AmeriHealth Caritas Louisiana

National Imaging Associates, Inc.*	
Clinical guideline: **CT CORONARY ANGIOGRAPHY (CCTA)**	**Original Date: October 6, 2009**
CPT Codes: 75574	**Last Revised Date: March 2020**
Guideline Number: NIA_CG_062	**Implementation Date: ~~January 2021~~TBD**

GENERAL INFORMATION
It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. All prior relevant imaging results, and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.

INDICATIONS FOR CORONARY COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHIC ANGIOGRAPHY (CCTA)
(Fihn, 2012;~~,~~ Montalescot, 2013~~,~~; Taylor, 2010~~,~~; Wolk, 2014)

Evaluation in Suspected Coronary Artery Disease (CAD):
(Cheng, 2011; Douglas, 2015; Fordyce, 2016; Newby, 2015)

~~**Evaluation in Suspected Coronary Artery Disease (CAD):**~~
~~(Cheng 2011 Douglas 2015, Fordyce 2016, Newby 2015)~~

- Intermediate or high pretest probability patients in whom stress echo cannot be performed (see Background section)
- Low pretest probability patients in whom either exercise ~~stress~~ electrocardiogram (ECG) stress~~(uninterpretable)~~ or stress echo cannot be performed (see Background section)
- Appropriate exercise ECG stress test with low Duke Treadmill Score (≥ 5) and continued symptoms concerning for CAD
- Exercise ECG stress test with intermediate Duke Treadmill Score (- 10 to + 4) in whom stress echo cannot be performed~~.~~
- Equivocal, borderline, or discordant stress imaging evaluation with continued symptoms concerning for CAD
- Repeat testing in patient with new or worsening symptoms since prior normal stress imaging (Taylor, 2010~~,~~; Wolk, 2013)

* National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Magellan Healthcare, Inc.

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

- Newly diagnosed clinical systolic heart failure (ejection fraction [EF] < 50%) without recent CAD evaluation, in the presence of angina or an anginal equivalent (Patel, 2012; Patel, 2013; Taylor, 2010; Wolk, 2013)
- Reduced EF (EF ≤ 40%) as an alternative to invasive coronary arteriography
- Before valve surgery or transcatheter intervention as an alternative to coronary angiography (Baumgartner, 2017; Chaikriangkrai, 2018; Nishimura, 2014)
- To establish the etiology of mitral regurgitation (Nishimura, 2014)
- Evaluation of coronary anomaly or aneurysm (CMR favored in young patients) (Bluemke, 2008; Grani, 2017; Newburger, 2016; **Sachdeva, 2020**)
  - **Evaluation prior to planned repair**
  - **Evaluation due to change in clinical status and/or new concerning signs or symptoms**
- Evaluation of coronary artery bypass grafts, to assess (Eisenberg, 2017; Taylor, 2010):
  - Patency and location when invasive coronary arteriography was either nondiagnostic or **not done**~~would like to be avoided~~
  - Location prior to cardiac or other chest surgery

BACKGROUND

Coronary computed tomographic angiography (CCTA) is a noninvasive imaging study that uses intravenously administered contrast material and high-resolution, rapid imaging computed tomography (CT) equipment to obtain detailed volumetric images of the coronary blood vessels. Cardiac CT perfusion can be added to the CCTA, with increasing data regarding its diagnostic accuracy (Nakamura, 2018; Pontone, 2018).

Image quality depends on keeping HR optimally < 60 bpm, a regular rhythm, limited coronary calcification, stents > 3.0 mm in diameter, ≥ 5 second breath hold, and vessels requiring imaging ≥ 1.5 mm diameter (Abbara, 2016).

Coronary artery disease (CAD) stenosis ≥ 70% is considered clinically significant or obstructive CAD. Hemodynamically or functionally significant CAD means the degree of stenosis is severe enough to cause ischemia. This is discussed in more detail in the **Overview**~~Background~~ section (Fihn, 2012; Montalescot, 2013; Wolk, 2013).

Stable patients without known CAD fall into 2 categories (Fihn, 2012; Montalescot, 2013; Wolk, 2013):

- **Asymptomatic**, for whom global risk of CAD events can be determined from coronary risk factors, using calculators available online (see Part III in the Background section).

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

- **Symptomatic,** for whom we estimate the pretest probability that their chest-related symptoms are due to clinically significant CAD.

