

CONCERT GENETIC TESTING: PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS (VIA AMNIOCENTESIS, CVS, OR PUBS) AND PREGNANCY LOSS

Reference Number: LA.CP.CG.20

[Coding implications](#)

Date of Last Revision ~~12/23~~06/24

[Revision Log](#)

See [Important Reminder](#) at the end of this policy for important regulatory and legal information.

OVERVIEW

Prenatal diagnostic testing may be used to identify genetic conditions in fetuses at an increased risk based on prenatal screening or for women who choose to undergo diagnostic testing due to other risk factors, such as abnormal ultrasound findings, previous pregnancy with aneuploidy, etc. Prenatal diagnostic testing for genetic disorders is performed on fetal cells derived from amniotic fluid, and/or ~~percutaneous umbilical blood sampling (PUBS)~~ percutaneous umbilical blood sampling (PUBS) (cordocentesis) or from placental cells via ~~chorionic villus sampling (CVS)~~ chorionic villus sampling (CVS). Genetic testing techniques include conventional chromosome analysis, chromosome fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA), targeted or Sanger sequencing, and next-generation sequencing (NGS).

Genetic testing may also be used in an attempt to determine the cause of isolated or ~~recurrent pregnancy loss~~ recurrent pregnancy loss, including miscarriages, intrauterine fetal demise (IUFD), and stillbirth. The evaluation of both recurrent and isolated miscarriages and IUFD or stillbirth may involve genetic testing of the products of conception (POC) and/or testing of fetal/placental cells from amniotic fluid, CVS, or PUBS if available. Such testing of POC has typically been carried out through cell culture and karyotyping of cells in metaphase. However, the analysis of fetal or placental tissue has been inhibited by the following limitations: the need for fresh tissue, the potential for cell culture failure, and the potential for maternal cell contamination. Potential benefits of identifying a genetic abnormality in a miscarriage or IUFD include reducing emotional distress for families, eliminating the need for additional testing to assess for causes of pregnancy loss, and assisting in reproductive decision making for future pregnancies.

The decision to elect a prenatal diagnostic test and/or genetic testing following pregnancy loss should be made jointly by the mother and/or parents and the treating clinician. Genetic counseling, including facilitation of decision making, is strongly recommended.

In most cases, prenatal genetic testing for single gene disorders using molecular genetic testing requires knowledge of the familial genetic variant which has been identified in a family member (e.g., biological mother, biological father, and/or sibling).

POLICY REFERENCE TABLE

Coding Implications

This clinical policy references Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®). CPT® is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association. All CPT codes and descriptions are copyrighted 20222023, American Medical Association. All rights reserved. CPT codes and CPT descriptions are from the current manuals and those included herein are not intended to be all-inclusive and are included for informational purposes only. -Codes referenced in this clinical policy are for informational purposes only and may not support medical necessity. Inclusion or exclusion of any codes does not guarantee coverage. -Providers should reference the most up-to-date sources of professional coding guidance prior to the submission of claims for reimbursement of covered services.

~~NOTE: Coverage is subject to each requested code's inclusion on the corresponding LDH fee schedule. Non-covered codes are denoted (*) and are reviewed for Medical Necessity for members under 21 years of age on a per case basis.~~

The tests and associated laboratories and CPT codes contained within this document serve only as examples to help users navigate claims and corresponding criteria; as such, they are not comprehensive and are not a guarantee of coverage or non-coverage. Please see the [Concert Genetics Platform](#) for a comprehensive list of registered tests.

Criteria <u>SectionsCriteria</u> <u>Sections</u>	Example Tests (Labs)	Common CPT Codes	Common ICD Codes	<u>RefRe</u> <u>f</u>
Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Prenatal Diagnosis Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Prenatal Diagnosis	Reveal SNP Microarray - Prenatal (Integrated Genetics)	81228*, 81229, 81265, 88235	O26.2, O28, Q00-Q99, Z14.8	3, 9
	Prenatal Whole Genome Chromosomal Microarray (GeneDx)			
Conventional Karyotype Analysis for Prenatal Diagnosis Conventional Karyotype Analysis for Prenatal Diagnosis	Chromosome Analysis, Amniotic Fluid (GeneDx) Chorionic Villus Sample (Quest Diagnostics)	88235, 88261, 88262, 88263, 88264, 88267, 88269, 88280, 88291	O26.2, O28, Q00-Q99, Z14.8	9
	Chromosome Analysis, Chorionic Villus Sample (Quest Diagnostics)			

	Chromosome Analysis, Amniotic Fluid (Quest Diagnostics)			
<u>Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Pregnancy Loss</u>	SNP Microarray-Products of Conception (POC)/Tissue (Reveal) (Labcorp)	81228*, 81229, 81265, 88235	O03, Z37	1, 2, 11
<u>Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Pregnancy Loss</u>	Chromosomal Microarray, POC, ClariSure Oligo-SNP (Quest Diagnostics)			
<u>Conventional Karyotype Analysis for Pregnancy Loss</u>	Chromosome Analysis, POC, Tissue (Bioreference Labs)	88235, 88261, 88262, 88263, 88264, 88267, 88269, 88280, 88291	O03, Z37	1
<u>Conventional Karyotype Analysis for Pregnancy Loss</u>	Chromosome Analysis, Products of Conception (POC) (GeneDx ARUP Laboratories)			
<u>Prenatal Diagnosis for Single Gene Disorders</u>	Various Targeted Mutation Analysis	0218U*, 81174*, 81177*, 81178*, 81179*, 81180*, 81181*, 81182*, 81183*, 81184*, 81185*, 81186*, 81187*, 81188*, 81189*, 81190*, 81200*, 81202, 81204*, 81205*, 81209*, 81221, 81239*, 81242*, 81243*, 81244*, 81248*, 81250*, 81251*, 81252*, 81253*, 81254*, 81255*, 81257*, 81258*, 81259*, 81260*, 81269*, 81284*, 81285*, 81286*, 81289*, 81290*, 81303*, 81312*, 81329*, 81330*, 81331*, 81332*, 81336*, 81337*, 81343*, 81344*, 81361*, 81362*, 81363*, 81364*, 81400*, 81401*, 81402*, 81403*,	O26.2, O28, Z14.8	3, 7, 14

