Record Review for EPSDT Quarterly Report

SCA/Region:	Quarter/Year:					
Beneficiary:	SC Assigned to Case:					
Service Need:	SC Supervisor:					
Type of Record Review: PA not issued within 60 days Gap in PA Authorization Periods						
Medicaid (choose one): Aetna Better Health AmeriHealth Caritas Healthy Blue Humana Healthy Horizons Louisiana Healthcare Connections United Healthcare Community Plan Fee-for-Service Medicaid						
For PA not issued	l within 60 days:					
1. Was the PA received?	Yes No					
If yes, answer: Date of Decision: Approval/Denial Status: Full Approval Partial Approval Part	tial Denial Denial					
2. Provide a summary of the reason the PA was not						
For Gap in PA Auth	orization Periods:					
PA End Date on the prior PA Tracking Log						
2. PA Start Date on the following PA Tracking Log						
3. Gap consisted of how many days?						
4. Was the service provided during the gap?	Yes No					
5. Was the gap due to the family choice?	Yes No					
If yes, explain why:						
6. Was the Referral to Provider for the PA	Yes No					
Renewal sent timely?	Date of Referral to Provider (Renewal):					
FFS: 45-60 days prior to the PA expiration						
MCO: 20-60 days prior to the PA expiration						

Note: If no gap is found (#3) <u>or</u> the gap was due to the family's choice (#5), remove it from the Quarterly Report and submit page 1 of this Record Review as supporting documentation. Do not complete questions 1-24.

For all Record Reviews: The agency must review all documentation (CPOC, Quarterly Review, Tracking Log(s), Service Logs, etc.) to answer all of the Record Review Questions below. If it is not documented, it did not happen and you must check "no".

Supporting Document and Date: TL = Tracking Log, SL = Service Log, QR = Quarterly Review (i.e. <math>SL # 145 2/1/24, TL COP 2/15/24, QR 1/16/24, etc.)

Record Review Questions		Yes	No	N/A	Supporting Document and Date	Comments
1.	Is the "Type of Request" correctly identified on the PA Tracking log?					☐ Initial ☐ Renewal ☐ Change in Service
2.	Timely PA Tracking: Does the "Date of Service Request" entered on the Tracking Log match the documentation found in the record?					Date of Service Request:
3.	Is there documentation to support that the individual was informed that a prescription was required and given any needed medical forms to be completed by the practitioner?					
4.	Is there documentation to support that assistance was offered in scheduling an appointment if one was required to obtain prescription/medical forms?					
5.	Is there documentation to support timely assistance with the FOC and follow up to obtain a Choice of Provider from the individual?					
6.	If a willing provider could not be found, is there documentation of attempts to locate a provider?					
	a. For FFS: Did SC call the LDH Program Staff Line and send Referral to PAL?					Date of call to LDH Program Staff Line: Date of Referral to PAL:
	b. For MCO: Did SC call Member Services and send Referral to PAL?					Date of call to Member Services: Date of Referral to PAL:

Record Review Questions		Yes	No	N/A	Supporting	Comments
					Document and Date	
7.	Timely Referral to Provider: Was the					Date of COP:
	Referral to Provider made within 3 days					
	of the Date of Choice of Provider?					Date of Referral to Provider:
8.	Timely 15 Day Provider Contact: Is					Date of 15 Day Provider Contact:
	there documentation of a Provider					
	contact within 15 calendar days of the					
	Referral to Provider to check on the status and offer assistance?					
9.	Is there documentation to support the					
٦.	SC followed up with the family to see if	Ш		Ш		
	the provider contacted them and if they					
	contacted the practitioner to obtain the					
	prescription?					
10.	Timely 35 Day Provider Contact: Is					Date of 35 Day Provider Contact:
	there documentation of a provider					
	contact within 35 days of the referral to					
11	the provider to check on the PA status? Timely PA Packet Submission: Was the	П		П		Date Packet Submitted to
11.	PA packet submitted to the FI(Fiscal		Ш			FI/MCO:
	Intermediary)/MCO within 35 calendar					,
	days of the Referral to Provider?					
12.	If no to question 11, answer these	Ш	Ш	Ш		
	follow-up questions:					
	a. Was there a barrier to submitting	Ш	Ш			
	the PA packet timely?					
	b. Is there documentation to support	Ш	Ш	Ш		
	the SC assisted in identifying and					
	removing the barrier? c. Timely 35 Day PAL:			П		Date of Referral to PAL (Untimely
	Was the Referral to PAL completed		Ш	Ш		PA Packet Submission):
	within 35 calendar days of the					,
	Referral to Provider if the PA packet					
	was not submitted timely?					
	d. Is there documentation to support	$ \sqcup $				
	the SC offered the family a change					
12	in providers? PA Packet: Has the PA packet been					Date Packet Submitted to
13.	submitted to the FI/MCO?					FI/MCO:
	and in the same of					

Record Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Supporting Document	Comments
				and Date	
14. If yes to question 13, answer these follow-up questions:					
a. Was the "Date Packet Submitted to FI" entered on the tracking log?					
b. Is there documentation to support the date packet submitted to FI?					
c. Was the "Date Provider PA Request Packet Received" entered or is the "Not Received" box checked?					
 d. Is there documentation of a contact with the Provider 10 days after the PA packet was submitted (25 days for DME) to obtain the PA? 					
15. Timely 60 Day PAL : Was the Referral to PAL completed within 60 calendar days of the date of choice of provider if the the PA decision was not received timely?					Date of Referral to PAL (Untimely PA Packet Submission):
16. Is there documentation of ongoing contact with the individual and provider until the PA notice is received or the service request is resolved?					
17. Did the SC follow up and do planned actions as documented in the Service Logs, Quarterly Reviews or CPOC? Is there documentation of the planned actions, contacts and follow up?					
18. Was there adequate SC supervision to ensure the required contacts, PA tracking and follow ups were completed timely and to assist the SC with problem solving?					
19. Was the PA notice received?					Date PA Notice Received:
20. If no to question 19, submit notification to ksalling@statres.com when the PA notice is received or the requested service need is resolved.	Date	addi	tional	information s	ubmitted to SRI:
21. If no to question 19, what action will the SC take to obtain the PA? What is the barrier and how will it be resolved? Frequent follow-up is required. N/A	Plan	of Ac	tion:		

22. CAP: Were deficiencies four required contacts, timelines documentation, etc.?	
SC Assigned to the Case:	
·	C assigned to the case, the EPSDT Specialist will not complete the record ervisor or the Program Manager will complete the record review.
X EPSDT Specailist's Supervisor	-
X On-Site Program Manager	