The Three Types of Chest Pain or Discomfort:

- **Typical Angina (Definite)** is defined as including all **3** characteristics:
  - Substernal chest pain or discomfort with characteristic quality and duration
  - Provoked by exertion or emotional stress
  - Relieved by rest and/or nitroglycerin
- **Atypical Angina (Probable)** has only **2** of the above characteristics
- **Nonanginal Chest Pain/Discomfort** has only **0 - 1** of the above characteristics
- Once the type of chest pain has been established from the medical record, the Pretest Probability of significant CAD is estimated from the **Diamond Forrester Table** below, recognizing that additional coronary risk factors could increase pretest probability (Wolk, 2013):

Age (Years)	Gender	Typical/Definite Angina Pectoris	Atypical/Probable Angina Pectoris	Nonanginal Chest Pain
≤ 39	Men	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	Intermediate	Very low	Very low
40 – 49	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate
	Women	Intermediate	Low	Very low
50 – 59	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate
	Women	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
≥ 60	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate
	Women	High	Intermediate	Intermediate

- **Very Low:** < 5% pretest probability of CAD
- **Low:** 5 - 10% pretest probability of CAD
- **Intermediate:** 10% - 90% pretest probability of CAD
- **High:** > 90% pretest probability of CAD

OVERVIEW

Scenarios that support MPI over SE
(Henzlova, 2016)

Poor Quality Echo Image

- Obesity with body mass index (BMI) > 40 kg/m^2 or poor acoustic imaging window

Inability to Exercise

- Physical limitations precluding ability to exercise for at least 3 full minutes of Bruce protocol

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

- The patient has limited functional capacity (< 4 METS) **such as one** of the following:
  - Unable to take care of their activities of daily living (ADLs) or ambulate
  - Unable to walk 2 blocks on level ground
  - Unable to climb 1 flight of stairs
  - Unable to vacuum, dust, do dishes, sweep, or carry a small grocery bag

Other Comorbidities
- Prior cardiac surgery (coronary artery bypass graft or valvular)
- Left ventricular ejection fraction ≤ 40%
- Severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) with pulmonary function test (PFT) documentation, severe shortness of breath on minimal exertion, or requirement of home oxygen during the day
- Poorly controlled hypertension, with systolic blood pressure (BP) > 180 or Diastolic BP > 120

ECG and Echo Related Baseline Findings
- Pacemaker or implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD)
- Poorly controlled atrial fibrillation/ectopy
- Resting wall motion abnormalities that would make SE interpretation difficult
- Complete LBBB

Risk Related
- High pretest probability in suspected CAD
- Intermediate or high global risk in patients requiring type IC antiarrhythmic drugs
- Arrhythmia risk with exercise

ECG Stress Test Alone versus Stress Testing with Imaging

Prominent scenarios suitable for an ECG stress test WITHOUT imaging (i.e. exercise treadmill ECG test) require that the patient can exercise for at least 3 minutes of Bruce protocol with achievement of near maximal heart rate AND has an interpretable ECG for ischemia during exercise (Wolk, 2013):
- The (symptomatic) low or intermediate pretest probability patient who is able to exercise and has an interpretable ECG (Wolk, 2014)
- The patient who is under evaluation for exercise induced arrhythmia
- The patient who requires an entrance stress test ECG for a cardiac rehab program or for an exercise prescription
- For the evaluation of syncope or presyncope during exertion (Shen, 2017)

Duke Exercise ECG Treadmill Score (Mark, 1987)
Calculates risk from ECG treadmill alone:

 Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

- The equation for calculating the Duke treadmill score (DTS) is: DTS = exercise time in minutes - (5 x ST deviation in mm or 0.1 mV increments) - (4 x exercise angina score), with angina score being 0 = none, 1 = non-limiting, and 2 = exercise-limiting
- The score typically ranges from - 25 to + 15. These values correspond to low-risk (with a score of ≥ + 5), intermediate risk (with scores ranging from - 10 to + 4), and high-risk (with a score of ≤
  - 11) categories

An uninterpretable baseline ECG includes (Fihn, 2012):
- ST segment depression of 1 mm or more (not for non-specific ST - T wave changes)
- Ischemic looking T wave inversions of at least 2.5 mm
- LVH with repolarization abnormalities, WPW, a ventricular paced rhythm, or left bundle branch block
- Digitalis use with associated ST - T abnormalities
- Resting HR under 50 bpm on a beta blocker and an anticipated suboptimal workload
- Note: RBBB with less than 1 mm ST depression at rest may be suitable for EKG treadmill testing

Global Risk of Cardiovascular Disease

Global risk of CAD is defined as the probability of manifesting cardiovascular disease over the next 10 years and refers to **asymptomatic** patients without known cardiovascular disease. It should be determined using one of the risk calculators below. A high risk is considered greater than a 20% risk of a cardiovascular event over the ensuing 10 years.