		81404*, 81405*, 81406*, 81407*, 81408*, 88235, 81265		
<u>Prenatal Diagnosis for Noonan Spectrum Disorders/RA Sopathies</u>	Prenatal Noonan Spectrum Disorders Panel (GeneDx)	81404*, 81405*, 81406*, 81407*, 81479, 81442*, 81265, 88235	O28.3, O35.8XX0	8, <u>9</u> , 10
<u>Prenatal Diagnosis for Noonan Spectrum Disorders/RA Sopathies</u>	Prenatal Noonan Syndrome (Integrated Genetics)			
<u>Prenatal Diagnosis for Skeletal Dysplasias</u>	Prenatal Skeletal Dysplasia Panel (GeneDx)	81404*, 81405*, 81408*, 81479, 81265*, 88235	O35.8XX0, O28.3	4, 13
<u>Prenatal Diagnosis for Skeletal Dysplasias</u>	Skeletal Dysplasia Core NGS Panel (Connective Tissue Gene Tests)			
<u>Prenatal Diagnosis via Exome Sequencing</u>	XomeDx Prenatal-Comprehensive (GeneDx)	81415*, 81416*, 81265, 88235	O35.8XX0, O28.3	5, 6
<u>Prenatal Diagnosis via Exome Sequencing</u>	Prenatal Exome Sequencing (Greenwood Genetic Center)			
<u>Prenatal Diagnosis via Genome Sequencing</u>	Prenatal Whole Genome Sequencing	81425, 81426, 81427, 88235, 81265, 0335U*, 0336U*	O35.8XX0, O28.3	2, 12

OTHER RELATED POLICIES

This policy document provides criteria for prenatal or pregnancy loss diagnostic testing, and does not address the use of conventional chromosome analysis, CMA, or FISH for preimplantation genetic testing or the evaluation of suspected chromosome abnormalities in the postnatal period. Please refer to:

- **Genetic Testing: Noninvasive Prenatal Screening (NIPS)** for criteria related to prenatal cell-free DNA screening tests.
- **Genetic Testing: Prenatal and Preconception Carrier Screening** for criteria related to carrier screening for genetic disorders.
- **Genetic Testing: Preimplantation Genetic Testing** for criteria related to genetic testing of embryos prior to in vitro fertilization.
- **Genetic Testing: Multisystem Inherited Disorders, Intellectual Disability and Developmental Delay** for criteria related to suspected chromosome abnormalities in the postnatal period.

- **Genetic Testing: General Approach to Genetic and Molecular Testing** for criteria related to prenatal diagnostic or pregnancy loss genetic testing that is not specifically discussed in this or other non-general policies.

[back to top](#)

CRITERIA

It is the policy of Louisiana Healthcare Connections that the specific genetic testing noted below is **medically necessary** when meeting the related criteria:

NOTE: This policy does not address the use of conventional chromosome analysis, CMA, and FISH for preimplantation genetic testing or the evaluation of suspected chromosome abnormalities in the postnatal period.

CHROMOSOMAL MICROARRAY ANALYSIS (CMA) FOR PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS

- I. Chromosome microarray analysis (81228*, 81229, 81265, 88235) for prenatal diagnosis via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~ amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS may be considered **medically necessary** when:
 - A. The member/enrollee has received counseling regarding the benefits and limitations of prenatal screening and diagnostic testing (including chromosome microarray via ~~amniocentesis, CVS or PUBS~~ amniocentesis, CVS or PUBS) for fetal chromosome abnormalities.
- II. Chromosome microarray analysis (81228*, 81229, 81265, 88235) for prenatal diagnosis via ~~amniocentesis~~ amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

CONVENTIONAL KARYOTYPE ANALYSIS FOR PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS

- I. Conventional karyotype analysis (88235, 88261, 88262, 88263, 88264, 88267, 88269, 88280, 88291) for prenatal diagnosis via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~ amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS may be considered **medically necessary** when:
 - A. The member/enrollee has received counseling regarding the benefits and limitations of prenatal screening and diagnostic testing (including karyotyping via

~~amniocentesis, CVS or PUBS~~amniocentesis, CVS or PUBS) for fetal chromosome abnormalities.

- II. Conventional karyotype analysis (88235, 88261, 88262, 88263, 88264, 88267, 88269, 88280, 88291) for prenatal diagnosis via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

NoteNOTE: Current guidelines recommend that chromosome microarray analysis (CMA) be performed as the primary test for patients undergoing prenatal diagnosis when the fetus has one or more major structural abnormalities identified by ultrasound examination (see [Background and Rationale](#) for more information).

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

CHROMOSOMAL MICROARRAY ANALYSIS (CMA) FOR PREGNANCY LOSS

- I. Chromosomal microarray analysis (81228~~*,2~~, 81229, 81265, 88235) on products of conception (POC) may be considered **medically necessary** as an alternative to conventional karyotype analysis when:

A. The member/enrollee meets one of the following:

1. The member/enrollee has a history of ~~recurrent pregnancy loss~~recurrent pregnancy loss, **OR**
2. The member/enrollee has a pregnancy loss at or greater than 20 weeks of gestation (i.e., IUFD or stillbirth), **AND**

B. The ~~test has been ordered by and the~~ member/enrollee has received **genetic counseling** ~~from one regarding the benefits and limitations of the following (who is not affiliated with the commercial testing laboratory, if applicable);~~chromosome microarray analysis on products of conception.

- ~~0. A board-certified medical geneticist~~
- ~~0. Maternal fetal medicine specialist/perinatologist~~
- ~~0. A board-certified OBGYN~~
- ~~0. A board-certified genetic counselor~~
- ~~0. An advanced practice practitioner in genetics or maternal fetal medicine/perinatology~~

~~VII. II.~~ Chromosome microarray analysis (81228~~*,2~~, 81229, 81265, 88235) on products of conception (POC) is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

CONVENTIONAL KARYOTYPE ANALYSIS FOR PREGNANCY LOSS

- I. Conventional karyotype analysis (88235, 88261, 88262, 88263, 88264, 88267, 88269, 88280, 88291) on products of conception (POC) may be considered **medically necessary** when:
 - A. The member/enrollee has a history of [recurrent pregnancy loss](#).
- II. Conventional karyotype analysis (88235, 88261, 88262, 88263, 88264, 88267, 88269, 88280, 88291) on products of conception (POC) is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS FOR SINGLE GENE DISORDERS

