.11.007)

Goff DC Jr, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2014; 129:S49-75. Available at: [http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/circulationaha/129/25\\_suppl\\_2/S49.full.pdf](http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/circulationaha/129/25_suppl_2/S49.full.pdf)

Götberg M, Christiansen EH, Gudmundsdottir IJ, et al. iFR SWEDEHEART Investigators. Instantaneous wave-free ratio versus fractional flow reserve to guide PCI. *N Engl J Med*. 2017; 376:1813-23.

~~Götberg M, Cook CM, Sen S, et al. The evolving future of instantaneous wave-free ratio and fractional flow reserve. *JACC*. 2017; 70(11): 1379-1402.~~

Grani C, Buechel RR, Kaufmann PA, et al. Multimodality imaging in individuals with anomalous coronary arteries. *JACC*. 2017; 10(4):471-581.

~~Hachamovitch R, Hayes SW, Friedman JD, et al. Stress myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography is clinically effective and cost-effective in risk stratification of patients with a high likelihood of coronary artery disease (CAD) but no known CAD. *JACC*. 2004; 43: 200-208. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14736438> Heijenbrok-Kal MH, Fleischmann KE, Hunink MG. Stress echocardiography, stress single-photon emission computed tomography and electron beam computed tomography for the assessment of coronary artery disease: A meta-analysis of diagnostic~~

~~performance. *Am Heart J.* 2007; 154(3):415~~ Hendel RC, Berman DS, Di Carli MF, et al. ACCF/ASNC/ACR/AHA/ASE/SCCT/SCMR/SNM 2009 Appropriate use criteria for cardiac radionuclide imaging: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the American College of Radiology, the American Heart Association, the American Society of Echocardiography, the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and the Society of Nuclear Medicine. *JACC.* 2009; 53(23): 2201-2229.

Henzlova MJ, Duvall WL, Einstein, AJ, et al. ASNC imaging guidelines for SPECT nuclear cardiology procedures: Stress, protocols, and tracers. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2016; 23:606–39. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s12350-015-0387-x.pdf>

Hirshfeld JW, Ferrari VA, Bengel FM, et al. 2018 ACC/HRS/NASCI/SCAI/SCCT Expert consensus document on optimal use of ionizing radiation in cardiovascular imaging: Best practices for safety and effectiveness: A report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Expert Consensus Decision Pathways Developed in Collaboration with Mended Hearts. *JACC*, in press. ~~May, May~~ 2018, e1-e69. Available at:

<http://www.onlinejacc.org/content/early/2018/04/30/j.jacc.2018.02.016>

~~Hussain MA, Al-Omram M, Creager MA, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for peripheral artery disease, recent advances. *JACC.* 2018; 71(21):2450-2467.~~

Lancellotti P, Knomo VT, Badano LP, et al. Expert consensus for multi-modality imaging evaluation of cardiovascular complications of radiotherapy in adults: A report from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the American Society of Echocardiography. *European Heart Journal – Cardiovascular Imaging.* 2013; 14:721–740.

Lentine KL, Costa SP, Weir MR. Cardiac disease evaluation and management among kidney and liver transplantation candidates. *JACC.* 2012; 60(5):434-480.

[Lotfi A, Davies JE, Fearon WF, Grines CL, Kern MJ, Klein LW. Focused update of expert consensus statement: Use of invasive assessments of coronary physiology and structure: A position statement of the society of cardiac angiography and interventions. \*Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.\* 2018 Jul 3.](#)

[Mark DB, Hlatky MA, Harrell FE Jr, Lee KL, Califf RM, Pryor DB. \(1987\) Exercise treadmill score for predicting prognosis in coronary artery disease. \*Ann Intern Med;\* 106\(6\):793-800.](#)

~~Marwick, TH. Stress echocardiography. *Heart.* 2003; 89(1):113-118. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1767520/>~~

McClelland RL, Jorgensen NW, Budoff M, et al. 10-year coronary heart disease risk prediction using coronary artery calcium and traditional risk factors: Derivation in the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) with validation in the HNR (Heinz Nixdorf Recall) Study and the DHS (Dallas Heart Study). *JACC.* 2015; 66(15):1643-53.

McCrindle BW, Rowley AH, Newburger JW, et al. Diagnosis, treatment, and long-term management of Kawasaki disease: A scientific statement for health professionals from the American Heart Association.

*Circulation*. 2017; 135(17):e927.

~~Metz LD, Beattie M, Hom R, et al. The prognostic value of normal exercise myocardial perfusion imaging and exercise echocardiography: A meta-analysis. *JACC*. 2007; 49:227-237. Available at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/cgi/reprint/49/2/227.pdf>~~

Mintz GS. IVUS in PCI Guidance. *Am Coll Cardiol, Cardiosource*, June 13, 2016. Available at: <http://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2016/06/13/10/01/ivus-in-pci-guidance>

Montalescot G, Sechtem U, Achenbach S, et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: The Task Force on the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology. *European Heart Journal*. 2013; 34(38):2949–3003. Available at: <https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/34/38/2949/442952>

~~Moya A, Sutton R, Ammirati F, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope: Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Syncope. *European Heart Journal*. 2009; 30: 2631–71. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3295536/>~~

~~Mukundan S, Travin MI, Levsky JM, et al. Does ischemic burden on stress testing influence patient survival in subjects with known severe multi-vessel CAD? *Am J Cardiovasc Dis*. 2017; 7(2):48-52.~~

Newburger JW, Takahashi M, Burns JC. Kawasaki Disease. *JACC*. 2016; 67(14):1738-1749.

Pagnanelli RA, Camposano HL. Pharmacologic Stress Testing with Myocardial Perfusion Imaging. *J Nucl Med Technol*. 2017; 45(4):249-252.

Patel AY, Eagle KA, Vaishnava P. Cardiac risk of noncardiac surgery. *JACC*. 2015; 66(19):2140-2148. Available at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=2468532>.

Patel MR, Bailey SR, Bonow RO, et al. ACCF/SCAI/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCCM/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2012 Appropriate use criteria for diagnostic catheterization: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society of Critical Care Medicine, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *JACC*. 2012; 59(22):1995-2027.

Patel MR, Calhoun JH, Dehmer GJ, et al. ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/SCAI/SCCT/STS 2017 Appropriate use criteria for coronary revascularization in patients with stable ischemic heart disease. *JACC*. 2017; 69:2212-2241.

Patel MR, White RD, Abbara S, et al. 2013 ACCF/ACR/ASE/ASNC/SCCT/SCMR Appropriate utilization of cardiovascular imaging in heart failure: A joint report of the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria Committee and the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force. *JACC*. 2013; 61(21):2207-2231.

Pellikka PA, Nagueh SF, Elhendy AA, et al. American Society of Echocardiography recommendations for performance, interpretation, and application of stress echocardiography. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*. 2007; 20(9):1021-41.

~~Puelacher C, Wagener M, Abächerli R, et al. Diagnostic value of ST-segment deviations during cardiac exercise stress testing: Systematic comparison of different ECG leads and time points. *Int J Cardiol*. 2017; 238:166-172.~~

Reiffel JA, Camm AJ, Belardinelli L, et al. The HARMONY Trial: Combined ranolazine and dronedarone in the management of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: Mechanistic and therapeutic synergism. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2015; 8(5):1048.

Ridker PM, Buring JE, Rifai N, et al. NIH estimate of ~~10-year~~10-year coronary artery disease risk from Framingham Risk Score: Development and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score. *JAMA*. 2007; 297(6):611-619. Available at:<http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=205528>

~~Schinkel AFL, Bax JJ, Geleijnse ML, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of ischaemic heart disease: myocardial perfusion imaging or stress echocardiography? *European Heart Journal*. 2003; 24(9):789-800. Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-668X\(02\)00634-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-668X(02)00634-6)~~

Scott-Moncrieff A, Yang J, Levine D, et al. Real-world estimated effective radiation doses from commonly used cardiac testing and procedural modalities. *The Canadian Journal of Cardiology*. 2011; 27(5):613-618. Available at:

[http://www.unboundmedicine.com/medline/ebm/record/21652170/abstract/Real\\_world\\_estimated\\_effective\\_radiation\\_doses\\_from\\_commonly\\_used\\_cardiac\\_testing\\_and\\_procedural\\_modalities](http://www.unboundmedicine.com/medline/ebm/record/21652170/abstract/Real_world_estimated_effective_radiation_doses_from_commonly_used_cardiac_testing_and_procedural_modalities)

Shen W, Sheldon RS, Benditt DG, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the evaluation and management of patients with syncope: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *JACC*. 2017; 70(5):620-663.

~~Shen W, Sheldon RS, David G. et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HRS guideline for the evaluation and management of patients with syncope. *JACC*. 2017; 70(5): e39-e110.~~

~~Sicari, R. Stress echocardiography expert consensus statement, European Association of Echocardiography (EAE). *European Journal of Echocardiography*. 2008; 9:415-43. Available at: [https://www.escardio.org/static\\_file/Escardio/Subspecialty/EACVI/position\\_papers/ae-sicari-stress-echo.pdf](https://www.escardio.org/static_file/Escardio/Subspecialty/EACVI/position_papers/ae-sicari-stress-echo.pdf)~~

~~Sicari R, Cortigiani L. The clinical use of stress echocardiography in ischemic heart disease. *Cardiovascular Ultrasound*, 2017; 15(7):1-16. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5361820/>~~

Tang K, Wang L, Shi R, et al. The role of myocardial perfusion imaging in evaluating patients with myocardial bridging. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2011; 18(1):117.

Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 Multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic



heart disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *JACC*. 2014; 63(4):380-406. Available at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1789799>.

Yancy C, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the management of heart failure: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *JACC*. 2013; 62(16):e147-237.

Yao S, Qureshi E, Sherrid M, et al. Practical application in stress echocardiography, risk stratification and prognosis in patients with known or suspected ischemic heart disease. *JACC*. 2003; 42(6):1084–90.

Zhang Y, et al. Comparison of patient specific dose metrics between chest radiography, tomosynthesis, and CT for adult patients of ~~wide-ranging~~**wide-ranging** body habitus. *Med. Phys.* 2014; 41(2):1-12. Available at: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3985882/pdf/MPHYA6-000041-023901\\_1.pdf](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3985882/pdf/MPHYA6-000041-023901_1.pdf)

Reviewed / Approved by  Rosalind C. Watman, D.O., Medical Director, Cardiology



**Disclaimer:** Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“Magellan”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.