High global risk by itself generally lacks scientific support as an indication for stress imaging (Cheng, 2011).

There are rare exemptions, such as patients requiring I-C antiarrhythmic drugs, who might require coronary risk stratification prior to initiation of the drug, when global risk is moderate or high.

- **CAD Risk—Low**
  10 - year absolute coronary or cardiovascular risk less than 10%
- **CAD Risk—Moderate**
  10 - year absolute coronary or cardiovascular risk between 10% and 20%
- **CAD Risk—High**
  10 - year absolute coronary or cardiovascular risk of greater than 20%

Websites for Global Cardiovascular Risk Calculators*

*Patients who have already manifested cardiovascular disease are already at high global risk and are not applicable to the calculators.

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

(Arnet, 2019; D’Agostino, 2008; Goff, 2014; McClelland, 2015; Ridker, 2007)

Risk Calculator	Websites for Online Calculator
Framingham Cardiovascular Risk	https://reference.medscape.com/calculator/framingham-cardiovascular-disease-risk
Reynolds Risk Score Can use if no diabetes Unique for use of family history	http://www.reynoldsriskscore.org/
Pooled Cohort Equation	http://clincalc.com/Cardiology/ASCVD/PooledCohort.aspx?example
ACC/AHA Risk Calculator	http://tools.acc.org/ASCVD-Risk-Estimator/
MESA Risk Calculator With addition of Coronary Artery Calcium Score, for CAD-only risk	https://www.mesa-nhlbi.org/MESACHDRisk/MesaRiskScore/RiskScore.aspx

Coronary Artery Calcium Scoring
(Arnett, 2019)

Non-contrast coronary computed tomography (non-contrast coronary CT) and its older technological version, electron beam computed tomography (EBCT), provide quantitative coronary artery calcium scoring, which is appropriate for further evaluation of coronary risk in asymptomatic patients without known cardiovascular disease, who are at low to intermediate or intermediate global risk for coronary or overall cardiovascular disease. Non-contrast coronary CT (computed tomography) and EBCT are supported by a separate CPT code and guideline document with references titled EBCT or Non-Contrast Coronary CT.

Definitions of Coronary Artery Disease
(Fihn, 2012; Lofti, 2018; Mintz, 2016; Montalescot, 2013; Patel, 2017)

- Percentage stenosis refers to the reduction in diameter stenosis when angiography is the method and can be estimated or measured using angiography or more accurately measured with intravascular ultrasound (IVUS).

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

- Coronary artery calcification is a marker of risk, as measured by Agatston score on coronary artery calcium imaging. It is not a diagnostic tool so much as it is a **risk stratification** tool. Its incorporation into global risk can be achieved by using the MESA risk calculator.
- Stenoses ≥ 70% are considered obstructive coronary artery disease (also referred to as clinically significant), while stenoses ≤ 70% are considered non-obstructive coronary artery disease (Patel, 2017).
- Ischemia-producing disease (also called hemodynamically or functionally significant disease, for which revascularization might be appropriate) generally implies at least one of the following:
    - Suggested by percentage diameter stenosis ≥ 70% by angiography; borderline lesions are 40 - 70% (Fihn, 2012)
    - For a left main artery, suggested by a percentage stenosis ≥ 50% or minimum luminal cross sectional area on IVUS ≤ 6 square mm (Fihn, 2012; **Lofti, 2018**; Mintz, 2016)
    - FFR (fractional flow reserve) ≤ 0.80 for a major vessel (**Lofti, 2018**; Mintz, 2016)
    - iFR (instantaneous wave-free ratio) ≤ 0.89 for a major vessel (Davies, 2017; Gotberg, 2017; **Lofti, 2018**)
    - Demonstrable ischemic findings on stress testing (ECG or stress imaging), that are at least mild in degree
- A major vessel would be a coronary vessel that would be amenable to revascularization, if indicated. This assessment is made based on the diameter of the vessel and/or the extent of myocardial territory served by the vessel.
- ~~Microvascular ischemic coronary artery disease, as might be described by a normal FFR (fractional flow reserve) above 0.80 with a reduced CFR (coronary flow reserve less than 2.5), has not otherwise been addressed in this manuscript, because it is very rarely an issue in compliance determinations. However, it would constitute a form of ischemic heart disease.~~
- FFR is the distal to proximal pressure ratio across a coronary lesion during maximal hyperemia induced by either intravenous or intracoronary adenosine. Less than or equal to 0.80 is considered a significant reduction in coronary flow.
- Instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) measures the ratio of distal coronary to aortic pressure during the wave free period of diastole, with a value ≤ 0.89 considered hemodynamically significant (Davies, 2017; Gotberg, 2017).
- Newer technology that estimates FFR from CCTA images is covered under the separate NIA Guideline for FFR-CT.