- I. Prenatal diagnosis for single-gene disorders (0218U^{*,2}, 81174^{*,2}, 81177^{*,2}, 81178^{*,2}, 81179^{*,2}, 81180^{*,2}, 81181^{*,2}, 81182^{*,2}, 81183^{*,2}, 81184^{*,2}, 81185^{*,2}, 81186^{*,2}, 81187^{*,2}, 81188^{*,2}, 81189^{*,2}, 81190^{*,2}, 81200^{*,2}, 81202, 81204^{*,2}, 81205^{*,2}, 81209^{*,2}, 81221, 81239^{*,2}, 81242^{*,2}, 81243^{*,2}, 81244^{*,2}, 81248^{*,2}, 81250^{*,2}, 81251^{*,2}, 81252^{*,2}, 81253^{*,2}, 81254^{*,2}, 81255^{*,2}, 81257^{*,2}, 81258^{*,2}, 81259^{*,2}, 81260^{*,2}, 81269^{*,2}, 81284^{*,2}, 81285^{*,2}, 81286^{*,2}, 81289^{*,2}, 81290^{*,2}, 81303^{*,2}, 81312^{*,2}, 81329^{*,2}, 81330^{*,2}, 81331^{*,2}, 81332^{*,2}, 81336^{*,2}, 81337^{*,2}, 81343^{*,2}, 81344^{*,2}, 81361^{*,2}, 81362^{*,2}, 81363^{*,2}, 81364^{*,2}, 81400^{*,2}, 81401^{*,2}, 81402^{*,2}, 81403^{*,2}, 81404^{*,2}, 81405^{*,2}, 81406^{*,2}, 81407^{*,2}, 81408^{*,2}, 88235, 81265), via amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, may be considered **medically necessary** when:
 - A. The member/enrollee meets any of the following:
 1. At least one biological parent has a known pathogenic variant for an autosomal dominant condition, **OR**
 2. Both biological parents are known carriers of an autosomal recessive condition, **OR**
 3. One biological parent is suspected or known to be a carrier of an X-linked condition, **OR**

4. The member/enrollee has a history of a previous child with a genetic condition and the member/enrollee is suspected to have germline mosaicism, **AND**

B. The natural history of the disease is well-understood, and there is a high likelihood that the disease has high morbidity, **AND**

C. The genetic test has adequate sensitivity and specificity to guide clinical decision making and residual risk is understood.

II. Prenatal diagnosis for single-gene disorders (0218U^{*,2}, 81174^{*,2}, 81177^{*,2}, 81178^{*,2}, 81179^{*,2}, 81180^{*,2}, 81181^{*,2}, 81182^{*,2}, 81183^{*,2}, 81184^{*,2}, 81185^{*,2}, 81186^{*,2}, 81187^{*,2}, 81188^{*,2}, 81189^{*,2}, 81190^{*,2}, 81200^{*,2}, 81202, 81204^{*,2}, 81205^{*,2}, 81209^{*,2}, 81221, 81239^{*,2}, 81242^{*,2}, 81243^{*,2}, 81244^{*,2}, 81248^{*,2}, 81250^{*,2}, 81251^{*,2}, 81252^{*,2}, 81253^{*,2}, 81254^{*,2}, 81255^{*,2}, 81257^{*,2}, 81258^{*,2}, 81259^{*,2}, 81260^{*,2}, 81269^{*,2}, 81284^{*,2}, 81285^{*,2}, 81286^{*,2}, 81289^{*,2}, 81290^{*,2}, 81303^{*,2}, 81312^{*,2}, ~~81329^{*,2}~~, 81330^{*,2}, 81331^{*,2}, 81332^{*,2}, 81336^{*,2}, 81337^{*,2}, 81343^{*,2}, 81344^{*,2}, 81361^{*,2}, 81362^{*,2}, ~~81363^{*,2}~~, 81263, 81364^{*,2}, 81400^{*,2}, 81401^{*,2}, 81402^{*,2}, 81403^{*,2}, 81404^{*,2}, 81405^{*,2}, 81406^{*,2}, 81407^{*,2}, 81408^{*,2}, 88235, 81265), via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, for adult onset single-gene disorders (examples: hereditary cancer syndromes such as *BRCA1/2*, etc.) is considered **not medically necessary**.

III. Prenatal diagnosis for single-gene disorders (0218U^{*,2}, 81174^{*,2}, 81177^{*,2}, 81178^{*,2}, 81179^{*,2}, 81180^{*,2}, 81181^{*,2}, 81182^{*,2}, 81183^{*,2}, 81184^{*,2}, 81185^{*,2}, 81186^{*,2}, 81187^{*,2}, 81188^{*,2}, 81189^{*,2}, 81190^{*,2}, 81200^{*,2}, 81202, 81204^{*,2}, 81205^{*,2}, 81209^{*,2}, 81221, 81239^{*,2}, 81242^{*,2}, 81243^{*,2}, 81244^{*,2}, 81248^{*,2}, 81250^{*,2}, 81251^{*,2}, 81252^{*,2}, 81253^{*,2}, 81254^{*,2}, 81255^{*,2}, 81257^{*,2}, 81258^{*,2}, 81259^{*,2}, 81260^{*,2}, 81269^{*,2}, 81284^{*,2}, ~~81285^{*,2}~~, 81286^{*,2}, 81289^{*,2}, 81290^{*,2}, 81303^{*,2}, 81312^{*,2}, ~~81329^{*,2}~~, 81330^{*,2}, 81331^{*,2}, 81332^{*,2}, 81336^{*,2}, 81337^{*,2}, 81343^{*,2}, 81344^{*,2}, 81361^{*,2}, 81362^{*,2}, ~~81363^{*,2}~~, 81263, 81364^{*,2}, 81400^{*,2}, 81401^{*,2}, 81402^{*,2}, 81403^{*,2}, 81404^{*,2}, 81405^{*,2}, 81406^{*,2}, 81407^{*,2}, 81408^{*,2}, 88235, 81265), via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, is considered **investigational** for variants of unknown significance (VUS).

IV. Prenatal diagnosis for single-gene disorders (0218U^{*,2}, 81174^{*,2}, 81177^{*,2}, 81178^{*,2}, 81179^{*,2}, 81180^{*,2}, 81181^{*,2}, 81182^{*,2}, 81183^{*,2}, 81184^{*,2}, 81185^{*,2}, 81186^{*,2}, 81187^{*,2}, 81188^{*,2}, 81189^{*,2}, 81190^{*,2}, 81200^{*,2}, 81202, 81204^{*,2}, 81205^{*,2}, 81209^{*,2}, 81221, 81239^{*,2}, 81242^{*,2}, 81243^{*,2}, 81244^{*,2}, 81248^{*,2}, 81250^{*,2}, 81251^{*,2}, 81252^{*,2}, 81253^{*,2}, 81254^{*,2}, 81255^{*,2}, 81257^{*,2}, 81258^{*,2}, 81259^{*,2}, 81260^{*,2}, 81269^{*,2}, 81284^{*,2}, ~~81285^{*,2}~~, 81286^{*,2}, 81289^{*,2}, 81290^{*,2}, 81303^{*,2}, 81312^{*,2}, ~~81329^{*,2}~~, 81330^{*,2}, 81331^{*,2}, 81332^{*,2}, 81336^{*,2}, 81337^{*,2}, 81343^{*,2}, 81344^{*,2}, 81361^{*,2}, 81362^{*,2}, ~~81363^{*,2}~~, 81263, 81364^{*,2}, 81400^{*,2}, 81401^{*,2}, 81402^{*,2}, 81403^{*,2}, 81404^{*,2}, 81405^{*,2}, 81406^{*,2}, 81407^{*,2}, 81408^{*,2}, 88235, 81265), via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS FOR NOONAN SPECTRUM DISORDERS/RASOPATHIES

- I. Prenatal diagnosis for Noonan spectrum disorders/RASopathies, via amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, using a Noonan syndrome panel (81404^{*,2}, 81405^{*,2}, 81406^{*,2}, 81407^{*,2}, 81479, 81442^{*,2}, 81265, 88235) may be considered **medically necessary** when:

A. The member/enrollee's current pregnancy has had a normal karyotype and/or microarray, **AND**

B. The member/enrollee meets one of the following:

1. The member/enrollee's current pregnancy has an ultrasound finding of increased nuchal translucency or cystic hygroma of at least 5.0 mm in the first trimester, **OR**

2. The member/enrollee's current pregnancy has both of the following:

- a) An increased nuchal translucency of at least 3.5~~mm~~0mm, **AND**

- b) One of the following ultrasound findings:

- (1) Distended jugular lymph sacs (JLS), **OR**

- (2) Hydrops fetalis, **OR**

- (3) Polyhydramnios, **OR**

- (4) Pleural effusion, **OR**

- (5) Cardiac defects (e.g., pulmonary valve stenosis, atrioventricular septal defect, coarctation of the aorta, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, atrial septal defect, etc.), **AND**.

~~The panel being ordered includes, at a minimum, the following genes: PTPN11, RAF1, RIT1, SOS1.~~

- III. Prenatal diagnosis for Noonan spectrum disorders/RASopathies, via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, using a Noonan syndrome panel (81404^{*,2}, 81405^{*,2}, 81406^{*,2}, 81407^{*,2}, 81479, 81442^{*,2}, 81265, 88235) is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS FOR SKELETAL DYSPLASIAS

- I. Prenatal diagnosis for skeletal dysplasias, via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, using a skeletal dysplasia panel (81404^{*,2}, 81405^{*,2}, 81408^{*,2}, 81479, 81265, 88235) may be considered **medically necessary** when:
 - A. The member/enrollee's current pregnancy has any of the following ultrasound findings:
 1. Long bones less than 5th percentile, **OR**
 2. Poor mineralization of the calvarium, **OR**
 3. Fractures of long bones (particularly femora), **OR**
 4. Bent/bowed bones, **OR**
 5. Poor mineralization of the vertebrae, **OR**
 6. Absent/hypoplastic scapula, **OR**
 7. Equinovarus, **AND**
 - B. The panel being ordered includes, at a minimum, the following genes: *COL1A1*, *COL1A2*, *COL2A1*, *FGFR3*.
- II. Prenatal diagnosis for skeletal dysplasias, via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, using a skeletal dysplasia panel (81404^{*,2}, 81405^{*,2}, 81408^{*,2}, 81479, 81265, 88235) is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS VIA EXOME SEQUENCING

- I. Prenatal diagnosis, via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, using exome sequencing (81415^{*,2}, 81416^{*,2}, 81265, 88235) may be considered **medically necessary** when:
 - A. The member/enrollee's current pregnancy has either of the following:
 1. Non-immune hydrops fetalis, **OR**
 2. Two or more ~~major malformations~~ major malformations on ultrasound, which are affecting different organ systems, **AND**

- B. The member/enrollee's current pregnancy has had a karyotype and/or microarray performed and the results were negative/normal, **AND**
 - C. Alternate etiologies have been considered and ruled out when possible (examples: environmental exposure, injury, infection, maternal condition).
- II. Prenatal diagnosis, via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, using exome sequencing (81415*, 81416*, 81265, 88235) is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

~~Exome or genome sequencing (81265, 81415, 81416, 88235) for pregnancy loss on products of conception (POC) is considered **investigational**.~~

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS VIA GENOME SEQUENCING

- I. Prenatal diagnosis, via ~~amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS~~, amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, using genome sequencing (81425, 81426, 81427, 88235, 81265, 0335U*, 0336U*) is considered **investigational**.

[back to top](#)

~~NOTES AND~~ [back to top](#)

DEFINITIONS

1. **Major malformations** are structural defects that have a significant effect on function or appearance. They may be lethal or associated with possible survival with severe or moderate immediate or long-term morbidity. Examples by organ system include:
 - Genitourinary: renal agenesis (unilateral or bilateral), hypoplastic/cystic kidney
 - Cardiovascular: complex heart malformations (such as pulmonary valve stenosis, tetralogy of fallot, transposition of the great arteries, coarctation of the aorta, hypoplastic left heart syndrome)
 - Musculoskeletal: osteochondrodysplasia/osteogenesis imperfecta, clubfoot, craniosynostosis, fetal growth restriction/intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR)
 - Central nervous system: anencephaly, hydrocephalus, myelomeningocele
 - Body wall: omphalocele/gastroschisis
 - Respiratory: cystic adenomatoid lung malformation

2. **Amniocentesis** is a procedure in which a sample of amniotic fluid is removed from the uterus for prenatal diagnostic testing.
3. **Chorionic Villi Sampling (CVS)** is a procedure where a sample of chorionic villi is removed from the placenta for prenatal diagnostic testing.
4. **Percutaneous Umbilical Cord Blood Sampling (PUBS)** is a procedure where a sample of fetal blood is extracted from the vein in the umbilical cord.
5. **Recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL)** is defined as having two or more failed clinical pregnancies, including a current loss if applicable

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Prenatal Diagnosis

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

An ACOG practice bulletin (#162, 2016, [reaffirmed 2020](#)) states the following:

- Chromosomal aberrations that are smaller than the resolution of conventional karyotype also can result in phenotypic anomalies; these copy number variants can be detected in the fetus using chromosomal microarray analysis. When structural abnormalities are detected by prenatal ultrasound examination, chromosomal microarray will identify clinically significant chromosomal abnormalities in approximately 6% of the fetuses that have a normal karyotype. For this reason, chromosomal microarray analysis should be recommended as the primary test (replacing conventional karyotype) for patients undergoing prenatal diagnosis for the indication of a fetal structural abnormality detected by ultrasound examination. (p. e109)
- Chromosomal microarray analysis has been found to detect a pathogenic (or likely pathogenic) copy number variant in approximately 1.7% of patients with a normal ultrasound examination and a normal karyotype ~~-(11)-~~, and it is recommended that chromosomal microarray analysis be made available to any patient choosing to undergo invasive diagnostic testing. (p. e.110)

[back to top](#) ACOG practice bulletin #226 (2020) states the following regarding counseling patients: “Each patient should be counseled in each pregnancy about options for testing for fetal chromosomal abnormalities. It is important that obstetric care professionals be prepared to discuss not only the risk of fetal chromosomal abnormalities but also the relative benefits and limitations of the available screening and diagnostic tests.” (p. 859)

Conventional Karyotype Analysis for Prenatal Diagnosis

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM)

The ACOG and SMFM practice bulletin (#226, 2020) states the following:

“Prenatal genetic screening (serum screening with or without nuchal translucency [NT] ultrasound or cell-free DNA screening) and diagnostic testing (chorionic villus sampling [CVS] or amniocentesis) options should be discussed and offered to all pregnant women regardless of maternal age or risk of chromosomal abnormality.” (p. 862)

“Each patient should be counseled in each pregnancy about options for testing for fetal chromosomal abnormalities. It is important that obstetric care professionals be prepared to discuss not only the risk of fetal chromosomal abnormalities but also the relative benefits and limitations of the available screening and diagnostic tests.” (p. 859)

~~[back to top](#)~~ [back to top](#)

Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Pregnancy Loss

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM)

The ACOG and SMFM practice bulletin (#682, ~~2020~~) supports the following evaluation for pregnancy loss in their 2016 [statement](#) (reaffirmed 2020) ~~statement~~ [and 2023](#)):

"Chromosomal microarray analysis of fetal tissue (i.e., amniotic fluid, placenta, or products of conception) is recommended in the evaluation of intrauterine fetal death or stillbirth when further cytogenetic analysis is desired because of the test's increased likelihood of obtaining results and improved detection of causative abnormalities." (p. e263)

American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM)

The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (2012) issued an opinion on the evaluation and treatment of recurrent pregnancy loss. The statement drew multiple conclusions, one of which states: "Evaluation of recurrent pregnancy loss can proceed after 2 consecutive clinical pregnancy losses." (p. 1108)

Papas and Kutteh (2021)

A review published in the Application of Clinical Genetics in 2021 by Papas and Kutteh recommends that genetic testing on products of conception should be performed after the second

and subsequent pregnancy loss. Chromosome microarray is the preferred testing method. (p. 321)

[back to top](#)[back to top](#)

Conventional Karyotype Analysis for Pregnancy Loss

American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM)

According to the ASRM's 2012 statement, recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) is defined as a distinct disorder defined by two or more failed clinical pregnancies. Evaluation of RPL can proceed after two consecutive clinical pregnancy losses, which may include karyotypic analysis of products of conception (p. 1103 and 1108) For the purposes of this committee, the ASRM defines clinical pregnancy as "...documented by ultrasonography or histopathological examination." (p. 1103)

[back to top](#)[back to top](#)

Prenatal Diagnosis for Single-Gene Disorders

National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC)

The National Society of Genetic Counselors updated a position statement (2019) regarding prenatal testing for adult-onset conditions, stating the following:

"The National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC) does not recommend prenatal genetic testing for known adult-onset conditions if pregnancy or childhood management will not be affected. Due to potential medical and ethical complexities, NSGC recommends that prior to undergoing testing, prospective parents meet with a genetic counselor or other healthcare specialists with genetics expertise to discuss the implications of prenatal testing for adult-onset conditions. Pre-test counseling should include a discussion of the natural history of the condition, availability of treatments or interventions, concerns that prenatal testing for adult-onset conditions may deny a child's future autonomy, and potential for genetic discrimination."

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) practice bulletin 162 (2016, [reaffirmed 2020](#)) states the following:

All pregnant women should be offered prenatal assessment for aneuploidy by screening or diagnostic testing regardless of maternal age or other risk factors. Patients with an increased risk of a fetal genetic disorder include those in the following categories:

- Older maternal age
- Older paternal age
- Prior child with structural birth defect

- Previous fetus or child with autosomal trisomy or sex chromosome aneuploidy
- Structural anomalies identified by ultrasonography
- Parental carrier of chromosome rearrangement
- Parental aneuploidy or aneuploidy mosaicism
- Parental carrier of a genetic disorder
- Biological parent who is affected by an autosomal dominant disorder. (p. e112-e113)

Some autosomal dominant disorders seen in a previous child but with no other family history may have arisen as a new mutation. In such cases, there may be a small increased risk of recurrence, depending on the disorder. To ensure that any testing for recurrence is informative, a diagnosis established by molecular testing of the affected child usually is necessary. Such confirmation also will ensure that the risk for a future pregnancy has been assessed accurately.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

ACOG released a committee opinion (no. 693) in April 2017 ([reaffirmed 2020](#)) regarding counseling about genetic testing and communication of genetic test results.

The opinion states: “As with any medical test, expectations regarding the performance of a genetic test should be discussed with the patient before the test is ordered. Pretest counseling that includes information on the types of potential results as well as the risks, limitations, and benefits of testing should be provided to all patients before performing any form of genetic test. After counseling, patients should have the option to decline any or all testing.” (p. 1)

A discussion of the sensitivity and specificity of the test for each of the disorders being tested is important to ensure patient understanding. For example, in the case of expanded carrier screening, patients should be informed of the overall range of the carrier detection rate and the range of residual risk of the disorders examined. With reference to each patient’s specific a priori risk, the patient should be informed of the meaning and significance of positive, negative, or indeterminate test results, as well as results that are normal but may have variable phenotypes. This discussion of the positive predictive value and negative predictive value of the test result facilitates a discussion of the potential need for follow-up diagnostic testing. (p. 3)

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

Prenatal Diagnosis for Noonan Spectrum Disorders/RASopathies

Stuurman KE, Joosten M, van der Burgt I, et al, 2019

This cohort study of ultrasound findings of 424 fetuses in the Netherlands concluded with the recommendation for “testing of fetuses with solely an increased NT after chromosomal abnormalities have been excluded when the NT is greater than or equal to 5.0 mm. We also recommend testing when the NT is greater than or equal to 3.5 mm and at least one of the

following anomalies is present: distended jugular lymph sacs (JLS), hydrops fetalis, polyhydramnios, pleural effusion and cardiac defects.” (p. 660)

“In general, an NGS panel of known rasopathy genes should be used when a rasopathy is suspected. Although we did not find pathogenic variants in every gene in the panel, in all genes, a prenatal phenotype has been documented in literature. Therefore, a smaller panel is not advisable. However, in countries where an extensive panel is not available, testing for only *PTPN11* gene would catch at least 50% of the fetuses with a rasopathy.” (p. 661)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

The ACOG and SMFM practice bulletin (#226, 2020) defines an enlarged nuchal translucency (NT) as 3.0 mm or more or above the 99th percentile for the crown–rump length)”. (p. e53)

GeneReviews: Noonan Syndrome

GeneReviews is an expert-authored review of current literature on a genetic disease, and goes through a rigorous editing and peer review process before being published online. The clinical summary for Noonan Syndrome gives the following prenatal features (Roberts, 2022):

- Polyhydramnios
- Lymphatic dysplasia including increased distended jugular lymphatic sacs, nuchal translucency, cystic hygroma, pleural effusion, and ascites
- Relative macrocephaly
- Cardiac and renal anomalies

The author points out that 3%-15% of chromosomally normal fetuses with increased nuchal translucency have *PTPN11*-associated Noonan syndrome.

[back to top](#) — [back to top](#)

Prenatal Diagnosis for Skeletal Dysplasias

Krakow et al 2009

A guideline for prenatal diagnosis of fetal skeletal dysplasias (Krakow, Lachman, Rimoin, 2009) recommends the follow criteria:

- Fetuses with long bone measurements at or less than the 5th centile or greater than 3 SD below the mean should be evaluated in a center with expertise in the recognition of skeletal dysplasias. If the patient cannot travel, arrangements may be able to be made for evaluation of ultrasound videotapes or hard copy images. (p. 5)
- Fetuses with long bone parameters greater than 3 SD below the mean should be strongly suspected of having a skeletal dysplasia, especially if the head circumference is greater than the 75th centile. (p. 5)

- Lethality should be determined by chest circumference to abdominal circumference ratio and/or femur length to abdominal circumference measurement ratio. A chest-to abdominal circumference ratio of <0.6 or femur length to abdominal circumference ratio of 0.16 strongly suggests a perinatal lethal disorder, although there are exceptions. The findings should be conveyed to the physicians caring for the patient and to the patient. (p. 5)
- In addition, close attention should be paid to the shape and mineralization pattern of the fetal calvarium and fetal skeleton (poor or ectopic mineralization). Determining the elements of the skeleton that are abnormal, coupled with the findings of mineralization and shape of the bones can aid in diagnosis. (p. 3)

The guidelines also state:

- “Molecular testing should be offered in those pregnancies at-risk for homozygosity or compound heterozygosity for skeletal dysplasias. Both parents’ mutations should have been identified, ideally before pregnancy.” (p. 5)
- “Individuals with skeletal dysplasias known to be due to a number of different mutations should be encouraged to obtain molecular analysis before pregnancy.” (p. 5)
- “In cases where molecular testing is performed and ultrasound findings suggest a lethal prognosis, then counseling should be based on clinical findings and molecular testing should be considered to confirm the clinical findings.” (p. 5)

Scocchia, et al.

A 2021 study of the clinical utility of multigene panel testing for an unselected population of individuals with suspected skeletal dysplasia demonstrated a high diagnostic yield in prenatal cases. (p. 1)

A molecular diagnosis was established in 42% of patients (228/543). Diagnostic variants were identified in 71 genes, with variation in nearly half of these genes contributing to a molecular diagnosis for a single patient in this cohort. Overall, the most common genes in which molecular diagnoses were identified included: *COL2A1* associated with type II collagenopathies; *FGFR3* associated with achondroplasia, thanatophoric dysplasia, hypochondroplasia, and other conditions such as FGFR-related craniosynostoses; and *COL1A1* or *COL1A2*, associated with osteogenesis imperfecta. Together, these four genes accounted for over one third of all molecular diagnoses across the cohort. (p. 2-3)

[back to top](#)[back to top](#)

Prenatal Diagnosis via Exome Sequencing

American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)

ACMG issued a statement on the use of fetal exome sequencing in prenatal diagnosis (2020) that included the following points to consider:

- “Exome sequencing may be considered for a fetus with ultrasound anomalies when standard CMA and karyotype analysis have failed to yield a definitive diagnosis. If a specific diagnosis is suspected, molecular testing for the suggested disorder (with single-gene test or gene panel) should be the initial test. At the present time, there are no data supporting the clinical use for ES for other reproductive indications, such as the identification of sonographic markers suggestive of aneuploidy or a history of recurrent unexplained pregnancy loss.” (p. 676)
- “Pretest counseling is ideally provided by a genetics professional during which the types of variants that may be returned in a laboratory report for all tested family members would be reviewed.” (p. 676)
- “With the use of prenatal ES, the turnaround time has to be rapid to maintain all aspects of reproductive choice. A rapid turnaround time has been demonstrated in the postnatal setting for critical genetic diagnoses in a pediatric and neonatal setting. Laboratories offering prenatal ES should have clearly defined turnaround times for this time-sensitive test.” (p. 677)
- “Post-test counseling is recommended, regardless of the test result. It should be provided by individuals with relevant expertise, preferably a genetics professional.” (p. 678)
- The statement also indicates that the detection rate of fetal anomalies is proportional to the severity of phenotype, with a range of 6% for fetuses with a single anomaly to 35% of fetuses with more than two anomalies. (p. 676)

Sparks et al 2020

A large case series published in the New England Journal of Medicine evaluated 127 cases of unexplained nonimmune hydrops fetalis (NIHF) via exome sequencing. (p. 1746) Non-diagnostic karyotype or chromosome microarray was a requirement for eligibility in the study. (p. 1747) Diagnostic genetic variants were found in 29% of cases. (p. 1746) Therefore, the authors conclude with the following: “These data support the use of exome sequencing for NIHF cases with non-diagnostic results of chromosomal microarray analysis or karyotype analysis in order to inform prognosis, establish recurrence risk, and direct prenatal and postnatal clinical care.” (p. 1755)

[back to top](#)[back to top](#)

Prenatal Diagnosis Via Whole Genome Sequencing

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM)

ACOG and SMFM (2016, reaffirmed in 2020 [and 2023](#)) issued a committee opinion No. 682 which included the following conclusions and recommendations for the use of chromosomal

microarray testing and next-generation sequencing in prenatal diagnosis. Note that while whole exome sequencing is addressed in this opinion, whole genome sequencing is not yet recommended:

“Whole-exome sequencing also is a broad molecular diagnostic approach to identify the etiology for fetal abnormalities, and whole-exome sequencing of fetal DNA obtained by amniocentesis, chorionic villi, or umbilical cord blood is being offered on a research basis in some laboratories and for specific clinical indications in other laboratories. Published data on the prenatal applications of whole-exome sequencing are limited to case series and case reports. However, these series suggest that a genomic abnormality may be identified in up to 20–30% of fetuses with multiple anomalies for which standard genetic testing results (ie, karyotype, microarray, or both) are normal. These cases illustrate how whole-exome sequencing potentially may be used to provide families with a definitive diagnosis, accurate estimates of recurrence risk, and even the options of preimplantation genetic testing or early prenatal diagnosis in a future pregnancy.”

Zhou J, et al. 2021

“Whole exome sequencing (WES), which detects SNVs, INDELs, and CNVs covering multiple exons, has been proven to be a powerful tool in prenatal diagnosis. In clinical practice, WES can be conducted in CMA-negative cases to further search for single-base lesions. Emerging studies have shown that WES has a detection rate of 8.5% to 10% in fetal structural abnormalities with normal karyotype and CMA results. CMA followed by WES considerably increases the diagnostic yield, and is increasingly accepted as a routine test strategy in clinical practice; however, given the time-sensitive nature of the prenatal stage and the potential inaccessibility of adequate fetal samples, sequential testing is time-consuming and requires a large amount of DNA as input. More importantly, it is unable to detect certain types of variation, such as balanced translocation or noncoding SNVs/INDELs. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) has the potential to detect almost all types of genomic variants with a low input-DNA requirement (approx. 100 ng) and is proposed to be beneficial in prenatal diagnosis.” (p. 1)

“... with a rapid TAT, good diagnostic yield, and less DNA required, WGS could be an alternative test in lieu of two separate analyses as it has an equivalent diagnostic yield to that of CMA plus WES and provides comprehensive detection of various genomic variants in fetuses with structural or growth anomalies. However, more prospective studies with larger cohorts and further evaluation are warranted to demonstrate the value of WGS in prenatal diagnosis.” (p. 12)

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Revision Date	Approval Date
Converted corporate policy to local policy	09/23	11/27/23

Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Revision Date	Approval Date
<p>Semi-annual review. Overview, coding, reference-table, background and references updated. Throughout policy: replaced “coverage criteria” with “criteria. For Policy Reference Table: removed “Chromosomal FISH (Aneuploidy) Analysis and related content; removed “Exome or Genome Sequencing for Pregnancy Loss” and related content; under Prenatal Diagnosis for Single-Gene Disorders: added “0218U”; added 81178-81189; added “81243”; added 81251-81259; removed “81271, 81274”; added “81285”; added “81329”; added “81231”; added “81336”; added “81362, 81363”; added 81401-81407. For Other Related Policies: added “and Molecular”. For Criteria; removed “FISH (Aneuploidy) Analysis...”; for Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Pregnancy Loss: I.A.1. removed “pregnancy loss at 20 weeks of gestation...”; added “history of recurrent pregnancy loss”; I.A.2. replaced “after” with “at or greater than”; for Conventional Karotype Analysis for Pregnancy Loss: I.A. removed “miscarriage (defined as having...”; added “pregnancy loss”; removed Exome or Genome Sequencing for Pregnancy Loss and related content; for Prenatal Diagnosis for Single Gene Disorders: for II.-IV added “Prenatal diagnosis for single gene disorders...”; added “0218U”; added 81178-81189; added “81243”; added 81251-81259; removed “81271, 81274”; added “81285”; added “81329”; added “81231”; added “81336”; added “81362, 81363”; added 81401-81407; I.A.4. replaced “previous affected” with “history of a previous”; removed “germline mosaicism”; added “the member/enrollee”; added “to have germline mosaicism”; removed I.D. “The test has been ordered...”; for Prenatal Diagnosis for Noonan Spectrum Disorders/Rasopathies: removed I.D-I.E.; for Prenatal Diagnosis for Skeletal Dysplasias: removed I.A.7. “AND The member/enrollee’s current pregnancy...”; removed I.B. “IBPPL1...”; removed I.C. “The panel has been ordered...”; for Prenatal Diagnosis via Exome Sequencing: removed I.D.-I.E.; added II. “Prenatal diagnosis, via smniocentesis...”; added III. “Exome of genome sequencing...”. For Background and Rationale; Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Prenatal Diagnosis: removed “(10. If a structural...”; for Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Pregnancy Loss: removed “Because of the advantages...”; added “The ACOG and SMFM...”; added “(ASRM)”; for Conventional Karotype Analysis for Pregnancy Loss: removed “occurring “after two consecutive...”; removed “Exome or Genone Sequencing for Pregnancy Loss...”; added “a distinct disorder...”; for Prenatal Diagnosis for Single-Gene Disorders: added “Some autosomal dominant...”; added “American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists...”; added “ACOG released a committee...”; for Prenatal Diagnosis for Skeletal Dysplasias: removed “The following fetal ultrasound...”; added “In addition, close attention...”; added “Scocchia, et al...”; for Prenatal Diagnosis via Exome Sequencing: removed “Both pretest counseling”; for Prenatal Diagnosis via Whole Genome Sequencing: removed “Yang Z, Sun J”.</p>	12/23	2/27/24
<p><u>Semi-annual review. In Prenatal Diagnosis for Noonan Spectrum Disorders/, minor expansion in coverage: changed nuchal translucency requirement to 3.0 mm to better align with ACOG guidelines and published literature. In Prenatal Diagnosis for Noonan Spectrum Disorders/ RASopathies, removed minimum gene list; at present there is limited rationale for inclusion. In Definitions, clarified that the definition of “major malformations” includes fetal growth restriction/IUGR, as primary literature suggests that fetuses with IUGR have a relatively high diagnostic yield via exome sequencing. In Chromosomal</u></p>	06/24	

Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Revision Date	Approval Date
<u>Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Pregnancy Loss, updated requirements for counseling to be consistent with coverage criteria throughout this policy. In Prenatal Diagnosis via Exome Sequencing, removed one criterion from this section regarding exome or genome sequencing for pregnancy loss on products of conception, based on lack of volume in claims. Minor rewording for clarity throughout. Coding, reference-table, background and references updated.</u>		

REFERENCES

1. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Evaluation and treatment of recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. 2012;98(5):1103-1111. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.06.048
2. Committee on Genetics and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine. Committee Opinion No.682: Microarrays and Next-Generation Sequencing Technology: The Use of Advanced Genetic Diagnostic Tools in Obstetrics and Gynecology. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;128(6):e262-e268. Reaffirmed 2020 and 2023. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001817
3. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics; Committee on Genetics; Society for Maternal–Fetal Medicine. Practice Bulletin No. 162: Prenatal Diagnostic Testing for Genetic Disorders. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016; (Reaffirmed 2020);127(5):e108-e122. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001405
4. Krakow D, Lachman RS, Rimoin DL. Guidelines for the prenatal diagnosis of fetal skeletal dysplasias. *Genet Med*. 2009;11(2):127-133. doi:10.1097/GIM.0b013e3181971ccb
5. Monaghan KG, Leach NT, Pekarek D, Prasad P, Rose NC; ACMG Professional Practice and Guidelines Committee. The use of fetal exome sequencing in prenatal diagnosis: a points to consider document of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med*. 2020;22(4):675-680. doi:10.1038/s41436-019-0731-7
6. Sparks TN, Lianoglou BR, Adami RR, et al. Exome Sequencing for Prenatal Diagnosis in Nonimmune Hydrops Fetalis [published online ahead of print, 2020 Oct 7]. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;10.1056/NEJMoa2023643. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2023643
7. “Prenatal Testing for Adult-Onset Condition”. Position Statement from National Society for Genetic Counselors. <https://www.nsgc.org/Policy-Research-and-Publications/Position-Statements/Position-Statements/Post/prenatal-testing-for-adult-onset-conditions-1>. Released October 9, 2018. Updated June 26, 2019.
8. Stuurman KE, Joosten M, van der Burgt I, et al. Prenatal ultrasound findings of rasopathies in a cohort of 424 fetuses: update on genetic testing in the NGS era. *J Med Genet*. 2019;56(10):654-661. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2018-105746

9. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics; Committee on Genetics; Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine. Screening for Fetal Chromosomal Abnormalities: ACOG Practice Bulletin, Number 226. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;136(4):e48-e69. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000004084
10. Roberts AE. Noonan Syndrome. 2001 Nov 15 [Updated 2022 Feb 17]. In: Adam MP, Everman DB, Mirzaa GM, et al., editors. GeneReviews [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993-2023. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1124/>
11. Papas RS, Kutteh WH. Genetic testing for aneuploidy in patients who have had multiple miscarriages: a review of current literature. *Appl Clin Genet.* 2021;14:321-329.
12. Zhou J, Yang Z, Sun J, et al. Whole Genome Sequencing in the Evaluation of Fetal Structural Anomalies: A Parallel Test with Chromosomal Microarray Plus Whole Exome Sequencing. *Genes.* 2021; 12(3):376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12030376>
13. Scocchia, A., Kangas-Kontio, T., Irving, M. *et al.* Diagnostic utility of next-generation sequencing-based panel testing in 543 patients with suspected skeletal dysplasia. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 16, 412 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-021-02025-7>
14. Committee Opinion No. 693: Counseling About Genetic Testing and Communication of Genetic Test Results. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017; ~~(reaffirmed 2020)~~;129(4):e96-e101. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002020

[back to top](#)

[back to top](#)

Important Reminder

This clinical policy has been developed by appropriately experienced and licensed health care professionals based on a review and consideration of currently available generally accepted standards of medical practice; peer-reviewed medical literature; government agency/program approval status; evidence-based guidelines and positions of leading national health professional organizations; views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas affected by this clinical policy; and other available clinical information. LHCC makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information used or relied upon in developing this clinical policy. This clinical policy is consistent with standards of medical practice current at the time that this clinical policy was approved.

The purpose of this clinical policy is to provide a guide to medical necessity, which is a component of the guidelines used to assist in making coverage decisions and administering benefits. It does not constitute a contract or guarantee regarding payment or results. Coverage decisions and the administration of benefits are subject to all terms, conditions, exclusions, and limitations of the coverage documents (e.g., evidence of coverage, certificate of coverage, policy, contract of insurance, etc.), as well as to state and federal requirements and applicable ~~LHCC~~Health Plan-level administrative policies and procedures.

This clinical policy is effective as of the date determined by ~~LHCC~~the Health Plan. The date of posting may not be the effective date of this clinical policy. This clinical policy may be subject to applicable legal and regulatory requirements relating to provider notification. If there is a discrepancy between the effective date of this clinical policy and any applicable legal or



regulatory requirement, the requirements of law and regulation shall govern. LHCCThe Health Plan retains the right to change, amend or withdraw this clinical policy, and additional clinical policies may be developed and adopted as needed, at any time.

This clinical policy does not constitute medical advice, medical treatment, or medical care. - It is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Providers are expected to exercise professional medical judgment in providing the most appropriate care, and are solely responsible for the medical advice and treatment of ~~members~~member/enrollees. This clinical policy is not intended to recommend treatment for ~~members~~member/enrollees. ~~Members~~Member/enrollees should consult with their treating physician in connection with diagnosis and treatment decisions.

Providers referred to in this clinical policy are independent contractors who exercise independent judgment and over whom LHCC has no control or right of control. Providers are not agents or employees of LHCC.

This clinical policy is the property of LHCC. Unauthorized copying, use, and distribution of this clinical policy or any information contained herein are strictly prohibited. -Providers, ~~members~~member/enrollees, and their representatives are bound to the terms and conditions expressed herein through the terms of their contracts. Where no such contract exists, providers, ~~members~~member/enrollees and their representatives agree to be bound by such terms and conditions by providing services to ~~members~~member/enrollees and/or submitting claims for payment for such services.

©2023 Louisiana Healthcare Connections. All rights reserved. All materials are exclusively owned by Louisiana Healthcare Connections and are protected by United States copyright law and international copyright law. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, modified, distributed, displayed, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, or otherwise published without the prior written permission of Louisiana Healthcare Connections. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice contained herein. Louisiana Healthcare Connections is a registered trademarks exclusively owned by Louisiana Healthcare Connections.