Anginal Equivalent
(Fihn, 2012; Moya, 2009; Shen, 2017)

Development of an anginal equivalent (e.g. shortness of breath, fatigue, or weakness) either with or without prior coronary revascularization should be based upon the documentation of reasons that symptoms other than chest discomfort are not due to other organ systems (e.g. dyspnea due to lung disease, fatigue due to anemia), by presentation of clinical data such as

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

respiratory rate, oximetry, lung exam, etc. (as well as d-dimer, chest CT(A), and/or PFTs, when appropriate), and then incorporated into the evaluation of coronary artery disease as would chest discomfort. Syncope per se is not an anginal equivalent.

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

Abbreviations

ACS	Acute coronary syndrome
CABG	Coronary artery bypass grafting surgery
CAD	Coronary artery disease
CCS	Coronary calcium score
CCTA	Coronary computed tomography angiography
ECG	Electrocardiogram
MI	Myocardial infarction
MPI	Myocardial Perfusion Imaging
PCI	Percutaneous coronary intervention
SE	Stress echocardiography
TTE	Transthoracic echocardiography
~~TAVR~~	~~Transcatheter aortic valve replacement~~

POLICY HISTORY:
Review Date: July 20, 2019
Review Summary:

- CCTA can be used as an alternative to coronary angiography in appropriate patients prior to valve surgery or transcatheter intervention
- Noted CMR is favored over CCTA in young patients for evaluation of coronary anomaly or aneurysm
- Global Risk of Cardiovascular Disease information expanded in background section for additional clarification

Review Date: March 2020
Review Summary:

- **Added general information section as Introduction which outlines requirements for documentation of pertinent office notes by a licensed clinician, and inclusion of laboratory testing and relevant imaging results for case review**
- **Added further details for imaging of coronary anomaly or aneurysm to include the following:**
  - **Evaluation prior to planned repair**
  - **Evaluation due to change in clinical status and/or new concerning signs or symptoms**
- **Added edits to the Coronary Artery Disease definition section**
- **Updated and added references**

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

 Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

REFERENCES:

Abbara S, Blanke P, Maroules CD, et al. SCCT Guidelines for the performance and acquisition of coronary computed tomographic angiography: A report of the Society for Cardiovascular Computed Tomography Guidelines Committee Endorsed by the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI). *J~~ournal of~~ Cardiovasc~~ular~~ Comput~~ed~~ Tomogr~~aphy~~*. 2016; 10(6): 435-449.

Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, Buroker AB, Goldberger ZD, Hahn EJ, Himmelfarb CD, Khera A, Lloyd-Jones D, McEvoy JW, Michos ED, Miedema MD, Muñoz D, Smith SC Jr, Virani SS, Williams KA Sr, Yeboah J, Ziaeian B. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019; 74:e177–232.
~~Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. *Circulation.* 2019; Mar 17:CIR0000000000000678. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000678. [Epub ahead of print]~~

Baumgartner H, Falk V, Bax JJ et al. 2017 ESC/EACTS guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease, The Task Force for the Management of Valvular Heart Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur~~opean~~ Heart J~~ournal~~*. 2017:38:2739–2791.

Bluemke DA, Achenbach S, Budoff M, et al. Noninvasive coronary artery imaging: magnetic resonance angiography and multidetector computed tomography angiography: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association committee on cardiovascular imaging and intervention of the council on cardiovascular radiology and intervention, and the councils on clinical cardiology and cardiovascular disease in the young. *Circulation.* 2008; 118:586–606.

Cheng VY, Berman DS, Rozanski A, et al. Performance of the traditional age, sex, and angina typicality-based approach for estimating pretest probability of angiographically significant coronary artery disease in patients undergoing coronary computed tomographic angiography: results from the multinational coronary CT angiography evaluation for clinical outcomes: an international multicenter registry (CONFIRM). *Circulation.* 2011; 124(22):2423-32

D'Agostino RB Sr, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2008; 117:743-753.

Davies JE, Sen S, Dehbi HM, et al. Use of the instantaneous wave-free ratio or fractional flow reserve in PCI. *N Engl J Med.* 2017; 376:1824–34.

Doherty JU, Kort S, Mehran R, et al. ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2019 Appropriate Use Criteria for multimodality imaging in the assessment of cardiac structure and function in nonvalvular heart disease. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** ~~*JACC.*~~ 2019; 25682. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.10.038

 Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

Douglas PS, Hoffmann U, Patel MR, et al. Outcomes of anatomical versus functional testing for coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2015; 372:1291–1300.

Eisenberg C, Hulten E, Bittencourt MS, et al. Use of CT angiography among patients with prior coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther*. 2017; 7(1):102-105.

Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation.* 2012; 126(25):e354-471.

Fordyce CB, Newby DE, Douglas PS, et al. Diagnostic strategies for the evaluation of chest pain: Clinical implications from SCOT-HEART and PROMISE. ***J Am Coll Cardiol***~~*JACC*~~*.* 2016; 67(7):843-852.

Götberg M, Christiansen EH, Gudmundsdottir IJ, et al., Instantaneous wave-free ratio versus fractional flow reserve to guide PCI. *N Engl J Med*. 2017; 376:1813–23.

Götberg M, Cook CM, Sen S, et al. The evolving future of instantaneous wave-free ratio and fractional flow reserve. ***J Am Coll Cardiol***~~*JACC*~~*.* 2017; 70 (11):1379-1402.

Grani C, Buechel RR, Kaufmann PA, et al. Mulltimodality Imaging in Individuals with Anomalous Coronary Arteries.~~,~~ ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** ~~*JACC.*~~ 2017; 10(4):471-581.

Greenland P, Alpert JS, Beller GA, et al. ACCF/AHA Guideline for Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk in Asymptomatic Adults: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines Developed in Collaboration With the American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** ~~JACC.~~ 2010; 56(25):2182-2199. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1143998**.**

Henzlova MJ, Duvall WL, Einstein, AJ, et al. ASNC imaging guidelines for SPECT nuclear cardiology procedures: Stress, protocols, and tracers. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2016; 23:606–39. Available at: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s12350-015-0387-x.pdf**.**

Kongkiat C, Yeon JH, Subash SGP, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of coronary computed tomography

 Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

before aortic valve replacement: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Thoracic Imaging.* 2018; 33(4): 207-216.

Lotfi A, Davies JE, Fearon WF, Grines CL, Kern MJ, Klein LW. Focused update of expert consensus statement: Use of invasive assessments of coronary physiology and structure: A position statement of the society of cardiac angiography and interventions. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2018 Jul 3.

Mark DB, Hlatky MA, Harrell FE Jr, Lee KL, Califf RM, Pryor DB. (1987) Exercise treadmill score for predicting prognosis in coronary artery disease. Ann Intern Med; 106(6):793-800.

Mark DB, Berman DS, Budoff MJ, et al. ACCF/ACR/AHA/NASCI/SAIP/SCAI/SCCT 2010 Expert Consensus Document on coronary computed tomographic angiography. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Expert Consensus Documents. American College of Cardiology Foundation Representative; American Society of Nuclear Cardiology Representative; Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography Representative; Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention Representative; American College of Radiology Representative; American Heart Association Representative; North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging Representative; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions Representative. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** ~~*JACC.*~~ 2010; 55(23):2663-2698.

Mintz GS. IVUS in PCI Guidance. *Am Coll Cardiol, Cardiosource,* June 13, 2016. Available at: http://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2016/06/13/10/01/ivus-in-pci-guidance.

Montalescot G., Sechtem U., Achenbach S., et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: The Task Force on the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur~~opean~~ Heart J~~ournal~~.* 2013; 34(38):2949–3003. Available at: https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/34/38/2949/442952.

Moya A, Sutton R., Ammirati F, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope: Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Syncope. *Eur Heart J.* 2009; 30:2631–71. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3295536/

Nakamura S, Kitagawa K, Goto Y, et al. Incremental prognostic value of myocardial blood flow quantified with stress dynamic computed tomography perfusion imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2019; 12(7 Pt 2):1379-1387.

Newburger JW, Takahashi M, Burns JC. Kawasaki Disease. *JACC.* 2016; 67(14):1738-1749. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=2510781.

Newby D, Williams M Hunter A, et al. CT coronary angiography in patients with suspected angina due to coronary heart disease (SCOT-HEART): an open-label, parallel-group, multicentre

 Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

trial. *Lancet.* 2015; 385:2383-2391. Available at: http://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lancet/PIIS0140-6736(15)60291-4.pdf.

Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients wWith Valvular Heart Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. ***J Am Coll Cardiol****JACC.* 2014; 63(22):e57-e185.

Patel MR, Bailey SR, Bonow RO, et al. ACCF/SCAI/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCCM/ SCCT/SCMR/STS 2012 Appropriate use criteria for diagnostic catheterization: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society of Critical Care Medicine, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** *JACC.* 2012; 59(22):1995-2027.

Patel MR, Calhoon JH, Dehmer GJ, et al. ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/SCAI/SCCT/STS 2017 Appropriate Use Criteria for Coronary Revascularization in Patients with Stable Ischemic Heart Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2017; 69(17):2212-2241.

Patel MR, White RD, Abbara S, et al, 2013 ACCF/ACR/ASE/ASNC/SCCT/SCMR Appropriate utilization of cardiovascular imaging in heart failure, A Joint Report of the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria Committee and the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** *JACC.* 2013; 61(21): 2207-2231.

Patel MR, Calhoon JH, Dehmer GJ, et al. ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/SCAI/SCCT/STS 2017 Appropriate Use Criteria for Coronary Revascularization in Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease. *JACC.* 2017; 69(17): 2212-2241.

Pontone G, Baggiano A, Andreini D, et al. Stress Computed Tomography Perfusion Versus Fractional Flow Reserve CT Derived in Suspected Coronary Artery Disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2018 Oct 12. pii: S1936-878X(18)30751-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.08.023. [Epub ahead of print].

Ridker PM, Buring JE, Rifai N, et al. NIH Estimate of 10 year coronary artery disease risk from Framingham Risk Score: Development and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score. *JAMA*. 2007; 297(6):611-619. Available at: http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=205528

 Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

Rybick FJi, Udelson JE, Peacock WF, et al. ACR/ACC/AHA/AATS/ACEP/ASNC/NASCI/SAEM/SCCT/SCMR/SCPC/SNMMI/STR/STS Appropriate utilization of cardiovascular imaging in emergency department patients with chest pain. *JACC.* 2016; 67(7):853-879.

Sachdeva R, Valente AM, Armstrong AK, et al.
ACC/AHA/ASE/HRS/ISACHD/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/SOPE 2020 Appropriate Use Criteria for Multimodality Imaging During the Follow-Up Care of Patients w~~W~~ith Congenital Heart Disease.
~~Writing Group, Ritu Sachdeva, Anne Marie Valente, Aimee K. Armstrong, Stephen C. Cook, B. Kelly Han, Leo Lopez, George K. Lui, Sarah S. Pickard, Andrew J. Powell, Rating Panel, Nicole M. Bhave, Ritu Sachdeva, Anne Marie Valente, Sarah S. Pickard, Jeanne M. Baffa, Puja Banka, Scott B. Cohen, Julie S. Glickstein, Joshua P. Kanter, Ronald J. Kanter, Yuli Y. Kim, Alaina K. Kipps, Larry A. Latson, Jeannette P. Lin, David A. Parra, Fred H. Rodriguez, Elizabeth V. Saarel, Shubhika Srivastava, Elizabeth A. Stephenson, Karen K. Stout, Ali N. Zaidi~~
J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020 Jan 06. Epub.~~lished~~ DOI:10.1016/j.jacc.2019.10.002

Shen W, Sheldon RS, Benditt DG, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the evaluation and management of patients with syncope: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** ~~JACC.~~ 2017; 70(5):620-663.

Taylor AJ, Cerqueira MC, Hodgson JM, et al. ACCF/SCCT/ACR/AHA/ASE/ASNC/NASCI/SCAI/SCMR 2010 Appropriate use criteria for cardiac computed tomography: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, the American College of Radiology, the American Heart Association, the American Society of Echocardiography, the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** ~~JACC.~~ 2010; 56(22):1864-1894.

Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** ~~JACC.~~ 2014; 63(4):380-406. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1789799.

 Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

Yancy C, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. ***J Am Coll Cardiol.*** ~~JACC.~~ 2013; 62(16):e147-237.

Reviewed / Approved by Rosalind C. Watman D.O. Rosalind C. Watman, D.O., Medical Director, Cardiology

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates ("Magellan"). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.

Copyright © 2020 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved