FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e) of the Act provides that the State and Territories *must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each Federal fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the Federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The State is out of compliance with CHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The State is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the State's program is incomplete.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to CHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their CHIP programs, AND
- Provide consistency across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

The CHIP Annual Report Template System (CARTs) is organized as follows:

- Section I: Snapshot of CHIP Programs and Changes
- Section II: Program's Performance Measurement and Progress
- Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation
- Section IV: Program Financing for State Plan
- Section V: 1115 Demonstration Waivers (Financed by CHIP)
- Section VI: Program Challenges and Accomplishments
- * When "State" is referenced throughout this template, "State" is defined as either a state or a territory.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Territ	tory:	LA							
	(Name of State/Territory)								
	The following Annual Report is submitted in compliance with Title XXI of the Social Security Act (Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e)).								
Lesli Boudreaux									
	230. 234. 344.								
CHIP Program Name(s): All, Louisiana									
-	CHIP Program Type: CHIP Medicaid Expansion Only Separate Child Health Program Only Combination of the above Note: Federal Fiscal Year 2011 starts 10/1/2010 and ends								
Reporting I	Period: 2011		9/30/2011.						
Contact Pe	erson/Title:	Lesli Boudreaux							
Address:	628 N 4th St								
City:	Baton Rouge	State:	LA	Zip:	70802				
Phone:	225-219-1783		_ Fax:	225-389-2670					
Email:	Email: lesli.boudreaux@la.gov								
Submission	n Date: 3/1/2	012							

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF CHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

1) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your CHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different CHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table. Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., [500] are character limits in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

	С	HIP Me	edicaid Expans	ion Program			Separ	rate Child I	lealth I	Program	1		
			* Up	per % of FPL	are def	ined as <u>l</u>	Up to and	Including	i				
			Gross	or Net Income:	ALL A	Age Groups as indicated below							
	calculated as gross or net Income Net of Disregards gross			19		ncome ulated as		\boxtimes		Gross Income			
			ss or net come?		☐ Incon		ne Net of Disregards						
								% of Foundation of Conception	on to	200	% of FPL*		
	From 133 % of FPL for infants		200	% of FPL *	From	201	% of FPL for infants		250	% of FPL *			
	From	133	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	200	% of FPL *	From	201	% of FPI children a throug	ges 1	250	% of FPL*		
Eligibility	From	100	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	200	% of FPL *	From	201	% of FPI children a through	ges 6	250	% of FPL*		
	From	100	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	200	% of FPL *	From	201	% of FPI children ag and 1	ges 17	250	% of FPL*		
						From		% of FPI pregnant w ages 19 abov	omen and		% of FPL *		

		No	\boxtimes	No		
				Yes - Please describe below: For which populations (include the		
				FPL levels) [1000]		
Is presumptive eligibility provided for children?		Yes, for whom and how long? [1000]		Average number of presumptive eligibility periods granted per individual and average duration of the presumptive eligibility period [1000]		
				Brief description of your presumptive eligibility policies [1000]		
		N/A		N/A		
		No	\boxtimes	No		
Is retroactive eligibility available?	Yes, for whom and how long? [1000] Retroactive eligibility is available for up to three months prior to the month of application for all eligible applicants.			Yes, for whom and how long? [1000]		
		N/A		N/A		
I-						
Does your State Plan				No		
contain authority to implement a waiting list?		Not applicable		Yes		
1				N/A		
	\boxtimes	Mail-in application	\boxtimes	Mail-in application		
	\boxtimes	Phoned-in application	\boxtimes	Phoned-in application		
		Program has a web-based application		Program has a web-based		
		that can be printed, completed, and mailed in		application that can be printed, completed, and mailed in		
Please check all the		Applicant can apply for your program on-line	\boxtimes	Applicant can apply for your program on-line		
methods of application utilized by your state.		Signature page must be printed and mailed in		Signature page must be printed and mailed in		
dimized by your state.		Family documentation must be		Family documentation must be		
		mailed (i.e., income documentation)		mailed (i.e., income documentation)		
		⊠ Electronic signature is required		⊠ Electronic signature is required		
		<u>—</u>		☐ No Signature is required		
Does your program		No	\triangleright] No		

require a face-to-face interview during initial	□ Y	'es			Yes			
application		I/A			N/A			
	No				No			
		Yes		\boxtimes	Yes			
	Specify	number of months		Specify number	per of months 12			
					ps (including FP ininsurance appl			
				The wait period applies to the LaCHIP Affordable Plan, 201-250% FPL. The wait period does not apply to the Unborn Option				
Does your program require a child to be uninsured for a minimum				List all exempt	List all exemptions to imposing the period of uninsurance [1000]			
amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting				The following exemptions are related to loss of non-Employee Sponsored Insurance:				
period)?				parent, 2. Lifet COBRA cover due to lay-off of Changed jobs dependent cov provides depe	nce due to divorce time maximum reage ends, 4. Instor business closurers are well employer of the coverage, 6. Employer of the coverage, mexceeds 10% of the coverage, and exceeds 10% of the coverage of	eached, 3. urance ended ure, 5. does not offer over no longer 7. Monthly		
	□ N/A				N/A			
Does your program								
match prospective		lo			No			
enrollees to a database that details private Yes				\boxtimes	Yes			

insurance status?		During the el analysts are m Management HMS has data majority of He that informatic carrier file of h developed a p Match to deteroverage. CO private insurar that CHIP prowait period are uses their project of determine it exists for our adetermined the by eligibility we taken to close is proven accurate.	atabase? [1000] igibility determination process, nandated to check the Health Systems (HMS) COB Match. In match agreements with the alth insurance carriers. Using on they have built a national health insurance and have broduct that they call COB rmine private health insurance B Match captures retroactive ince status and helps to ensure visions regarding the 12 month appropriately applied. HMS prietary matching techniques of private insurance coverage applicants and enrollees. If they do, follow up is conducted orker at renewal and steps are the CHIP Case if information urate and if the recipient is not the for Title XIX coverage.
	N/A		N/A

		No				No			
	\boxtimes	Yes			\boxtimes	Yes			
		Specify number of months 12				pecify number	er of months	12	
Does your program provide period of		cumstances who uring the time po				Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below [1000]			
continuous coverage regardless of income changes?	reaches a children o under frau circumsta		ests closure ble and cert	, 5.	1. child moves out of state, 2. death, 3. fails to pay premium, 4. child reaches age 19, 5. requests closure, 6. children originally ineligible and certified under fraudulent or misleading circumstances. 7. Obtains additional health insurance during enrollment period				
		N/A				N/A			
		No			ΙпΙ	No			
		Yes				Yes			
		Iment fee mount				ment fee	0		
	Premiu	Premium amount				m amount	50		
	If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL				If premium FPL	s are tiered by	FPL, please	breakout by	
	Premium Amount				Premium Amount				
	Range from	Range to	From	То	Range from	Range to	From	То	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$50	\$ 50	% of FPL 201	% of FPL 250	
Does your program	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
require premiums or an	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
enrollment fee?	\$	\$	% of FP L	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	FPL	ns are tiered by	FPL, please b	reakout by	FPL	s are tiered by	FPL, please	oreakout by	
	Premium	Maximum Amount per amily	\$		Premium	Maximum Amount per amily	\$		
	Range from	Range to	From	То	Range from	Range to	From	То	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	If yes, b	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below [500]				If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate) [500]			

			ar In 20 \$! el as do re	mour LaC 00% 50 pe igible s 5% o not emair	nborn Option has no enrollment fee hts, premium amount, or yearly cap. CHIP Affordable Plan for families over FPL (Phase V) the monthly premium is er family to provide coverage to all e children. The yearly cap is calculated of the family's gross income. Families owe monthly premiums for the oder of their annual eligibility period his 5% cap has been reached.		
] N/A			N/A		
			•				
Description	\boxtimes	No	[No		
Does your program impose copayments or		Yes	[\boxtimes	Yes		
coinsurance?		N/A	[N/A		
	\boxtimes	No	[No		
Does your program impose deductibles?		Yes	[\boxtimes	Yes		
		N/A	[N/A		
		No	[No		
		Yes	[Yes		
	If Yes	s, please describe below [500]	lf	Yes,	please describe below [500]		
Does your program require an assets test?		N/A			N/A		
1040110 0111 000000 10011		s, do you permit the administrative cation of assets?			do you permit the administrative ation of assets?		
		No			No		
		Yes			Yes		
		N/A			N/A		
		No	[No		
		Yes	<u> </u>	\boxtimes	Yes		
	If Yes	s, please describe below [1000]			please describe below [1000]		
Does your program require income disregards? (Note: if you checked off net income in the eligibility question, you must complete this question)	Louisiana utilizes the standard Medicaid income deductions for children in our CHIP Medicaid expansion program including: \$90 for each working parent, \$50 of all child support received, All child support paid outside of the home, and \$175 or \$200 for child care expenses, depending on the age of the child.			received, all child support paid outside of the home, and \$175 or \$200 for child care expenses, depending on the age of the child. For the LaCHIP Affordable Plan, there are no income disregards			
		N/A	L		N/A		

		Managed Care		Managed Ca	re			
	\boxtimes	Primary Care Case Management		Primary Care	Case Mana	gement	t	
		Fee for Service	\square	Fee for Servi	rvice			
Which delivery system(s) does your program use?		se describe which groups receive which ery system [500]	Please describe which groups receive which delivery system [500] Louisiana's Unborn Option uses the Medicaid Model delivery system for benefits. For LaCHIP Phase V (LaCHIP Affordable Plan), benefits are provided via third party contract with the agency that administers the state employees health plan.					
		l.,						
		No		No				
		Yes		Yes				
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?		We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation				tion pre-		
expiring?		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed		We send out form but do no require a response unless income or other circumstance have changed				
		N/A		N/A				
Comments on Responses i 2. Is there an asset		le: or children in your Medicaid program?					l	
			•	Yes	No No		N/A	
3. Is it different from	the as	ssets test in your separate child health prog	ram?	Yes	No		N/A	
4. Are there income	disreg	ards for your Medicaid program?		Yes	☐ No		N/A	
5. Are they different health program?	from t	he income disregards in your separate child	d	Yes	No		N/A	
	6. Is a joint application (i.e., the same, single application) used f Medicaid and separate child health program?			Yes	No		N/A	
	If you have a joint application, is the application sufficient to detern eligibility for both Medicaid and CHIP?			Yes	No		N/A	
8. Indicate what do	ument	ation is required at initial application for						

	Self-Declaration	Self-Declaration with internal verification	Documentation Required
Income Citizenship Insured Status			
Residency	\boxtimes		
Use of Income Disregards			

9. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.

		Medicaid Expansion CHIP Program				Separate Child Health Program			
		Yes	No Change	N/A	Ī	Yes	No Change	N/A	
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)		\boxtimes				\boxtimes		
b)	Application						\boxtimes		
c)	Application documentation requirements		\boxtimes				\boxtimes		
d)	Benefits		\boxtimes				\boxtimes		
e)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)						\boxtimes		
f)	Crowd out policies						\boxtimes		
g)	Delivery system		\boxtimes				\boxtimes		
h)	Eligibility determination process						\boxtimes		
i)	Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap			\boxtimes				\boxtimes	
j)	Eligibility levels / target population		\boxtimes				\boxtimes		
k)	Assets Test			\boxtimes			\boxtimes		
l)	Income disregards						\boxtimes		
m)	Eligibility redetermination process		\boxtimes				\boxtimes		
n)	Enrollment process for health plan selection			\boxtimes				\boxtimes	
o)	Family coverage			\boxtimes				\boxtimes	
p)	Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)								
q)	Premium assistance						\boxtimes		

r)		ity expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.626(a)(3) as described in the							
s)	Expansion to "Lawfu	illy Residing" children							
t)	Expansion to "Lawfu	illy Residing" pregnant women							
u)	Pregnant Women St	ate Plan Expansion							\boxtimes
v)	Waiver populations ((funded under title XXI)							
	Parents								\boxtimes
	Pregnant womer	n							\boxtimes
	Childless adults								\boxtimes
w)	Methods and proced of fraud and abuse	lures for prevention, investigation	, and referral of cases						
x)	Other – please speci	ify							
	a.								
	b.								
	c.		-						
- (Applicant and enro	e Medicaid Fair Hearing	explain the change and	why the	e change	was mad	de, belov	w:	
b) Application								
C	e) Application docum	entation requirements							
d	l) Benefits								
	e) Cost sharing (inclucion collection process)	uding amounts, populations, &							
f) Crowd out policies	;							
	g) Delivery system								

h)	Eligibility determination process	
i)	Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap	
j)	Eligibility levels / target population	
k)	Assets test in Medicaid and/or CHIP	
l)	Income disregards in Medicaid and/or CHIP	
m)	Eligibility redetermination process	
111)	Eligibility redetermination process	
~)	Enrollment process for health plan coloction	
n)	Enrollment process for health plan selection	
		T
o)	Family coverage	
p)	Outreach	
q)	Premium assistance	
`	D	
r)	Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections	
	457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and	
	457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002	
	Final Rule)	
٠,	Expansion to "Loudylly Desiding" skilders	
s)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children	
_		
t)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women	
ι)	Expansion to Lawrency Nesiding pregnant women	
ш	Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion	
u)	r regnant vvoinen state Flan Expansion	
v)	Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
	Parents	
	Pregnant women	
	Childless adults	

 w) Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse 	
x) Other – please specify	
•	
a.	
b.	
υ.	
C.	

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the initial core set of children's health care quality measures for the CHIP and/or Medicaid program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the initial core set of measures to the extent data are available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF THE INITIAL CORE SET OF CHILDREN'S HEALTH CORE QUALITY MEASURES

Section 401(a) of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA) (Pub.L. 111-3) required the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to identify an initial core set of child health care quality measures for voluntary use by State programs administered under titles XIX and XXI, health insurance issuers and managed care entities that enter into contract with such programs, and providers of items and services under such programs. Additionally, Section 401(a)(4) required the development of a standardized reporting format for states that volunteer to report on the initial core set of measures. This section of CARTS will be used for standardized reporting on the initial core set of measures.

States that volunteer are required to report using the standardized methodologies and specifications and report on the populations to which the measures are applied. Below are the measure stewards and general description of the measures. Please reference the Technical Specifications and Resource Manual for the Initial Core Set of Children's Health Care Quality Measures for detailed information for standardized measure reporting.

The Technical Specifications and Resource Manual for the Initial Core Set of Children's Health Care Quality Measures can be found:

http://www.cms.gov/MedicaidCHIPQualPrac/Downloads/CHIPRACoreSetTechManual.pdf

The reporting of the Initial Care Set of Measures 1-23 is voluntary. Title XXI programs are required to report results from the CAHPS Child Medicaid Survey and the Supplemental Items for the Child Questionnaires on dental care, access to specialist care, and coordination of care from other health providers, by December 31, 2013.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
1	Prenatal and Postpartum Care: Timeliness of Prenatal Care	NCQA/HEDIS	The percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
2	Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received the following number of visits: < 21 percent of expected visits 21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits 41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits 61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits ≥ 81 percent of expected visits	Measure is voluntary.
3	Percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams	CDC	Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500 grams in the State reporting period	Measure is voluntary.
4	Cesarean Rate for Nulliparous Singleton Vertex	California Maternal Care Collaborative	Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section) among women with first live singleton births (also known as nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks of gestation or later	Measure is voluntary.
5	Childhood Immunization Status	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second birthday.	Measure is voluntary.
6	Immunizations for Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of adolescents who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had specific vaccines by their thirteenth birthday.	Measure is voluntary.
7	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents: BMI Assessment for Children/Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children, 3 through 17 years of age, whose weight is classified based on BMI percentile for age and gender.	Measure is voluntary.
8	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life	Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative and NCQA	Percentage of children screened for risk development, behavioral, and social delays using a standardized, screening tool in the first, second, and third year of life	Measure is voluntary.
9	Chlamydia Screening	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of women 16-20 who were identified as sexually active who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
10	Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children who had zero, one, two, three, four, five, and six or more well child visits with a primary care practitioner during their first 15 months of life	Measure is voluntary.
11	Well Child Visits in the 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th , and 6 th Years of Life	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during the measurement year.	Measure is voluntary.
12	Adolescent Well-Care Visits	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of adolescents age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	Measure is voluntary.
13	Percentage of Eligibles who Received Preventive Dental Services	CMS	Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received preventive dental services	Measure is voluntary.
14	Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care Practitioners	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children and adolescents 12 months – 19 years of age who had a visit with a primary care practitioner (PCP). Four separate percentages are reported: • Children 12- 24 months and 25 months – 6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year • Children 7 – 11 years and adolescents 12 – 19 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.
15	Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children who were diagnosed with pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A streptococcus test for the episode	Measure is voluntary.
16	Otitis Media with Effusion – avoidance of inappropriate use of systemic antimicrobials in children – ages 2-12	American Medical Association/ Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement	Percentage of children ages 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of otitis media with effusion (OME) who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobials	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
17	Percentage of Eligibles who Received Dental Treatment Services	CMS	Percentage of eligible children Ages 1-20 who received dental treatment services	Measure is voluntary.
18	Ambulatory Care: Emergency Department Visits	NCQA/HEDIS	The number of emergency department visits per child/adolescent per year as a function of all children and adolescents enrolled and eligible during the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.
19	Pediatric central-line associated blood stream infections – NICU and PICU	CDC	Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units during periods selected for surveillance	Measure is voluntary.
20	Annual percentage of asthma patients (2-20 yo) with 1 or more asthma-related emergency room visits	Alabama Medicaid	Percentage of children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with asthma during the measurement year with one or more asthma-related ER visits	Measure is voluntary.
21	Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children newly prescribed ADHD medication who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days from the time when the first ADHD medication was dispensed. Two rates are reported: one for the initiation phase and one for the continuation and maintenance phase	Measure is voluntary.
22	Annual Pediatric hemoglobin A1C testing	NCQA	Percentage of children with diabetes and an HbA1c test during the measurement year.	Measure is voluntary.
23	Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of discharges for children 6 years of age and older who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental health disorders and who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
24	Consumer Assessment Of Healthcare Providers And Systems (CAHPS®) Health Plan Survey 4.0H (Child version including Medicaid and Children with Chronic Conditions supplemental items)	NCQA/HEDIS	Survey on parents' experience with their child's care	Reporting Required in 2013 Title XXI programs are required to report results from the CAHPS Child Medicaid Survey and the Supplemental Items for the Child Questionnaires on dental care, access to specialist care, and coordination of care from other health providers, by December 31, 2013. If States are already working with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to report CAHPS, they can continue doing so. We ask that States indicate in CARTS that they have submitted CAHPS data to AHRQ and using the CARTS attachment facility, provide a copy of the CAHPS results to CMS (do not submit raw data on CAHPS to CMS).

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second columns, data from the previous to years' annual reports (FFY 2009 and FFY 2010) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS; enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2011). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

Beginning in 2011, the CARTS application will require States to provide information on why they chose not to report a measure(s) in Section IIA. The CARTS user will be prompted to provide this information for each measure during data entry. If the CARTS User skips these questions during the data entry process, he/she will be prompted to respond to them before being able to certify the Annual Report.

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each measure as follows:

• <u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.

¹ P.L. 111-3, §402(a)(2)(e)

- <u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- <u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the denominator size for a particular measure is less than 30. If the denominator size is less than 30, your State is not required to report a rate on the measure. However, please indicate the exact denominator size in the space provided.
- Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Although the Initial Core Set of Measures is voluntarily reported, if the State is not reporting data on a specific measure, it is important to complete the reason why the State is not reporting the measure. It is important for CMS to understand why each State and why all States as a group may not be reporting on specific measures. Your selection of a reason for not reporting and/or provision of an "other" reason for not reporting will assist CMS in that understanding.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

 <u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for the current CARTS reporting period.

<u>Explanation of Provisional Data</u> – When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the State must specify why the data are provisional and when the State expects the data will be final.

- <u>Final</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for the current CARTS reporting period.
- Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

For each measure, please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications, the specifications developed by other measure stewards listed in the Technical Specifications and Resource Manual (e.g. CMS, CDC, AMA/PCPI), or "other" measurement specifications. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed. States should use the technical specifications outlined in the Technical Specifications and Resource Manual for the Initial Core Set of Children's Health Care Quality Measures.

HEDIS® Version:

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2009, 2010). This field must be completed only when a user selects the HEDIS® measurement specification.

"Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected,

Data Source:

For each measure, please indicate the source of data or methodology used to calculate the measure – administrative data (such as claims and encounters) (specify the kind of administrative data used); hybrid methods (combining administrative data and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the rate); survey data (specify the survey used); or other source (specify the other source).

Definition of Population included in the Measure:

Numerator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure.

CHIP Annual Report Template - FFY 2011

Denominator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure by checking one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, the Medicaid population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, the Medicaid population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator, including those who are excluded from the denominator. For example, please note if the denominator excludes children enrolled in managed care in certain counties or certain plans or if it excludes children in fee-for-service or PCCM. Also, please report the number of children excluded. The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators can be assessed across the States and over time.

Deviation from Measure Technical Specification

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure technical specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that States must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected.

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

Year of Data (e.g., partial year),

Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems),

Numerator (e.g., coding issues),

Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment).

Other (please describe in detail).

When one or more of the types are selected, States are required to provide an explanation.

Year of Data: not available for the 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please report the year of data for each measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Date Range: available for 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year that corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year that corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do not report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Initial Core Set Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: 2011 CARTS will calculate the rate when you enter the numerator and denominator.

For CARTS versions prior to 2011 States were able to enter a rate without entering a numerator and denominator (If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan or delivery system, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure [or component]. The preferred method is to calculate a single state-level "weighted rate" based on the distribution of the eligible population included in each separate rate.) Beginning in 2011, CARTS will be requiring States to report numerators and denominators rather than providing them the option of only reporting the rate. If States reported a rate in years prior to 2011, that data will be able to be edited if the need arises.

CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2011

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to demonstrate how you are using the measures. Please highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2012, 2013, and 2014. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2009 through 2011), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

CHIPRA Quality Demonstration States have the option of reporting State developed quality measures through CARTS. Instructions may be found on page 25 in the web-based template and <u>after</u> core measure 24 on the Word template.

EQRO Requirement: States with CHIP managed care that have existing external quality review organization (EQRO) reports are required to submit EQRO reports as an attachment.

Is the State submitting an EQRO report as an attachment to the 2011 CARTS?				
☐ Yes	□ No			
If yes, please pr	ovide a further description of the attachment. [7500]			
	t submitting an EQRO report as an attachment to the 2011 CARTS, please explain. [7500] s a fee for service program, not managed care.			

<u>Category I - PREVENTION AND HEALTH PROMOTION</u> <u>Prenatal/Perinatal</u>

MEASURE 1: Timeliness of prenatal care

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
△ 10	⊠ NO	⊠ N0
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Specify sample size. ☐ Other. Explain:	Specify sample size. ☐ Other. Explain:	Specify sample size. ☐ Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6	Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6	Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6 of
of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of	of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5	the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the
the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the	of the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in	measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the first
first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment	the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment	trimester or within 42 days of enrollment
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Trumerator,. Explain.	Numerator,: Explain.
	☐Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Addictional	A 1100 mal material materials
OIL D. C. M. A.D. A.	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009 FFY 2010 FFY 2011

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE 2: Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	☐ Yes ☑ No
⊠ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	⊠ No
		2 - 1
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
		Specify sample size:
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	Specify sample size. Other. Explain:
Other. Explain:		
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by
the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
1	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	TIEDIS. Specify HEDIS® version usea.
□Ottler. Explain.	Other. Explain.	Oak and Familia
		Other. <i>Explain</i> :
D + G	D + G	D + G
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator.	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	· ·	
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year prior	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year
prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the	to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement	prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the
measurement year that received the following number of	year that received the following number of visits:	measurement year that received the following number of
visits:	< 21 percent of expected visits	visits:
< 21 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits
21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits
41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits
61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	\geq 81 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits
≥ 81 percent of expected visits		≥ 81 percent of expected visits
< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
≥ 81 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011			
	Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .			
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, Explain.			
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.			
	Guier, Expiain.	Unier, Explain.			
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:			
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:			
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)			
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:			
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:			
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:			
ATPS	Alle	A 1122 1			
	Additional notes on measure: Additional notes on measure: Additional notes on measure:				
Explanation of Progress:					
How did your performance in 2011 compare with the	e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual	ual Report?			
What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?					
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in in	nproving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the	data.			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:					
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:					
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:					
Explain how these objectives were set:					
Other Comments on Measure:					

MEASURE 3: Percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	
Yes	Yes	Yes	
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.	
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	
Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by	
the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.	
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.			
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.	
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
	reported:	reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	CDC	
Other. Explain:		Other. Explain:	
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	_ '	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	
U Other. Specify.	☐ Other. Specify.	Unier. Specify.	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator:	Definition of ropulation included in the Measure: Definition of numerator:	Definition of ropulation included in the Weasure: Definition of numerator:	
	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
Denominator includes CHIP population only.			
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011		
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:		
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)		
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:		
Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500	Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500	Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500		
grams in the State reporting period	grams in the State reporting period	grams in the State reporting period		
Numerator:	Numerator: Numerator:			
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:		
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:				
	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:		
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.		
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .		
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		
	Numerator,. Explain.	Numerator,. Explain.		
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		
	Bonominator, Explain.	Benommutor, Express.		
	Other, Explain.	Other, <i>Explain</i> .		
	Guici, Espiani.	Guier, Explain.		
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:		
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:		
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)		
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:		
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:		
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:				
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:		
Explanation of Progress:				
How did your performance in 2011 compare with the	Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Am	nual Report?		
XXII 4 114 1 4 4 14 1 4 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 1	A	CHITTO II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this				
measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?				
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.				
ricase marcare now Civis inight be of assistance in improving the completeness of accuracy of your reporting of the data.				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:				
Timami I citorinunce Objective for 11 1 2012.				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:				

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
A 1D 6 OI 4 6 FEW 2014			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:			
Explain how these objectives were set:			
Other Comments on Measure:			

MEASURE 4: Cesarean Rate for Nulliparous Singleton Vertex Low-risk First Birth Women

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by
the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	□ CMQCC 1
Other. Explain:		Other. Explain:
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	•
	•	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	
Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)	Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)	Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)	
among women with first live singleton births (also known as	among women with first live singleton births (also known as	among women with first live singleton births (also known as	
nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks	nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks	nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks	
of gestation or later	of gestation or later	of gestation or later	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.	
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	
	☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, Explain.	
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	

FFY 2009 FFY 2010 FFY 2011

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

Immunizations

MEASURE 5: Childhood Immunization Status

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	
Yes	Yes	Yes	
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.	
\boxtimes Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.			
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.	
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
	reported:	reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:	
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the	Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the	Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the	
measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second	measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second	measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second	
birthday	birthday	birthday	

	FFY 2009		FFY 2010		FFY 2011
DTap		DTap		DTap	
Numerator:	Combo 2	Numerator:	Combo 2	Numerator:	Combo 2
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:
IPV		IPV		IPV	
Numerator:	Combo 3	Numerator:	Combo 3	Numerator:	Combo 3
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:
MMR		MMR		MMR	
Numerator:	Combo 4	Numerator:	Combo 4	Numerator:	Combo 4
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:
HiB		HiB		HiB	
Numerator:	Combo 5	Numerator:	Combo 5	Numerator:	Combo 5
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:
Нер В		Нер В		Нер В	
Numerator:	Combo 6	Numerator:	Combo 6	Numerator:	Combo 6
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:
VZV		VZV		VZV	
Numerator:	Combo 7	Numerator:	Combo 7	Numerator:	Combo 7
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:
PCV		PCV		PCV	
Numerator:	Combo 8	Numerator:	Combo 8	Numerator:	Combo 8
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:
Hep A		Hep A		Hep A	
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:	
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:	
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:	

FFY 2009		FFY 2010		FFY 2011		
RV	Combo 9	RV	Combo 9	RV	Combo 9	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Flu	Combo 10	Flu	Combo 10	Flu	Combo 10	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:		Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.		Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.		
		☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain.		
		□ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		☐ Numerator,. Explain.		
		Trumerator, Explain.		Numerator,. Explain.		
		☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		
		☐ Other, Explain.		☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .		
			Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:	
Other Performance Measurement Data:		Other Performance Measurement Data:		Other Performance Measurement Data:		
(If reporting with another methodology)		(If reporting with another methodology)		(If reporting with another methodology)		
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:		
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:		
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

MEASURE 6: Immunizations for Adolescents

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
_	_	_
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
\square Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	\square Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	\square Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Ziipianianon oj Provisionan Zanan	Zinprantanten eg 1707anten an 2 antan
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	TIEDIS. Specify HEDIS® version usea.	TIEDIS. Specify HEDIS® version usea.
Other. Explain.	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
	Other. Explain.	Other. Explain.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. Specify.	Other. Specify.	Guici. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
2 common of numerous.	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
I car or Data.	Date Nange.	Date Nange.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had	The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had	The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had
specific vaccines by their 13th birthday.	specific vaccines by their 13th birthday.	specific vaccines by their 13th birthday.
Meningococcal	Meningococcal	Meningococcal
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Tdap/Td	Tdap/Td	Tdap/Td
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)	Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)	Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
	☐Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, Explain.
	☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Nate.	Kate.	Nat.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Screening

MEASURE 7: BMI Assessment for Children/Adolescents

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	·	. ,
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :		
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009		FFY	2010	FFY	2011	
		number of children excluded:		number of children excluded:		
Year of Data:		Date Range:		Date Range:	Date Range:	
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/y		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)		
HEDIS Performance Measure		HEDIS Performance Measure			HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
	th 17 years of age whose weight	Percentage of children 3 throug			h 17 years of age whose weight	
is classified based on BMI perc	entile for age and gender.	is classified based on BMI perc	entile for age and gender.	is classified based on BMI percentile for age and gender.		
3-11years	<u>Total</u>	<u>3-11 years</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>3-11 years</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
12-17 years		12-17 years		12-17 years		
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:		
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:		
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		Deviations from Measure Speci	lifications:	Deviations from Measure Speci	fications:	
		Year of Data, Explain.		Year of Data, Explain.		
		☐ Data Source, Explain. ☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain.		
		☐ Numerator,. Explain. ☐ Numerator,. Explain.				
		☐Denominator, Explain.		☐Denominator, Explain.		
		Other, Explain.		☐ Other, Explain.		
		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		
Other Performance Measurement Data:		Other Performance Measurer		Other Performance Measurement Data:		
(If reporting with another meth	oaology)	(If reporting with another meth Numerator:	oaology)	(If reporting with another meth	oaology)	
Numerator:		Numerator: Denominator:		Numerator: Denominator:		
Denominator: Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Kate:		Nate.		Naic.		
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

MEASURE 8: Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Explanation of Provisional Bala.	Explanation of Fronsional Bala.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
теропеа.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Th. #		
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	CAHMI/NCQA	CAHMI/NCQA
☐Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
<u>Definition of denominator:</u>	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children screened for risk development,	Percentage of children screened for risk development,	Percentage of children screened for risk development,
behavioral, and social delays using a standardized tool in the	behavioral, and social delays using a standardized tool in the	behavioral, and social delays using a standardized tool in the
first, second, or third year of life	first, second, or third year of life	first, second, or third year of life
Children screened by 12 months of age	Children screened by 12 months of age	Children screened by 12 months of age
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
ruic.	ruto.	rute.
Children screened by 24 months of age	Children screened by 24 months of age	Children screened by 24 months of age
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Children screened by 36 months of age	Children screened by 36 months of age	Children screened by 36 months of age
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
		-
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:
Numerator: Denominator:	Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Nate.	Nate.	Nate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

MEASURE 9: Chlamydia Screening 16-20 females

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
☐ Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :		
-	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
	-	-
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
	Numerator,. Explain.	Numerator, Explain.
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Well-child Care Visits (WCV)

MEASURE 10: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	1 3	1 3
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	□ Final.	⊠ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☑HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	2010	2011
2009; HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
from April 1, 2008 to March 31, 2009.	-	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS);	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS);
	HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April	HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July
	1, 2009 to March 31, 2010.	1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: As of March 31, 2010 the number of	Definition of numerator: As of June 30, 2011 the number of
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	unique recipients who were enrolled for at least 14 of the last	unique recipients who were enrolled for at least 14 of the last
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	15 months who visited primary care practitioners at least once	15 months who visited primary care practitioners at least once
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	(twice, three times, four times, five times, or six or more	(twice, three times, four times, five times, or six or more
Definition of numerator: As of March 30, 2009 the number of	times) in their first 15 months of life. Includes CHIP	times) in their first 15 months of life. Includes CHIP and

FFY 2009		FFY 2010		FFY 2011	
unique recipients who were enrolled for at least 14 of the last				Medicaid populations as of June 2011.	
15 months who visited primary care practitioners at least once		Definition of denominator:		Definition of denominator:	
(twice, three times, four tim	es, five times, or six or more	Denominator includes CHIP population only.		☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
times) in their first 15 m	onths of life. Includes CHIP	Denominator includes Medi		Denominator includes Med	
population only as of March 2	009.	☐ Denominator includes CHII		☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
			the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
			nominator, please indicate the		nominator, please indicate the
		number of children excluded:		number of children excluded:	
Year of Data: 2009		Date Range:		Date Range:	
		From: (mm/yyyy) 04/2009 To		From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2010 To	
HEDIS Performance Measur		HEDIS Performance Measure		HEDIS Performance Measur	
C	had zero, one, two, three, four,	C	ad zero, one, two, three, four,	<u> </u>	and zero, one, two, three, four,
	child visits with a primary care		nild visits with a primary care	five, and six or more well child visits with a primary care	
practitioner during their first 1	5 months of life	practitioner during their first 15 months of life		practitioner during their first 15 months of life	
<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits
Numerator: 81	Numerator: 301	Numerator: 37	Numerator: 221	Numerator: 1048	Numerator: 4389
Denominator: 3582	Denominator: 3582	Denominator: 2937	Denominator: 2937	Denominator: 41624	Denominator: 41624
Rate: 2.3	Rate: 8.4	Rate: 1.3	Rate: 7.5	Rate: 2.5	Rate: 10.5
	- · · ·		٠	4	
1 visits Numerator: 74	5 visits Numerator: 612	1 visits Numerator: 43	5 visits Numerator: 444	1 visits Numerator: 1286	5 visits Numerator: 6598
Denominator: 3582	Denominator: 3582	Denominator: 2937	Denominator: 2937	Denominator: 41624	Denominator: 41624
Rate: 2.1	Rate: 17.1	Rate: 1.5	Rate: 15.1	Rate: 3.1	Rate: 15.9
Rate: 2.1	Rate: 17.1	Rate: 1.5	Rate: 15.1	Rate: 3.1	Rate: 15.9
2 visits	6+ visits	2 visits	6+ visits	2 visits	6+ visits
Numerator: 121	Numerator: 2213	Numerator: 83	Numerator: 1996	Numerator: 1850	Numerator: 23814
Denominator: 3582	Denominator: 3582	Denominator: 2937	Denominator: 2937	Denominator: 41624	Denominator: 41624
Rate: 3.4	Rate: 61.8	Rate: 2.8	Rate: 68	Rate: 4.4	Rate: 57.2
Katc. 3.4	Kaic. 01.6	Kate. 2.0	Raic. 00	Kate. 4.4	Natc. 31.2
3 visits		3 visits		3 visits	
Numerator: 180		Numerator: 113		Numerator: 2639	
Denominator: 3582		Denominator: 2937		Denominator: 41624	
Rate: 5		Rate: 3.8		Rate: 6.3	
		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		144.0.0	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Additional notes on measure: As children under 19 enrolled in	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Medicaid are included in this data set, we believe that a % age	\boxtimes Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	\boxtimes Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
of the total number with 0 visits are likely those recipients with	Measurement year from 04/2009 to 03/2010	Measurement year from 07/2010 to 06/2011
TPL. Since Medicaid serves as only a payer of last resort, we	Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
suspect that Medicaid children who have TPL don't have		
administrative claims data on the MMIS b/c of reimbursement	Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
policy. This measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data. Does		
not include the Phase V population- we are working to develop	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
HEDIS measures for this group.		
	\square Other, Explain.	Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Additional notes on measure: As children under 19 enrolled in	Additional notes on measure:
	Medicaid are included in this data set, we believe that a %age	
	of the total number with 0 visits are likely those recipients with	
	TPL. Since Medicaid serves as only a payer of last resort, we	
	suspect that Medicaid children who have TPL don't have administrative claims data on the MMIS b/c of reimbursement	
	policy. This measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data. Does	
	not include the Phase V population- we are working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Nate.	Rute.	Tate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? FFY 11 Goal: Louisiana hopes to have increased the PFP initiatives and build upon the other quality initiatives already in place. In addition to these, Louisiana will perform targeted reviews of those children who have had zero visits per the HEDIS reporting data and work to intervene in order to maintain the rate of 1.3% in FFY11 (CHIP population only). Louisiana also hopes to increase the rate of 6+ well child visits by 1% to 69% (CHIP population only) in an effort to stay above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid. Louisiana exceeded the 2011 goal of maintaining the rate of children (CHIP population only) who have had zero visits by reducing the rate from 1.3% to 1.19%. Louisiana also exceeded the goal of increasing the rate of children with 6+ visits by more than 1% to 69.78%.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Several initiatives to raise awareness as to the importance of well-child visits and targeted reviews of children have resulted in the low rate of children with zero visits. Louisiana is currently revamping the PCCM program with a performance-based model including practice-specific quality outcomes for physicians. These physicians will have access to a provider profile database in which they can track HEDIS/HEDIS-like performance measures which include detailed recipient information based on administrative paid claims data.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: In FFY 12, Louisiana hopes to have increased the PFP initiatives and build upon the other quality initiatives already in place. In addition to these, Louisiana will perform targeted reviews of those children who have had zero visits per the HEDIS reporting data and work to intervene in order to decrease the rate by 0.5% to 2.02% in FFY12 (combined CHIP & Medicaid population) in an effort to move below the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid, which is 2.31%. Louisiana also hopes to increase the rate of 6+ well child visits by 0.5% to 57.71% (combined CHIP & Medicaid populations) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid, which is 59.42%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: In FFY 13, Louisiana hopes to have increased the PFP initiatives and build upon the other quality initiatives already in place. In addition to these, Louisiana will perform targeted reviews of those children who have had zero visits per the HEDIS reporting data and work to intervene in order to maintain the rate of 2.02% in FFY13 (combined CHIP & Medicaid population) in an effort to stay below the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid. Louisiana also hopes to increase the rate of 6+ well child visits by 0.5% to 58.21% (combined CHIP & Medicaid populations) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: In FFY 14, Louisiana hopes to have increased the PFP initiatives and build upon the other quality initiatives already in place. In addition to these, Louisiana will perform targeted reviews of those children who have had zero visits per the HEDIS reporting data and work to intervene in order to maintain the rate of 2.02% in FFY14 (combined CHIP & Medicaid population) in an effort to stay below the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid. Louisiana also hopes to increase the rate of 6+ well child visits by 0.5% to 58.71% (combined CHIP & Medicaid populations) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.

Explain how these objectives were set: A workgroup of our clinical Medicaid staff and contractors was developed to advise CHIP management on tracking these HEDIS measures and other quality indicators. The workgroup consists of nurses and pharmacists who are intimately involved in these initiatives and use their expertise to advise CHIP management of the progress made and planned direction for these quality initiatives.

Other Comments on Measure: In previous years, LA has submitted CHIP-only population data for this measure. However, CMS has expressed a preference for states to report CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) population data. Therefore, beginning with the 2011 CARTS, LA will report on the combined population data. Also, for the first year, this data includes the separate CHIP/Phase V population.

MEASURE 11: Well Child Visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	
∑ Yes	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes	
□ No	□ No	□ No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered.	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered.	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered.	
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size (less than 30).	Specify sample size:	
Specify sample size. Other. Explain:	Specify sample size. Other. Explain:	Specify sample size. Other. Explain:	
□ Other. <i>Ехриин</i> .	Unier. Explain.	☐ Other. Explain.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.	
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.	
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
	zpergy year symmum repert in miner annu promount of every	reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	
Other. Explain:	2010	2011	
2009; HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
from April 1, 2008 to March 31, 2009.			
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS);	
	like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to	HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July	
	March 31, 2010.	1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: As of March 31, 2010, the number of	Definition of numerator: As of June 30, 2011, the number of	
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	children who had a least one well-child visit during the	children who had a least one well-child visit during the	
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	measurement year. Includes CHIP (Medicaid Expansion)	measurement year. Includes CHIP and Medicaid populations	
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	population as of March 2010.	as of June 2011.	
Definition of numerator: As of March 31, 2009, the number of	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
children who had a least one well-child visit during the	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
measurement year. Includes CHIP (Medicaid Expansion)	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	
population as of March 2009.	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	
Year of Data: 2009	Date Range:	Date Range:	
	From: (mm/yyyy) 04/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 03/2010	From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 06/2011	
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or	Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or	Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or	
more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during	more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during	more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during	
the measurement year.	the measurement year.	the measurement year.	
1+ visits	1+ visits	1+ visits	
Numerator: 10387	Numerator: 10213	Numerator: 106517	
Denominator: 16470	Denominator: 15593	Denominator: 166521	
Rate: 63.1	Rate: 65.5	Rate: 64	
Additional notes on measure: This measure includes	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	
FQHC/RHC claims data. This measure does not currently	☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	
include the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population- we	Measurement year from 04/2009 to 03/2010	Measurement year from 07/2010 to 06/2011	
are working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	
	Additional notes on measure: This measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data. This measure does not currently include the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population- we are working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	Additional notes on measure: This measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data.	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? Goal for FFY 2011: Louisiana hopes to increase the rate of well-care visits by 1% to 66.5% (CHIP population only) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid. Louisiana nearly met its 2011 goal of increasing the rate of children with 1+ well-child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life by increasing the rate to 66.02% (CHIP population only).

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Louisiana is currently revamping the PCCM program with a performance-based model including practice-specific quality outcomes for physicians. These physicians will have access to a provider profile database in which they can track HEDIS/HEDIS-like performance measures which include detailed recipient information based on administrative paid claims data. Additional initiatives, including the push for childhood immunizations of school age children by the Office of Public Health may have contributed to this increase.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: In FFY 2012 Louisiana hopes to increase the rate of well-care visits by 1% to 64.97% (CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid, which is 71.6%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: In FFY 2013 Louisiana hopes to increase the rate of well-care visits by 1% to 65.97% (CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: In FFY 2014 Louisiana hopes to increase the rate of well-care visits by 1% to 66.97% (CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.

Explain how these objectives were set: A workgroup of our clinical Medicaid staff and contractors was developed to advise CHIP management on tracking these HEDIS measures and other quality indicators. The workgroup consists of nurses and pharmacists who are intimately involved in these initiatives and use their expertise to advise CHIP management of the progress made and planned direction for these quality initiatives.

Other Comments on Measure: In previous years, Louisiana has submitted CHIP-only population data for this measure. However, CMS has expressed a preference for states to report CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) population data. Therefore, beginning with the 2011 CARTS, Louisiana will report on the combined population data. Also, for the first year, this data includes the separate CHIP/Phase V population.

MEASURE 12: Adolescent Well-Care Visits

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
∑ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
	☑HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☑HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	2010	2011
2009; HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
from April 1, 2008 to March 31, 2009.		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010 FFY 2011	
Data Source:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010.	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: The percentage of enrolled members who were 12-21 years of age and who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP (Medicaid Expansion) population as of March 2009.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: The percentage of enrolled members who were 12-21 years of age and who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP (Medicaid Expansion) population as of March 2010. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measure who were 12-21 years of age and who comprehensive well-care visit with a PCI practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP population of denominator: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measure who were 12-21 years of age and who comprehensive well-care visit with a PCI practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP population of denominator: Definition of Population Included in the Measure who were 12-21 years of age and who comprehensive well-care visit with a PCI practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP population of denominator: Definition of Population Included in the Measure who were 12-21 years of age and who comprehensive well-care visit with a PCI practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP population of denominator: Definition of Population Included in the Measure who were 12-21 years of age and who comprehensive well-care visit with a PCI practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP population of denominator: Definition of Population Included in the Measure who were 12-21 years of age and who were 12-21 years of age and who comprehensive well-care visit with a PCI practitioner during the measurement year. Includes CHIP practitioner durin	
Year of Data: 2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 04/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 03/2010	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 06/2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of adolescents age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of adolescents age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of adolescents age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.
Numerator: 21026 Denominator: 50663 Rate: 41.5	Numerator: 22856 Denominator: 48589 Rate: 47	Numerator: 98453 Denominator: 252748 Rate: 39

FFY 2009	FFY 2010 FFY 2011	
Additional notes on measure: Measure includes FQHC/RHC	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
claims data. This measure does not currently include the	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population- we are	Measurement year from 04/2009 to 03/2010	Measurement year from 07/2010 to 06/2011
working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	Numerator,. Explain.
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Other, Explain. ☐ Other, Explain.	
	Additional notes on measure: Measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data. This measure does not currently include the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population- we are working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	Additional notes on measure: Measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? FFY 2011 Goal: Louisiana hopes to increase the rate of well-care visits by adolescents by 1% to 48% (CHIP population only) in an effort to stay in line with the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid. Louisiana did not meet its 2011 goal of increasing the rate of well-care visits by adolescents as the current rate is 46.32% (CHIP population only).

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Louisiana is currently revamping the PCCM program with a performance-based model including practice-specific quality outcomes for physicians. These physicians will have access to a provider profile database in which they can track HEDIS/HEDIS-like performance measures which include detailed recipient information based on administrative paid claims data. Louisiana is expanding the number of School-Based Health Clinics within the state to provide greater access to care. Also, continued aggressive outreach and simplified application/renewal processes have contributed.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: In FFY 2012 Louisiana hopes to increase the rate of well-care visits by adolescents by 1% to 39.95% (CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations) in an effort to move toward HEDIS national mean for Medicaid, which is 47.7%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: In FFY 2013 Louisiana hopes to increase the rate of well-care visits by adolescents by 1% to 40.95% (CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: In FFY 2014 Louisiana hopes to increase the rate of well-care visits by adolescents by 1% to 41.95% (CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations) in an effort to move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.

Explain how these objectives were set: A workgroup of our clinical Medicaid staff and contractors was developed to advise CHIP management on tracking these HEDIS measures and other quality indicators. The workgroup consists of nurses and pharmacists who are intimately involved in these initiatives and use their expertise to advise CHIP management of the progress made and planned direction for these quality initiatives.

Other Comments on Measure: In previous years, Louisiana has submitted CHIP-only population data for this measure. However, CMS has expressed a preference for states to report CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) population data. Therefore, beginning with the 2011 CARTS, Louisiana will report on the combined population data. Also, for the first year, this data includes the separate CHIP/Phase V population.

Dental

MEASURE 13: Percentage of eligible children ages one through twenty years old receiving preventive dental services (CMS Form 416)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	
□ No	□ No	□ No	
_			
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.	
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.	
⊠ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		1 0	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously			
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
1	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
	reported:	reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	□CMS	
Other. Explain:	2010	\boxtimes Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
2009; HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July	
from April 1, 2008 to March 31, 2009.	•	1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS);	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)	
	HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April		
	1, 2009 to March 31, 2010.		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: The percentage of enrolled members	Definition of numerator: The percentage of enrolled members	
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	2-21 years of age who had at least one dental visit during the	2-21 years of age who had at least one dental visit during the	
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	measurement year. Includes CHIP (Medicaid Expansion)	measurement year. Includes CHIP and Medicaid populations	
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	population as of March 2010.	as of June 2011.	
Definition of numerator: The percentage of enrolled members	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
2-21 years of age who had at least one dental visit during the	□ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
measurement year. Includes CHIP (Medicaid Expansion)	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	
population as of March 2009.	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	
Year of Data: 2009	Date Range:	Date Range:	
	From: (mm/yyyy) 04/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 03/2010	From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 06/2011	
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	
Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received	Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received	Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received	
preventive dental services	preventive dental services	preventive dental services	
Numerator: 51838	Numerator: 55233	Numerator:	
Denominator: 105813 Rate: 49	Denominator: 100554 Rate: 54.9	Denominator:	
Additional notes on measure: Measure includes FQHC/RHC	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Rate: Deviations from Measure Specifications:	
claims data. This measure does not currently include the	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> . Specifications:	Year of Data, Explain.	
LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population- we are	Measurement year from 04/2009 to 03/2010	Teal of Data, Explain.	
working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	
working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	Data Source, Expiain.	Data Bource, Explain.	
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	
	Transcrator, Experient	Traincrator, Explain.	
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	
	Other, Explain.	Other, <i>Explain</i> .	
	•	· · · •	
	Additional notes on measure: Measure includes FQHC/RHC	Additional notes on measure:	
	claims data. This measure does not currently include the		
	LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population- we are		
	working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.		
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 345711	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 639894	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 54	
Additional notes on massyum	Additional notes on massaums	Additional notes on massaura Massaura include: FOLIC/DIIC	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Measure includes FQHC/RHC	
		claims data. This measure does not currently include the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population - dental	
		services will be offered to this group beginning in February	
		2012. Measurement year from 07/2010 to 06/2011	
		2012. Micasurement year 110111 07/2010 to 00/2011	

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? FFY 2011 Goal: Louisiana hopes to increase the rate for eligibles who received preventive dental services by 1% to 55.9% (CHIP population only), which is above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid. Louisiana exceeded the 2011 goal by increasing the rate to 60.08% (CHIP population only) for eligibles who received preventive dental services.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Dental benefits are being offered to LaCHIP V/Separate program enrollees beginning in February 2012 which will improve this measure.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: In FFY 2012 Louisiana hopes to improve the total rate for eligibles who received preventive dental services which is currently at 54.03%(CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations). This rate is already above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid of 45.74%, but with the addition of dental benefits offered to LaCHIP Separate/Phase V enrollees, we hope in improve even more.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: In FFY 2013 Louisiana hopes to maintain or improve the total rate for eligibles who received preventive dental services(CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations)in order to stay above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: In FFY 2014 Louisiana hopes to maintain or improve the total rate for eligibles who received preventive dental services(CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations)in order to stay above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.

Explain how these objectives were set: A workgroup of our clinical Medicaid staff and contractors was developed to advise CHIP management on tracking these HEDIS measures and other quality indicators. The workgroup consists of nurses and pharmacists who are intimately involved in these initiatives and use their expertise to advise CHIP management of the progress made and planned direction for these quality initiatives.

Other Comments on Measure: In previous years, Louisiana has submitted CHIP-only population data for this measure. However, CMS has expressed a preference for states to report CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) population data. Therefore, beginning with the 2011 CARTS, Louisiana will report on the combined population data.

Access

MEASURE 14: Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	
□ No	□ No	□ No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	☐ Population not covered.	
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.			
Specify year of annual report in which data previously		☐ Final.	
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
	reported:	reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	
Other. Explain:	2010	2011	
2009; HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
from April 1, 2008 to March 31, 2009.			
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :			
	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	
Other. Specify:	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	
Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS);	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS);	
	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010.	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010. Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator:	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of March 31, 2010, the number of	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of June 30, 2011, the number of	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of March 31, 2010, the number of unique recipients who visited PCPs by HEDIS-defined age	Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of June 30, 2011, the number of unique recipients who visited PCPs by HEDIS-defined age	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of March 31, 2010, the number of unique recipients who visited PCPs by HEDIS-defined age groups and who were enrolled for a certain number of prior	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of June 30, 2011, the number of unique recipients who visited PCPs by HEDIS-defined age groups and who were enrolled for a certain number of prior	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of March 31, 2010, the number of unique recipients who visited PCPs by HEDIS-defined age groups and who were enrolled for a certain number of prior months per age group as defined by HEDIS. Includes CHIP	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of June 30, 2011, the number of unique recipients who visited PCPs by HEDIS-defined age groups and who were enrolled for a certain number of prior months per age group as defined by HEDIS. Includes CHIP	
Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of March 31, 2010, the number of unique recipients who visited PCPs by HEDIS-defined age groups and who were enrolled for a certain number of prior	□ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); HEDIS®-like methodology with measurement year from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: As of June 30, 2011, the number of unique recipients who visited PCPs by HEDIS-defined age groups and who were enrolled for a certain number of prior	

FFY	2009	FFY	2010	FFY	Y 2011
groups and who were enrolled	I for a certain number of prior ned by HEDIS. Includes CHIP	IP ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,		☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). ve, If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
Year of Data: 2009		Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 04/2009 To	: (mm/yyyy) 03/2010	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 06/2011	
HEDIS Performance Measure Percentage of children and add primary care practitioner	ement Data: elescents who had a visit with a	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children and adolescents who had a visit with a		HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children and adolescents who had a visit with a primary care practitioner	
12-24 months Numerator: 3697 Denominator: 3791 Rate: 97.5	7-11 years Numerator: 29425 Denominator: 31573 Rate: 93.2	12-24 months Numerator: 2999 Denominator: 3042 Rate: 98.6	7-11 years Numerator: 28091 Denominator: 30167 Rate: 93.1	12-24 months Numerator: 44001 Denominator: 45597 Rate: 96.5	7-11 years Numerator: 151606 Denominator: 168419 Rate: 90
25 months-6 years Numerator: 18527 Denominator: 20108 Rate: 92.1	12-19 years Numerator: 42198 Denominator: 46088 Rate: 91.6	25 months-6 years Numerator: 17462 Denominator: 18802 Rate: 92.9	12-19 years Numerator: 40597 Denominator: 44572 Rate: 91.1	25 months-6 years Numerator: 181651 Denominator: 206149 Rate: 88.1	Numerator: 192004 Denominator: 215331 Rate: 89.2
FQHC/RHC claims data. Thi	ure: This measure includes is measure does not currently e Plan (Phase V) population- we measures for this group.	Deviations from Measure Speci Year of Data, Explain. Measurement year from 04/ Data Source, Explain.		Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, Explain. Measurement year from 07/2010 to 06/2011 ☐ Data Source, Explain.	
		-		□ Numerator,. Explain.	
	☐ Denominator, Explain. ☐ Other, Explain.		☐ Denominator, Explain. ☐ Other, Explain.		
		Additional notes on measure: This measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data. This measure does not currently include the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population- we are working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.		FQHC/RHC claims data.	
Other Performance Measurer (If reporting with another meth Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:			

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? FFY 2011 Goal: Louisiana hopes maintain the rate of children's access to primary care practitioners as we are currently above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid in all age groups. Louisiana met the 2011 goal of maintaining the rate of children's access to primary care practitioners as we are currently above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid in all age groups (CHIP population only).

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Initiatives, including the push for childhood immunizations of school age children by the Office of Public Health may have contributed to this increase. A revised PCCM model will include incentives for in-house EPSDT screenings, immunizations, extended office hours for emergent and non-emergent care, decrease in emergency room utilization, and becoming a NCQA level one medical home.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: In FFY 2012 Louisiana is currently above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid in all age groups and hopes to maintain these rates.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: In FFY 2013 Louisiana is currently above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid in all age groups and hopes to maintain these rates.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: In FFY 2014 Louisiana is currently above the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid in all age groups and hopes to maintain these rates.

Explain how these objectives were set: A workgroup of our clinical Medicaid staff and contractors was developed to advise CHIP management on tracking these HEDIS measures and other quality indicators. The workgroup consists of nurses and pharmacists who are intimately involved in these initiatives and use their expertise to advise CHIP management of the progress made and planned direction for these quality initiatives.

Other Comments on Measure: In previous years, Louisiana has submitted CHIP-only population data for this measure. However, CMS has expressed a preference for states to report CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) population data. Therefore, beginning with the 2011 CARTS, Louisiana will report on the combined population data. Also, for the first year, this data includes the separate CHIP/Phase V population.

Category II - MANAGEMENT OF ACUTE CONDITIONS

Upper Respiratory -- Appropriate Use of Antibiotics

MEASURE 15: Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
_		
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :		
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with	Percentage of children ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with	Percentage of children ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with
pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group	pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group	pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group
A streptococcus test for the episode	A streptococcus test for the episode	A streptococcus test for the episode
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

MEASURE 16: Otitis Media with Effusion – avoidance of inappropriate use of systemic antimicrobials

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
_		
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	AMA/PCPI
Other. <i>Explain</i> :		Other. Explain:
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children ages 2 months through 12 years with a	Percentage of children ages 2 months through 12 years with a	Percentage of children ages 2 months through 12 years with a
diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not	diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not	diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not
prescribed systemic antimicrobials	prescribed systemic antimicrobials	prescribed systemic antimicrobials
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

<u>Dental</u>

MEASURE 17: Percentage of eligible children ages one through twenty who received dental treatment services (CMS Form 416)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes ☐ No	Yes	☐ Yes ☐ No
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	☐ Population not covered.	☐ Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	L	<u> </u>
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	□CMS
Other. <i>Explain</i> :		Other. <i>Explain</i> :
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental	Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental	Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental
treatment services	treatment services	treatment services
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, Explain. ☐ Numerator, Explain. ☐ Denominator, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain. ☐ Numerator, Explain. ☐ Denominator, Explain.
	Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Emergency Department

MEASURE 18: Ambulatory Care: Emergency Department Visits

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
_	_	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
\square Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	\boxtimes Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	The state of the s	
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Suite speedy.	Suite speedy).	States speedy.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
The number of emergency department visits per	The number of emergency department visits per	The number of emergency department visits per
child/adolescent per year as a function of all children and	child/adolescent per year as a function of all children and	child/adolescent per year as a function of all children and
adolescents enrolled and eligible during the measurement year	adolescents enrolled and eligible during the measurement year	adolescents enrolled and eligible during the measurement year
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Inpatient

MEASURE 19: Pediatric central-line associated blood stream infections rate-PICU and NICU

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	\boxtimes Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
<i>i</i>	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	CDC	CDC
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. speedy.	Guiei. speegy.	Guiei. speedy.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections	Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections	Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections
(CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units	(CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units	(CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units
during periods selected for surveillance	during periods selected for surveillance	during periods selected for surveillance
Pediatric Intensive Care Unit	Pediatric Intensive Care Unit	Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Neonatal Intensive Care Unit	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, Expitiin.	☐ Data Source, Expitain.
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	All'Carlanton	A 1124
Other Perfermence Measurement Date	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
	Tuto.	Tuto.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Category III - MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC CONDITIONS

Asthma

MEASURE 20: Annual percentage of asthma patients 2 through 20 years old with one or more asthma related emergency room visits

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Alabama Medicaid	Alabama Medicaid
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with	Percentage of children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with	Percentage of children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with
asthma during the measurement year with one or more asthma-	asthma during the measurement year with one or more asthma-	asthma during the measurement year with one or more asthma-
related ED visits.	related ED visits.	related ED visits.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	\square Other, Explain.	\square Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

MEASURE 21: Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) Medication

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	·	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :		
•	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
	-	-
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as	Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as	Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as
of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an	of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an	of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an
ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one	ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one	ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one
follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority	follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority	follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority
during the 30 day initiation phase.	during the 30 day initiation phase.	during the 30 day initiation phase.
Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of
members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an	members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an	members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an
ambulatory prescription who remained on the medication for at	ambulatory prescription who remained on the medication for at	ambulatory prescription who remained on the medication for
least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the initiation	least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the initiation	at least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the
phase had at least two follow-up visits with practitioner within	phase had at least two follow-up visits with practitioner within	initiation phase had at least two follow-up visits with
270 days (9 months) after the initiation phase ended.	270 days (9 months) after the initiation phase ended.	practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the initiation
270 days (5 months) after the initiation phase ended.	270 days (5 months) after the initiation phase cheed.	phase ended.
Initiation Phase	Initiation Phase	Initiation Phase
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Additional notes on measure.	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.
	Jum of Dum, Experient	
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		-
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
One i citamane measurement Data.	Other I distingued Measurement Data.	Other I dromance measurement Data.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Diabetes

MEASURE 22: Annual pediatric hemoglobin A1C testing

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	·	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	□NCQA
Other. <i>Explain</i> :		Other. <i>Explain</i> :
•	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	•
	-	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children (5-17 years old) with diabetes and a	Percentage of children (5-17 years old) with diabetes and a	Percentage of children (5-17 years old) with diabetes and a
HBA1c test during the measurement year period	HBA1c test during the measurement year period	HBA1c test during the measurement year period
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
	Numerator,. Explain.	indinerator,. Explain.
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Mental Health

MEASURE 23: Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
_		
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
\boxtimes Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	\boxtimes Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	\boxtimes Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the	Louisiana is working to gather the data for this measure by the
mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.	mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	1 3	1 3
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:		
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of discharges for children aged 6 years and older	Percentage of discharges for children aged 6 years and older	Percentage of discharges for children aged 6 years and older
who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health	who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health	who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health
disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient	disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient	disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient
encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health	encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health	encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health
practitioner	practitioner	practitioner
7 Day Follow-Up	7 Day Follow-Up	7 Day Follow-Up
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
30 Day Follow-Up	30 Day Follow-Up	30 Day Follow-Up
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Additional notes on measure.	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	Tear of Bata, Expituit.	Tem of Data, Expiruit.
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other Fundain	Other Fundain
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Tutte.	Tate.	Tuto.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

CAHPS 4.0

Category IV – FAMILY EXPERIENCES OF CARE CAHPS 4.0

MEASURE 24: Consumer Assessment Of Healthcare Providers And Systems (CAHPS®) Health Plan Survey 4.0H (Child version including Medicaid and Children with Chronic Conditions supplemental items)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	Did you report on this measure? ⊠ Yes □ No	Did you report on this measure? ⊠ Yes □ No
	If yes, how did you report this measure? ☐ Submitted raw data to AHRQ. ☐ Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to CMS)	If yes, how did you report this measure? ☐ Submitted raw data to AHRQ. ☐ Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to CMS)
	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: #1. Denominator includes Individuals enrolled in Medicaid PCCM. CommunityCARE, LA Medicaid's PCCM has been in place statewide since 12/2003. #2. Denominator includes only CHIP Phase V enrollees. Phase V has been administered since inception in June 2008 by the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: #1. Denominator includes Individuals enrolled in Medicaid PCCM. CommunityCARE, LA Medicaid's PCCM has been in place statewide since 12/2003. #2. Denominator includes only CHIP Phase V enrollees. Phase V has been administered since inception in June 2008 by the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? CAHPS® methodologies as well as input from program management were taken into account to meet particular needs of monitoring progress. Goal #1. To maintain a high level of CHIP recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE; Louisiana met its goal. Goal #2. To ensure a high level of LaCHIP Phase V recipient satisfaction with the third party administrator, the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits; Louisiana exceeded it goal by increasing recipient satisfaction from 82.3% to 84.9%.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: #1. To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE as well as satisfaction through Louisiana's new coordinated care delivery model, BayouHealth, as it is implemented throughout the state and #2. To ensure a high level of LaCHIP Phase V recipient satisfaction with the third party administrator, the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: #1. To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE as well as satisfaction through Louisiana's new coordinated care delivery model, BayouHealth, as it is implemented throughout the state and #2. To ensure a high level of LaCHIP Phase V recipient satisfaction with the third party administrator, the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: #1. To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE as well as satisfaction through Louisiana's new coordinated care delivery model, BayouHealth, as it is implemented throughout the state and #2. To ensure a high level of LaCHIP Phase V recipient satisfaction with the third party administrator, the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits.

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure: #1.2009 survey question: Please rate your satisfaction with all of your child's health care in the last 6 mnths, 56.8% (120 of 237) responded "Very Satisfied" and 40.7% (109 of 237) replied that they were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied. #2.2011 survey: "Using any # from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst health care possible and 10 is the best health care possible, what # would you use to rate your child's health care in the last 12 mnths?" 469 of the 552 respondents (84.9%) responded 8, 9 or 10.

Reporting of State-specific measures:
In addition to reporting the CHIPRA core set quality measures, if your State has developed State-specific quality measures as part of the CHIPRA Quality Demonstration Grant project, the State may report that data in CARTS. The State may attach documents/data regarding the state-specific measures by using the CARTS attachment facility. Please provide a brief description of the attachment in the space provided when submitting the attachment.
Is the State attaching any state-specific quality measures as a CARTS attachment?
⊠ Yes □ No

SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

1. The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in CHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 (Unduplicated # Ever Enrolled Year) in your State's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the CHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by CARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	Percent change FFY 2010-2011
CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	147532	142558	-3.37
Separate Child Health Program	9480	9846	3.86

A. Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent. [7500]

n/a

2. The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2009-2010. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. CARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2011 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Below 200 Per	ildren Under Age 19 rcent of Poverty as a Children Under Age 19
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	175	26.6	14.6	2.2
1998 - 2000	161	25.8	13.7	2.0
2000 - 2002	123	18.6	9.7	1.4
2002 - 2004	106	17.5	8.6	1.4
2003 - 2005	88	15.7	7.3	1.3
2004 - 2006	85	15.0	7.4	1.3
2005 - 2007	91	16.0	8.0	1.4

2006 - 2008	102	17.0	9.0	1.4
2000 2000	102	17.0	5.0	1
2007 - 2009	87	16.0	7.4	1.3
2008 - 2010	76	13.0	6.3	1.0
Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2008-2010	-56.6%	NA	-56.8%	NA

A. Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children. [7500]

The State of Louisiana has taken deliberate actions since the inception of LaCHIP to slowly

but surely reduce the number of uninsured children. This data illustrates how effective the

phased-in approach of eligibility expansion model has been, as well as focus on simplification of policies to keep children enrolled and consistently strong grassroots outreach efforts done by our eligibility staff. This has resulted in Louisiana being ahead of the curve on this very important healthcare indicator.

B. Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates. [7500]

We believe that the estimates available through a small sample size are not adequate for tracking the rate of uninsured children in this state due to its being less populous. In order to obtain more reliable state specific data we commissioned a household insurance survey by our state's flagship university.

3. Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

\boxtimes	Yes	(please	report	your	data in	the	table	below)
-------------	-----	---------	--------	------	---------	-----	-------	--------

☐ No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	Louisiana Health Insurance Survey conducted by the Louisiana State University Public Policy Research Lab
Reporting period (2 or more points in time)	Initial survey conducted during Summer 2003 and updated in Summer 2005, Summer 2007, Summer 2009, and Summer 2011
Methodology	The 2011 Louisiana Health Insurance Survey (LHIS) is the fifth in a series of surveys designed to provide the most accurate and comprehensive assessment of Louisiana's uninsured populations possible. Each version of the LHIS has been based on over 10,000 Louisiana households and 27,000 Louisiana residents, thus allowing for detailed estimates of uninsured populations for each of DHH's nine regions and across very specific subpopulations (e.g. African-

American children under 200% of federal poverty). Each iteration of the LHIS has also incorporated important improvements in methodology to assure that the survey results in this report reflect our best understanding of how to estimate uninsured populations. The 2005 LHIS, for example, included a survey of Medicaid recipients and corresponding adjustments to the final uninsured estimates to account for the Medicaid bias. The 2007 and 2009 LHIS took another step forward by developing an innovative methodological tool to adjust uninsured estimates for the Medicaid undercount at the individual level. Importantly, the technique provides results comparable to the methodology utilized in the 2005 LHIS, but has the advantage of adjusting the data based on individual-level probabilities that Medicaid eligible respondents have misreported as being uninsured. The 2009 LHIS was also the first version to include a cell phone survey ensuring the most representative sample, with the proportion of the survey focused on cell phones expanding significantly to 2000 completed interviews in 2011.

To assure reporting is as accurate as possible, initial respondents are screened to make sure they are the most knowledgeable person in the household about family health care and health insurance. Once the most knowledgeable person in the household has been selected, respondents are asked to identify all members of the household and a series of questions asking whether members of the household are covered by particular types of insurance (purchased insurance, Medicaid, Medicare, or through the military). Respondents are asked to verify uninsured status for any individual not identified as having any form of coverage. Only household members who are identified as not having any form of coverage are included in the final estimate as uninsured.

The 2011 sampling strategy was designed to generate responses from 11.000 Louisiana households with at least 65 households from each parish and 800 households from each DHH region. To account for a growing cell only population, 2,000 interviews were completed on cell phones. Because of the sampling design employed, the probability of being selected into the final sample was dependent on the parish in which the respondent resided. To account for this, the results were weighted to adjust for sampling differences across parishes. Specifically, the sampling weight was constructed as the parish population divided by the number of individuals sampled in the parish. Because differences in response rates among different segments of the population may also result in biased estimates of uninsured rates, the data were also weighted based on demographic characteristics where sample estimates do not closely mirror censusbased population estimates. In the 2011 LHIS, results are weighted to account for the most recent estimates of parish population available, the 2010 U.S. Census. In addition, estimates of demographic characteristics from the 2010 American Community Survey were utilized to ensure the final weights accurately reflect the makeup of the state's population.

As a final adjustment, uninsured estimates are adjusted to account for the wide Medicaid bias. Empirical research has demonstrated that Medicaid recipients often misreport their insurance status. Our greatest concern in the current report is the extent that they misreport as uninsured. In this situation, estimates of uninsured populations would be biased upward and the estimates of Medicaid populations would be biased downward. The final estimates have been adjusted to account for this bias. The methodology used to make these adjustments is fully described in a working paper (Barnes, Goidel, and Terrell 2007). The methodology is an improvement over the methodology used in the 2005 report in that the current adjustments

	account for the probability that any given individual eligible for Medicaid misreported their insurance status, whereas the previous technique made aggregate adjustments to insurance status based on levels of misreporting. It is important to note that while the methodology used in 2005 is consistent with other research that had adjusted for misreporting, that the procedure used since that initial implementation reflects a step forward in this area, and that the difference between these two procedures are often small.
Population (Please include ages and income levels)	All Louisiana households, April 1, 2010 Census – 4,533,372.
Sample sizes	11,546 Louisiana households representing health insurance status on 32,525 individuals including 9,053 children under age 19.
Number and/or rate for two or more points in time	11.1% of all children were uninsured in 2003. This number decreased to 7.6% in 2005, to 5.4% in 2007, to 5.0% in 2009, and 3.5% in 2011.
Statistical significance of results	Estimates for uninsured children are based on 9,053 Louisiana children (under 19). The margin of error for a sample of this size is +/- 0.5 percentage points.

A. Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children. [7500]

Prior to this study, estimates of the number of non-elderly uninsured in Louisiana were based on Current Population Survey's "Annual Social and Economic Supplement" (also referred to as the "March Supplement"). While the CPS estimates have been invaluable as the only consistent longitudinal, statewide estimates of the uninsured, they have historically been limited in terms of the overall sample size for any given state and the geographical distribution of respondents. The CPS has since addressed some of these concerns by increasing the number of households included in the sample and diversifying the strata from which these households are drawn. The 2011 CPS includes 1,917 individuals from Louisiana. While the increase in sample size makes the CPS a better estimate of statewide uninsured populations than it had been previously, it remains limited in its capacity to generate regional and parish-level estimates.

This study also addresses what health researchers have long known—that a substantial proportion of Medicaid enrollees misreport their insurance status, often reporting themselves (or their families) as uninsured or as having private insurance. The consequence of this undercount is that survey-based estimates of the uninsured often include respondents who are actually covered through Medicaid or LaCHIP. That is, they overstate uninsured rates. Because Louisiana has a high proportion of respondents who are enrolled in Medicaid, particularly children enrolled in Medicaid or LaCHIP, the consequences of the Medicaid undercount are likely to be more substantial in Louisiana (and in other Southern states) than has been reported in the existing literature.

The 2011 LHIS has been designed to provide the best possible estimate of uninsured populations statewide, within each of the nine Department of Health and Hospitals regions, and across key demographic characteristics.

B. What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.) [7500]

Overall, there is consistent and compelling evidence that the decline in the number of uninsured children is largely related to the increase in the number of children covered by LaCHIP or Medicaid. Given the sample sizes, we have more confidence in the regional estimates and scale the parish-level estimates so that the regional totals match those from the full report. Our confidence in survey research resides not in individual point estimates but rather in confidence intervals around which we can be reasonably certain the true population parameter resides. The 2011 Survey was designed in such a way as to assure large samples by regional demographic characteristics such that we could have reasonably high confidence

in our estimates. Quarterly updates of this survey also ensure the most recent and relevant data is available.

- C. What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? [7500] None that we are aware of at this time.
- D. How does your State use this alternate data source in CHIP program planning? [7500]
 State officials plan to use the data from this survey to target hard-to-reach eligible children for enrollment into LaCHIP, while at the same time make informed decisions about how to focus on policy to build coverage options for those subsets of children who remain uninsured.
- 4. How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of CHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information [7500]

During this reporting period, October 2010 to September 2011, there has been a net increase in enrollment of children in Title XIX by 695, bringing the statewide enrollment toal to 601,645. Enrollment figures according to: Children Under 19 Recipient Statistic Report, which comes from the mainframe and is run monthly by Production Control at Molina.

SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your CHIP State Plan. (If your goals reported in the annual report now differ from Section 9 of your CHIP state plan, please indicate how they differ in "Other Comments on Measure." Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- CHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2009 and FFY 2010) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS. If you previously reported data in the 2 previous years reports (2009 and/or 2010) and you want to update/change the data please enter that data. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2011).

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of CHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13th birthday."

Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why
the goal was revised.

- <u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

<u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data
are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for
FFY 2010.

Explanation of Provisional Data – When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the State must specify why the data are provisional and when the State expects the data will be final.

- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2011.
- Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®).

Please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications or another source. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed.

HEDIS® Version:

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2009, 2010). This field must be be completed only when a user select the HEDIS® measurement specification.

"Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected,

Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and CHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population Included in Measure:

Numerator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

Denominator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

• If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator. For example, please note if the denominator excludes children enrolled in managed care in certain counties or certain plans or if it excludes children in fee-for-service or PCCM. Also, please report the number of children excluded. The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators can be assessed across the States and over time.

Deviations from Measure

For the measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care.

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that States must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected..

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

Year of Data (e.g., partial year),

Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems),

Numerator (e.g., coding issues),

Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment),

Other.

When one or more of the types are selected, states are required to provide an explanation.

Year of Data: not available for the 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Date Range: available for 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators, rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: CARTS will calculate the rate when you enter the numerator and denominator.

For CARTS versions prior to 2011 States were able to enter a rate without entering a numerator and denominator (If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure [or component]. The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2011

by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator.) Beginning in 2011, CARTS will be requiring States to report numerators and denominators rather than providing them the option of only reporting the rate. If States reported a rate in years prior to 2011, that data will be able to be edited if the need arises.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. Any quality improvement activity described should involve the CHIP program, benefit CHIP enrollees, and relate to the performance measure and your progress. An example of a quality improvement activity is a state-wide initiative to inform individual families directly of their children's immunization status with the goal of increasing immunization rates. CHIP would either be the primary lead or substantially involved in the project. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2012, 2013, and 2014. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2009 through 2011), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increas2e over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations, plans to report on a measure in the future, or differences between performance measures reported here and those discussed in Section 9 of the CHIP state plan.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Continue to impact the rate of uninsured children in Louisiana through outreach and enrollment of families potentially eligible for LaCHIP. Prevent a reduction of the number of children covered as of the end of FFY09 thus decreasing the number of uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2009.	Continue to impact the rate of uninsured children in Louisiana through outreach and enrollment of families potentially eligible for LaCHIP. Prevent a reduction of the number of children covered as of the end of FFY10 thus decreasing the number of uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2010.	Continue to impact the rate of uninsured children in Louisiana through outreach and enrollment of families potentially eligible for LaCHIP. Prevent a reduction of the number of children covered as of the end of FFY11 thus decreasing the number of uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2011.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source: ⊠ Eligibility/Enrollment data □ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not applicable.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net change, not a rate; therefore a numerator is not applicable.
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2010	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2011
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Net change of children enrolled in LaCHIP at a point in time. Subtract the number of children enrolled at the end of FFY08 from the number enrolled in LaCHIP at the end of FFY09. Actual enrollment decreased by 637 children. Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Net change of children enrolled in LaCHIP at a point in time. Subtract the number of children enrolled in LaCHIP at the end of FFY09 from the number enrolled at the end of FFY10. Actual enrollment increased by 1,379 children. Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Net change of children enrolled in LaCHIP a point in time. Subtract the number of children enrolled in LaCHIP at the end of FFY10 from the number enrolled at the of FFY11. Actual enrollment decreased by 1,330 children. Numerator: 0 Denominator: 0 Rate:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Additional notes on measure: The decrease in LaCHIP	Additional notes on measure: Continued aggressive outreach	Additional notes on measure: This measure calculates net
enrollment has coincided with a large increase of children	to potentially eligible children as well as the stabilization of	change, not a rate; therefore a numerator and denominator are
enrolled in the Medicaid program due in part to the current	Phase V (LaCHIP Affordable Plan) have contributed to the	not applicable.
economic condition.	steady enrollment increases.	
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report? We were unable to meet our FFY08 goal enrolling a net increase of 4,500 uninsured eligible children into LaCHIP. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued aggressive outreach and simplified application/renewal processes.	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? We exceeded our goal of maintaining enrollment levels in LaCHIP by increasing enrollment by 1,379. This increase can be attributed to continued aggressive outreach and increasing numbers in the Phase V (LaCHIP Affordable Plan) program. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued aggressive outreach and simplified application/renewal processes.	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? Louisiana did not increase enrollment in CHIP, but did meet our goal of preventing a greater than 5% decline in enrollment. CHIP enrollment decreased by 1,330 children or 1.06%. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? A reduction in staff has negatively impacted Louisiana's ability to increase CHIP enrollment. However, the 2011 LHIS shows only 2.9% of eligible children in Louisiana are uninsured and Louisiana will continue to use MaxEnroll funds and aggressive retention strategies to locate and enroll those remaining children.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
 Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2010 in Title XXI CHIP while continuing outreach efforts. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2011 in Title XXI CHIP while continuing outreach efforts. 	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2011 in Title XXI CHIP while continuing outreach efforts. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2012 in Title XXI CHIP while continuing outreach efforts.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2012 in Title XXI CHIP. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2013 in Title XXI CHIP.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2012 in Title XXI CHIP while continuing outreach efforts.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2013 in Title XXI CHIP while continuing outreach efforts.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2014 in Title XXI CHIP.
Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were set based on current year enrollment data and the proportion of remaining uninsured children in this income group per the LHIS which we are targeting to add every fiscal year. Current economic conditions have also been factored in.	Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were set based on current year enrollment data and the proportion of remaining uninsured children in this income group per the LHIS which we are targeting to add every fiscal year.	Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were set based on current year enrollment data and the proportion of remaining uninsured children in this income group per the 2011 LHIS which we are targeting to add every fiscal year. A 12-month trending model of actual enrollment for this group was also used.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Deta Parres
1 ear of Data:	1 ear of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously
теропеи.	теропеи.	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Increase enrollment of children in LaCHIP Affordable Plan	Increase enrollment of children in LaCHIP Affordable Plan	Increase enrollment of children in LaCHIP Affordable Plan
(Phase V)	(Phase V)	(Phase V)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data: ☑ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reporteu.	теропеа.	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net
		change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not applicable.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
		Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net change,
		not a rate; therefore a numerator is not applicable.
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2010	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2011

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Increase enrollment in separate CHIP for children between	Increase enrollment in separate CHIP for children between	Increase enrollment in separate CHIP/Phase V for children
201-250% FPL at a point in time. Subtract the number of	201-250% FPL at a point in time. Subtract the number of	between 201-250% FPL at a point in time. Subtract the
children enrolled in separate CHIP at the end of FFY08 from	children enrolled in separate CHIP at the end of FFY09 from	number of children enrolled in separate CHIP at the end of
the number enrolled in separate CHIP at the end of FFY09.	the number enrolled at the end of FFY10. Actual enrollment	FFY10 from the number enrolled at the end of FFY11.
	increased by 434 children.	Actual enrollment increased by 313 children.
Numerator:		
Denominator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 0
Rate:	Denominator:	Denominator: 0
	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:		
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: This measure calculates net
		change, not a rate; therefore a denominator and numerator are
		not applicable.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report? Louisiana fell short of its goal of enrolling an additional 3,500 children in FY09 by only enrolling a an additional 1,450. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued aggressive outreach and simplified application/renewal processes.	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? Louisiana fell short of its goal of enrolling an additional 1,344 children in FY10 by only enrolling an additional 434. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued aggressive outreach and simplified application/renewal processes.	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? Louisiana fell short of its goal of enrolling an additional 528 children in FY11 by only enrolling an additional 313. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? A reduction staff has negatively impacted Louisiana's ability to increase CHIP enrollment. However, Medicaid enrollment has increased during this same time period as a result of declining income levels. Further, the 2011 LHIS shows only 2.9% of eligible children in Louisiana are uninsured and Louisiana will continue to use MaxEnroll funds and aggressive retention strategies to locate and enroll those remaining children.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Identify and enroll a net addition of 1,344 uninsured	Identify and enroll a net addition of 528 uninsured	Identify and enroll 157 eligible children by Oct 1, 2012
eligible children by Oct. 1, 2010 in Phase V of Title XXI CHIP.	eligible children by Oct. 1, 2011 in Phase V of Title XXI CHIP.	in Phase V of Title XXI CHIP. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Identify and enroll 78 eligible children by Oct 1, 2013 in
Identify and enroll a net addition of 672 uninsured	Identify and enroll a net addition of 288 uninsured	Phase V of Title XXI CHIP.
eligible children by Oct. 1, 2011 in Phase V of Title XXI	eligible children by Oct. 1, 2012 in Phase V of Title XXI	
CHIP.	CHIP.	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Identify and enroll a net addition of 336 uninsured	Identify and enroll a net addition of 144 uninsured	Identify and enroll 39 eligible children by Oct 1, 2014 in
eligible children by Oct. 1, 2012 in Phase V of Title XXI	eligible children by Oct. 1, 2013 in Phase V of Title XXI	Phase V of Title XXI CHIP.
CHIP.	CHIP.	
		Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives
Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives	Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives	were set based on current year enrollment data and the
were set based on a proportion of remaining uninsured	were set based on a proportion of remaining uninsured	proportion of remaining uninsured children in this
children in this income group per the LHIS which we	children in this income group per the LHIS which we	income group per the 2011 LHIS which we are targeting
are targeting to add every fiscal year. These objectives	are targeting to add every fiscal year. These objectives	to add every fiscal year. A 12-month trending model of
were set based on 12-month trending of actual	were set based on 12-month trending of actual	actual enrollment for this group was also used.
enrollment for this group.	enrollment for this group.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
теропеи.	reporteu.	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
D. C. War of Land and and	D. Caidian of Languine	D. C. da and C. Language and C. C.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
N	NT.	NT.
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Rate.	Rate.	Kate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2008 Annual Report?	2009 Annual Report?	2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
· ·		
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Continue aggressive outreach to reduce the rate of uninsured	Continue aggressive outreach to reduce the rate of uninsured	Continue aggressive outreach to reduce the rate of uninsured
children in Louisiana through outreach and enrollment of	children in Louisiana through outreach and enrollment of	children in Louisiana through outreach and enrollment of
families potentially eligible for Medicaid. Identify and enroll	families potentially eligible for Medicaid. Identify and enroll	families potentially eligible for Medicaid. Identify and enroll
a net addition of 10,000 uninsured eligible children by	a net addition of 30,537 uninsured eligible children by	a net addition of 17,307 uninsured eligible children by
October 1, 2009 in Title XIX Medicaid programs.	October 1, 2010 in Title XIX Medicaid programs.	October 1, 2011 in Title XIX Medicaid programs.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net
		change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not applicable.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	•
		Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net change,
		not a rate; therefore a numerator is not applicable.
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2010	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2011

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Net change of children enrolled in Medicaid at a point in	Net change of children enrolled in Medicaid at a point in	Net change of children enrolled in Medicaid at a point in
time. Subtract the number of children enrolled at the end of	time. Subtract the number of children enrolled at the end of	time. Subtract the number of children enrolled at the end of
FFY09 from the number enrolled in Medicaid at the end of	FFY10 from the number enrolled in Medicaid at the end of	FFY11 from the number enrolled in Medicaid at the end of
FFY08. Actual net enrollment increased by 30,537.	FFY09. Actual net enrollment increased by 34,614.	FFY10. Actual net enrollment increased by 695.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 0
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 0
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: This measure calculates net
		change, not a rate; therefore a numerator and denominator are
		not applicable.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report? We far exceeded our goal by increasing enrollment of Title XIX Medicaid by increasing net enrollment by more than 28,000 children. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued aggressive outreach and simplified application/renewal processes.	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? We far exceeded our goal by increasing enrollment of Title XIX Medicaid by increasing net enrollment by more than 34,000. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued aggressive outreach and simplified application/renewal processes as well as implementation of the Express Lane Enrollment process which began enrolling children in November 2009.	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? Louisiana fell short of its goal of enrolling an additional 17,307 children in FFY11 by only enrolling an additional 695. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? A reduction staff has negatively impacted Louisiana's ability to increase CHIP enrollment. However, the 2011 LHIS shows only 2.9% of eligible children in Louisiana are uninsured and Louisiana will continue to use MaxEnroll funds and aggressive retention strategies to locate and enroll those remaining children. The Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals also continues to outstation staff at sister agencies where populations seek assistance such as health units and maintain a strong awareness through broad placement/distribution of applications.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Identify and enroll a net addition of 30,537 uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2010 in Title XXI Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Identify and enroll a net addition of 15,269 uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2011 in Title XXI Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Identify and enroll a net addition of 7,634 uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2012 in Title XXI Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility. Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were set based on current year enrollment data and the proportion of remaining uninsured	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Identify and enroll a net addition of 17,307 uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2011 in Title XXI Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility and other outreach strategies. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Identify and enroll a net addition of 8,654 uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2012 in Title XXI Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility and other outreach strategies. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Identify and enroll a net addition of 4,327 uninsured eligible children by Oct. 1, 2013 in Title XXI Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility and other outreach strategies.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Identify and enroll a net additional of 348 eligible children by Oct. 1. 2012 in Title XIX Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility and other outreach strategies. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Identify and enroll a net additional of 174 eligible children by Oct. 1. 2013 in Title XIX Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility and other outreach strategies. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Identify and enroll a net additional of 87 eligible children by Oct. 1. 2014 in Title XIX Medicaid programs by using Express Lane Eligibility and other outreach strategies.
children in this income group per the LHIS which we are targeting to add every fiscal year. Current economic conditions have also been factored in.	Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were set based on a proportion of remaining uninsured children in this income group per the LHIS which we are targeting to add every fiscal year. These objectives were set based on 12-month trending of actual enrollment for this group.	Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were set based on current year enrollment data and the proportion of remaining uninsured children in this income group per the 2011 LHIS which we are targeting to add every fiscal year. A 12-month trending model of actual enrollment for this group was also used.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting or the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional. ☐ Final.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
∐ Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
ruc.	Tute.	Nutc.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe) To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE. Type of Goal:	Goal #1 (Describe) To maintain a high level of CHIP recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE. Type of Goal:	Goal #1 (Describe) To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE. Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	□ New/revised. Explain: □ Continuing. □ Discontinued. Explain:	New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain: This goal is now being reported as "Consumer Assessment Of Healthcare Providers And Systems (CAHPS®) Health Plan Survey 4.0H" under Section IIA. Reporting of Core Performance Measures.
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain: CAHPS-like Survey: Consumer Assessment of Health Plan Survey (CAHPS®) methodologies as well as input from program management were taken into account to meet particular needs of monitoring progress.	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain: CAHPS-like Survey: Consumer Assessment of Health Plan Survey (CAHPS®) methodologies as well as input from program management were taken into account to meet particular needs of monitoring progress.	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: CAHPS-like Survey	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
		Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
		□ D. (1. C F. 1.)
		☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
		Numerator, Explain.
		☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
		Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
		A 1 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
Additional notes on measure: Individuals enrolled in	Additional notes on measure: Individuals enrolled in	Additional notes on measure:
Medicaid PCCM. CommunityCARE, Louisiana Medicaid's	Medicaid PCCM. CommunityCARE, Louisiana Medicaid's	
PCCM has been in place statewide since 12/2003. In 2009	PCCM has been in place statewide since 12/2003. In 2009	
survey, for the question: Please rate your satisfaction with all of your child's health care in the last 6 months, 56.8 percent	survey, for the question: Please rate your satisfaction with all of your child's health care in the last 6 months, 50.6 percent	
(120 of the 237 respondents) responded "Very Satisfied" and	(120 of the 237 respondents) responded "Very Satisfied" and	
40.7% (or 109 of 237 respondents) replied that they were	40.7% (or 109 of 237 respondents) replied that they were	
neither satisfied nor disatisfied.	neither satisfied nor disatisfied.	
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
		Explanation of Frogress
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the		Ham did
110W UIU YOUL PELIOLIHANCE IN 2007 COMBALE WITH THE	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the
	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? Louisiana saw a high level of	
Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report? We see a high level of	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the medical home provided through Louisiana Medicaid's PCCM, CommunityCARE.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Explain how these objectives were set:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	
Other Comments on Measure: Louisiana is currently conducting a CAHPS® Survey for enrollees in the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) and the results will be reported on in the 2010 Annual Report.	Other Comments on Measure: See Attachment 1. PCCM Enrollee Satisfaction Survey 2009	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
To provide more LaCHIP and Medicaid children have annual	To provide more LaCHIP and Medicaid children have annual	To provide more LaCHIP and Medicaid children have annual
dental exams by ensuring greater access to preventive dental	dental exams by ensuring greater access to preventive dental	dental exams by ensuring greater access to preventive dental
services.	services.	services.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☑ Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
	This goal is now being reported as "Total Eligibles who	This goal is now being reported as "Total Eligibles who
	Received Preventable Dental Services" under Section IIA.	Received Preventable Dental Services" under Section IIA.
	Reporting of Core Performance Measures.	Reporting of Core Performance Measures.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
 ☐ Provisional. ☑ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 	 ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 	 ☐ Provisional. ☐ Explanation of Provisional Data: ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: □Other. Explain: 2010	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: The percentage of enrolled members 2-18 years of age who had at least one dental visit during the measurement year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: 244574 Denominator: 569419 Rate: 43	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure: Measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data. This measure does not currently include the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population but is working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain. Data Source, Explain.
		☐ Numerator,. Explain.
		☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
		Other, Explain.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology)	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology)	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report? The FFY09 goal of 40.57% of member having at least one dental visit during the year was exceeded. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? A rate increase implemented in December 2008 for providers may have contributed to increased performance.	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
reporting of the data.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: In FFY 2010 we hope to maintain the rate of 43.0% despite anticipated provider rate cuts and budget contraints. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: In FFY 2011 we hope to maintain the rate of 43.0% despite anticipated provider rate cuts and budget contraints. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: In FFY 2012 we hope to maintain the rate of 43.0% despite anticipated provider rate cuts and budget contraints.	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Explain how these objectives were set: A work group of our clinical Medicaid staff and contractors was developed to advise CHIP management on tracking these HEDIS measures and other quality indicators, including those set in the 2011 Medicaid Operational Plan. The workgroup consists of nurses and pharmacists who are intimately involved in these initiatives and use their expertise to advise CHIP management of the progress made and planned direction for these quality initiatives.		
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
	To ensure a high level of LaCHIP Phase V recipient	To ensure a high level of LaCHIP Phase V recipient
	satisfaction with the third party administrator, the Louisiana	satisfaction with the third party administrator, the Louisiana
	Office of Group Benefits.	Office of Group Benefits.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Discontinued. Explain:	A CAHPS-like survey was conducted in of 2009	This goal is now being reported as "Consumer Assessment
	A CATH 5-like survey was conducted in or 2009	Of Healthcare Providers And Systems (CAHPS®) Health
		Plan Survey 4.0H" under Section IIA. Reporting of Core
		Performance Measures.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	reported: Measurement Specification:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS: Specify version of HEDIS used:	Other. Explain:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Guier. Explain.
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
	CAHPS-like Survey: Consumer Assessment of Health Plan	
	Survey (CAHPS®) methodologies as well as input from	
	program management were taken into account to meet	
	particular needs of monitoring progress.	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
☐ Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. speegy.	CAHPS-like Survey	Guilei. Speegy.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
		please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2010	number of children excluded: Date Range:
Tear of Data:	Teal of Data: 2010	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
	<u> </u>	i i oni. tiinin yyyy i o. tiinin yyyy)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Data Source, Explain.
		☐ Numerator,. Explain.
		Denominator, Explain.
		Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator: 247	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator: 300	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate: 82.3	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Denominator includes only CHIP Phase V enrollees. Phase V has been administered since inception in June 2008 by the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits. In the 2009 survey, for the question: "Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst health care possible and 10 is the best health care possible, what number would you use to rate your child's health care in the last 12 months?" 247 of the 300 respondents (or 82.3%) responded 8, 9, or 10.	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? n/a	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the CHIP Phase V third party administrator, Louisiana Office of Group Benefits. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the CHIP Phase V third party administrator, Louisiana Office of Group Benefits. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain a high level of recipient satisfaction with the CHIP Phase V third party administrator, Louisiana Office of Group Benefits.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Explain how these objectives were set:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: See Attachment 2. LAP Enrollee Satisfaction Survey 3-29-10	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Increase the number of well-care visits by adolescents to ensure preventative care is provided to this hard-to-reach age group.	Increase the number of well-care visits by adolescents to ensure preventative care is provided to this hard-to-reach age group.	Increase the number of well-care visits by adolescents to ensure preventative care is provided to this hard-to-reach age group.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain: This goal is now being reported as "Adolescent Well-Care Visits" under Section IIA. Reporting of Core Performance Measures.
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator: The percentage of enrolled members who were 12-21 years of age and who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: 78567	Numerator:	Numerator:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Denominator: 225899	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 34.8	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure: Measure includes FQHC/RHC claims data. This measure does not currently include the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) population but is working to develop HEDIS measures for this group.	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, Explain. ☐ Data Source, Explain. ☐ Numerator,. Explain.
		☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
		Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
ruic.	ruic.	Tute.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report? We met our goal by increasing the percentage of adolescents with at least one well-care visit to 34.8%. What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued aggressive outreach and simplified application/renewal processes. A rate increase for providers that was implemented in 2008 may have contributed to this increased performance.	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
improving the completeness or accuracy of your		
reporting of the data.	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Annual Douformana Objective for FEV 2010. In		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: In FFY2010 we hope to increase the rate of well-care		
visits by adolescents by 1% to 35.8% in an effort to		
move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: In		
FFY2011 we hope to increase the rate of well-care visits		
by adolescents by 1% to 36.8% in an effort to move		
toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: In		
FFY2012 we hope to increase the rate of well-care		
visits by adolescents by 1% to 37.8% in an effort to		
move toward the HEDIS national mean for Medicaid.		
Explain how these objectives were set: A work group of		
our clinical Medicaid staff and contractors was		
developed to advise CHIP management on tracking		
these HEDIS measures and other quality indicators,		
including those set in the 2011 Medicaid Operational		
Plan. The workgroup consists of nurses and pharmacists		
who are intimately involved in these initiatives and use		
their expertise to advise CHIP management of the		
progress made and planned direction for these quality		
initiatives.		
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously		☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
		reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain. Data Source, Explain. Numerator, Explain. Denominator, Explain. Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
l		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
-	-	-

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Other. Explain:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
		please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
		number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Rate.	Kate.	Kate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
		Year of Data, Explain.
		☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		□ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
		Denominator, Explain.
		☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
		Ошет, <i>Ехриит.</i>

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

- 1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? What have you found? [7500]

 None at this time.
- 2. What strategies does your CHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? When will data be available? **[7500]** Louisiana is working to gather the data necessary for the new CHIPRA Core Performance Measures by the mandatory reporting deadline of 2013.
- 3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your CHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found? [7500]

No

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your CHIP program's performance. Please include any analyses or descriptions of any efforts designed to reduce the number of uncovered children in the state through a state health insurance connector program or support for innovative private health coverage initiatives health coverage initiatives. **[7500]**

n/a

Enter any Narrative text below [7500].

SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

A. OUTREACH

1. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

Louisiana Medicaid's outreach staff has continued to work hard at building relationships with private businesses and employers throughout the state to deliver information about LaCHIP to their employees who either do not have access to private health insurance or cannot afford the coverage that is available to them. This has been accomplished through employee benefits fairs and also through direct distribution of applications and literature to new hires on an individual basis.

Funding for eleven Covering Kids & Families Regional Contractors to cover the entire state has been carried over. These agencies around the state are under contract to build capacity and sustainability into the regional coalitions of stakeholders and conduct outreach initiatives, in collaborations with Regional DHH outreach staff. These regional coalitions have grown over this past reporting period and this was shown through the registration for the Fall Louisiana Covering Kids & Families Statewide Coalition Meeting in which over one hundred and eighty community partners registered. Growth in these regional coalitions has been made possible by the work that the contractors are doing to build relationships with city and parish governments, employers, non-profit organizations, school systems and faith based organizations in their respective coverage areas. The outreach efforts of these community based organizations augment those of our reduced Medicaid Eligibility outreach staff.

Both CKF and Medicaid Eligibility outreach workers have been able to successfully promote the Public Access On-line application. The on-line application center allows potential clients to apply for LaCHIP and Medicaid coverage via the DHH website. Current clients can also update their contact information and request replacement Medicaid cards through the on-line application center. This has been done through the use of outstation equipment during regular outreach practices by the Medicaid staff. The CKF Contractors have built relationships with local government agencies and parish libraries to place shortcuts to the LaCHIP on-line application on public access computers in their service areas.

2. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

Again this year DHH partnered with school systems in providing over 900,000 children with information about the program, piggy backing with the free/reduced lunch program in sending literature home. Effectiveness of this outreach is measured by monitoring the application origination report which gives outreach staff a view of how applications are received by potential clients.

Positive word of mouth outreach has continued to be an important method of getting information to potential clients. This has been accomplished by DHH and CKF Contractors conducting in-service trainings to non-profit organizations, faith based organizations, private employers and other government agencies. These trainings provide a clear, consistent message about LaCHIP and the benefits that the program has to offer. Effectiveness can be measured through continued increases in enrollment in the program in areas of the state that have traditionally had higher uninsured rates for children and families.

	Word of mouth outreach has continued to be a best practice for the LaCHIP program. Through greater awareness of the program and program benefits by community partners this will continue to increase enrollment and retention rates in LaCHIP and Medicaid.
4.	living in rural areas)?
	Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]
	The bilingual Strategic Enrollment Unit that services the Spanish and Vietnamese speaking populations around the state has continued to increase their outreach efforts. This unit is centrally located in Baton Rouge. Outreach to migrant farming communities has been conducted to increase awareness of LaCHIP and increase enrollment in LaCHIP and Medicaid for families who have traditionally perceived that they were not eligible for coverage in these programs. There continues to be a tremendous increase in the Spanish speaking community in the Greater New Orleans Area due to the growth of the construction industry in the area.
5.	What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or CHIP have been enrolled in those programs? [5] 97.1
	(Identify the data source used). [7500] The percentage of uninsured children in the state of Louisiana who are eligible for but not enrolled in Medicaid or LaCHIP is 2.9%. This figure is down from 5.3% in 2009. In actual numbers of children this number is down from 39,765 children in 2009 to 21,439 children in 2011. This information was made available through the 2011 Louisiana Household Insurance Survey that was conducted by the Louisiana State University Public Policy Research Lab. A sampling of over 10,000 households which included 28,000+ Louisiana residents was used to calculate the percentage of uninsured children in Louisiana.
В.	SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)
	All states should answer the following questions. Please include percent calculations in your responses when applicable and requested.
1.	Do you have substitution prevention policies in place?
	Yes No No
	If yes, indicate if you have the following policies: ☐ Imposing waiting periods between terminating private coverage and enrolling in CHIP ☐ Imposing cost sharing in approximation to the cost of private coverage ☐ Monitoring health insurance status at the time of application ☐ Other, please explain [7500]

3. Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)? [7500]

2. Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and how the State evaluates the effectiveness of its policies. **[7500]**

For LaCHIP Phase V (LaCHIP Affordable Plan) all three of the substitution of coverage policies listed above are in place. There is a one year wait period between termination of non-Employer Sponsored insurance coverage and enrollment in LaCHIP Phase V, unless one of the hardship exemptions is met. The program also imposes a cost sharing mechanism that requires families to pay a \$50 per family per month premium for enrollment of children. Prescription and medical service co-payments are in effect.

Health insurance status is monitored at the time of application through applicant questions. Analysts are also mandated to check the Health Management Systems (HMS) COB Match on each LaCHIP Phase V case to view the history of previous or current health insurance.

3. Identify the trigger mechanism or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted or modified if you currently have a substitution policy. [7500]

Monthly reports provide data on application rejections. This enables the agency to track the number of applicants that were denied coverage due to health insurance coverage.

New LaCHIP V certifications are viewed daily to ensure that analysts have checked COB Match prior to certification

All States must complete the following questions

- 4. At the time of application, what percent of CHIP applicants are found to have Medicaid [(# applicants found to have Medicaid/total # applicants) * 100] [5] 0 and what percent of applicants are found to have other group insurance [(# applicants found to have other insurance/total # applicants) * 100] [5]? .18 Provide a combined percent if you cannot calculate separate percentages. [5]
- 5. What percent of CHIP applicants cannot be enrolled because they have group health plan coverage [5] .18
 - a. Of those found to have had other, private insurance and have been uninsured for only a portion of the state's waiting period, what percent meet your state's exemptions to the waiting period (if your state has a waiting period and exemptions) [(# applicants who are exempt/total # of new applicants who were enrolled)*100]? [5]

	.83	
6.	Does your State ha	ve an affordability exception to its waiting period?
	⊠ Yes □ No	
	If y	res, please respond to the following questions. If no, skip to question 7.
	a.	Has the State established a specific threshold for defining affordability (e.g., when the cost of the child's portion of the family's employer-based health insurance premium is more than X percent of family income)?
		If the State has established a specific threshold, please provide this figure and whether this applies to net or gross income. If no, how does the State determine who meets the affordability exception? [7500]
		An affordability exception exists if the monthly health insurance premium exceeds 10% of gross household income.

b.	What expenses are counted for purposes of determining when the family exceeds the affordability threshold? (e.g., Does the State consider only premiums, or premiums and other cost-sharing charges? Does the State base the calculation on the total premium for family coverage under the employer plan or on the difference between the amount of the premium for employee-only coverage and the amount of the premium for family coverage? Other approach?) [7500]
af	Louisiana considers only the amount of the total family premium in determining the ffordability threshold
c.	What percentage of enrollees at initial application qualified for this exception in the last Federal Fiscal Year? (e.g., Number of applicants who were exempted because of affordability exception/total number of applicants who were enrolled). [5]
	.44
d.	Does the State conduct surveys or focus groups that examine whether affordability is a concern?
	☐ Yes ☑ No
	If yes, please provide relevant findings. [7500]
	our State does not have an affordability exception, does your State collect data on the cost lealth insurance for an individual or family? [7500]
	es the State's CHIP application ask whether applicants have access to private health urance?
If	yes, do you track the number of individuals who have access to private insurance?_
	☐ Yes ☑ No
	If yes, what percent of individuals that enrolled in CHIP had access to private health insurance at the time of application during the last Federal Fiscal Year [(# of individuals that had access to private health insurance/total # of individuals enrolled in CHIP)*100]? [5]

C. ELIGIBILITY

7.

8.

(This subsection should be completed by all States)

Medicaid Expansion states should complete applicable responses and indicate those questions that are non-applicable with N/A.

Section IIIC: Subpart A: Overall CHIP and Medicaid Eligibility Coordination

Does the State use a joint application for establishing eligibility for Medicaid or CHIP?

 ∑ Yes

	☐ No				
	If no, p	lease describe the screen and enroll process. [7500]			
2.		explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to nd from CHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain.			
	same, betwee notified move to particip Separa notified	the delivery model and benefits for Medicaid and CHIP below 200% (Phases I-IV) are the recipients are not made aware of the change and it appears seamless. For changes in Medicaid Expansion CHIP to the Separate CHIP (LaCHIP Affordable Plan), families are of the change in advance of the actual change taking place. Parents of children who to the Separate CHIP Program are informed that cost sharing is involved with their ation in the program, as well as a change in benefits. When a recipient moves from the te CHIP Program (Phase V) to Medicaid/Medicaid Expansion (Phases I-IV), they are again in writing to explain they are eligible for a no cost program with different benefits and model.			
3.		same delivery systems (such as managed care or fee for service,) or provider networks Medicaid and CHIP? [7500]			
	☐ Yes				
	⊠ No				
	If no, pl	ease explain. [7500]			
	The delivery system and provider network for Medicaid and CHIP for children to 200% FPL is the same: Primary Care Case Management model known in Louisiana as CommunityCARE. For LaCHIP Affordable Plan, the Office of Group Benefits PPO fee for service provider network is used (same as that of state employees)				
 Do you have authority in your CHIP State plan to provide for presumptive eligibility, and h implemented this? ☐ Yes ☒ No 					
	If yes				
	a.	What percent of children are presumptively enrolled in CHIP pending a full eligibility determination? [5]			
	b.	Of those children who are presumptively enrolled, what percent of those children are determined eligible and enrolled upon completion of the full eligibility determination those children are determined eligible and enrolled? [5]			

Section IIIC: Subpart B: Initial Eligibility, Enrollment, and Renewal for CHIP (Title XXI) and Medicaid (Title XIX) Programs Table B1

This section is designed to assist CMS and the States track progress on the "5 out of 8" eligibility and enrollment milestones. It will not be used to determine CHIPRA performance bonus payments.

Program Feature Question	Medicaid	CHIP
--------------------------	----------	------

Continuous Eligibility	1. Does the State provide continuous eligibility for 12 months for children regardless of changes in circumstances other than the situations identified below: a. child is no longer a resident of the State; b. death of the child; c. child reaches the age limit; d. child/representative requests disenrollment; e. child enrolled in a separate CHIP program files a Medicaid application, is determined eligible for Medicaid and is enrolled in Medicaid without a coverage gap.	In accordance with section 1902(e)(12) of the Act	Yes No
Liberalization of Asset (or Resource Test) Requirements	Does the State have an assets test?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	If there is an assets test, does the State allow administrative verification of assets?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
Elimination of In- Person Interview	Does the State require an in- person interview to apply?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	Has the State eliminated an in- person requirement for renewal of CHIP eligibility?	⊠ Yes	□ No
Use of Same Application and Renewal Forms and Procedures for Medicaid and CHIP	Does the State use the same application form, supplemental forms, and information verification process for establishing eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP?	∑ Yes	□ No
	Does the State use the same application form, supplemental forms, and information verification process for establishing eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP?	∑ Yes	□ No

Automatic/Administr ative Renewal	8.	For renewals of Medicaid or CHIP eligibility, does the State provide a preprinted form populated with eligibility information available to the State, to the child or the child's parent or other representative, along with a notice that eligibility will be renewed and continued based on such information unless the State is provided other information that affects eligibility?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	9.	Does the State do an ex parte renewal? Specifically, does the State renew Medicaid or CHIP eligibility to the maximum extent possible based on information contained in the individual's Medicaid file or other information available to the State, before it seeks any information from the child's parent or representative?	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
			If exparte is used, is it used for	If exparte is used, is it used for
			All applicants	All applicants
			☐ Yes ☐ No	Yes No
			A subset of applicants	A subset of applicants
			Yes No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Presumptive Eligibility	10.	Does the State provide presumptive eligibility to children who appear to be eligible for Medicaid and CHIP to enroll pending a full determination of eligibility?		☐ Yes ⊠ No
Express Lane Eligibility	11.	Are you utilizing the Express Lane option in making eligibility determinations and/or renewals for both Medicaid and CHIP?		☐ Yes ⊠ No
				If yes, which Express Lane Agencies are you using? Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), formerly Food Stamps Tax/Revenue Agency Unemployment Compensation Agency Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) Free, Reduced School Lunch Program Subsidized Child Care Program Other, please explain. [7500] If yes, what information is the Express Lane Agency providing? Income
				☐ Income ☐ Resources ☐ Residency ☐ Age ☐ Citizenship ☐ Other, please explain. [7500] Race, SSN, Mailing Address

Section IIIC: Subpart C: Eligibility Renewal and Retention

CHIP (Title XXI) and Medicaid (Title XIX) Programs

- 1. What additional measures, besides those described in Tables B1 or C1, does your State employ to simplify an eligibility renewal and retain eligible children in CHIP?
- □ Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
- Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
 - How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program?
 [500]

Two. The first notice is either a letter requesting contact OR a renewal form with a cover notice, both with a deadline date; the second is a notice of closure for failure to renew or provide verification requested with an extended deadline date.

- At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) [500]
 - Final reminder notices are sent no later than 10 working days before our agency's end of the business month
- Other, please explain: [500]
- 2. Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology. [7500]

The Administrative Renewal method is the most effective and efficient way to re-enroll Louisiana's LaCHIP recipients. In 2011, Louisiana's Medicaid renewed 49.5% of all LaCHIP cases administratively. This is a significant increase from the amount of cases renewed administratively in 2010 (5.7%).

Section IIIC: Subpart D: Eligibility Data

Table 1. Application Status of Title XXI Children in FFY 2011

States are required to report on questions 1 and 2 in FFY 2011. Reporting on questions 2.a., 2.b., and 2.c. is voluntary in FFY 2011 and FFY 2012. Reporting on questions 2.a., 2.b., and 2.c. is required in 2013. Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the requested percentages.

	Number	Percent	
Total number of title XXI applicants	12716	100	
Total number of application denials	4716	37.1	
a. Total number of procedural denials	610	4.8	
b. Total number of eligibility denials	3728	29.3	

	i. Total number of applicants denied for title XXI and enrolled in title XIX	
c. Total n indicate Already	y included in a full Medicaid case; withdrew from the ation process; already had an existing pending	3

3. Please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data used in this table:

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of title XXI applicants," including those that applied using a joint application form, is defined as the total number of applicants that had an eligibility decision made for title XXI in FFY 2011. This measure is for applicants that have not been previously enrolled in title XXI or they were previously enrolled in title XXI but had a break in coverage, thus requiring a new application. Please include only those applicants that have had a Title XXI eligibility determination made in FFY 2011 (e.g., an application that was determined eligible in September 2011, but coverage was effective October 1, 2011 is counted in FFY 2011).
- 2. The "the total number of denials" is defined as the total number of applicants that have had an eligibility decision made for title XXI and denied enrollment for title XXI in FFY 2011. This definition only includes denials for title XXI at the time of initial application (not redetermination).
 - a. The "total number of procedural denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI procedural reasons in FFY 2011 (i.e., incomplete application, missing documentation, missing enrollment fee, etc.).
 - b. The "total number of eligibility denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI eligibility reasons in FFY 2011 (i.e., income too high, income too low for title XXI /referred for Medicaid eligibility determination/determined Medicaid eligible, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your State's specified waiting period, etc.)
 - i. The total number of applicants that are denied eligibility for title XXI and determined eligible for title XIX
 - c. The "total number of applicants denied for other reasons" is defined as any other type of denial that does not fall into 2a or 2b. Please check the box provided if there are no additional categories.

Table 2. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XXI

For this table, States may voluntarily report in 2011 and 2012. Reporting is required for 2013.

Is the State reporting this data in the 2011 CARTS?

\boxtimes	Yes (complete)	State is reporting <u>all</u> measures in the redetermination table.
	Yes (but incomplete)	Please describe which measures the State did not report on, and why the State did not report on these measures. Explain: [7500]
	No	If the State is not reporting any data, please explain why. Explain: [7500]

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

	Number	Percent			
Total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined	122244	100%			

2.	Total numb	per of children screened for ation	109306	89.42	100%		
3.		per of children retained after the ation process	97785	79.99	89.46		
4.		per of children disenrolled from title XXI edetermination process	11521	9.42	10.54	100%	
		number of children disenrolled from title rapid failure to comply with procedures	1555			13.5	
		number of children disenrolled from title rational from title	9081			78.82	100%
	 i. Disenrolled from title XXI because income too high for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □) 		5362				59.05
	 ii. Disenrolled from title XXI because income too low for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □) 						
	iii. Disenrolled from title XXI because application indicated access to private coverage or obtained private coverage (If unable to provide the data or if you have a title XXI Medicaid expansion and this data is not relevant check here □)		1787				19.68
	iv.	Disenrolled from title XXI for other eligibility reason(s) Please indicate: Max age reached	1752				19.29
	٧.	Moved out of the home					
	vi.	Moved out of state					
	vii.	Originally ineligible when certified					
	viii.	In another certification					
	ix.	Not categorically eligible					
	x. Incarceration						
	xi. Death of Payee						
	xiii. (If unable to provide the data check here						
		number of children disenrolled from title rother reason(s)	825			7.16	

Please indicate: (Check here if there are no additional categories			
□)			

If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any State policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data.

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2011, and did not age out (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total number may include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the State sends the family a renewal form that is pre-populated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes. This total may also include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a State uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility.
- 2. The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the State for redetermination in FFY 2011 (i.e., those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the State, as well as administrative redeterminations and ex parte redeterminations).
- 3. The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2011.
- 4. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from <u>title XXI</u> following the redetermination process in FFY 2011. This includes those children that States may define as "transferred" to Medicaid for title XIX eligibility screening.
 - a. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2011 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
 - b. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for no longer meeting one or more of their State's CHIP eligibility criteria (i.e., income too low, income too high, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your State's specified waiting period, etc.). If possible, please break out the reasons for failure to meet eligibility criteria in i.-iv.
 - c. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI (line 4).

Table 3. Duration Measure of Selected Children, Ages 0-16, Enrolled in Title XXI, Second Quarter FFY 2012

The purpose of this table is to measure title XXI enrollees' duration, or continuity, of public coverage (title XIX and title XXI). This information is required by CHIPRA, Section 402(a). Reporting is not required until 2013, but States will need to identify newly enrolled children in the second quarter of FFY 2012 (January, February, and March of 2011). If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary.

Instructions: For this prospective duration measure, please identify <u>newly enrolled</u> children in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2012, ages 0 months to 16 years at time of enrollment. Children enrolled in January 2012 must have birthdates after July 1995 (e.g., children must be younger than 16 years and 5 months) to ensure that they will not age out of the program at the 18th month of coverage. Similarly, children enrolled in February 2012 must have birthdates after August 1995, and children enrolled in March 2012 must have birthdates after September 1995. Each child newly enrolled during this time frame needs a unique identifier or "flag" so that the cohort can be tracked over time. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary. Please follow the child based on the child's age category at the time of enrollment (e.g., the child's age at enrollment creates an age cohort that does not change over the 18 month time span). Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the percentages.

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for
child enrolled in January 2012, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2011, etc.)

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XXI in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 201)	2,
he/she would not be enrolled in title XXI in December 2011, etc.)	

Du	ration Measure, Title XXI	All Children Ages 0-16		Age Less than 12 months		Ages 1-5		Ages 6-12		Ages 13-16	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%
	in the second quarter of FFY 2012										
Enrollment Status 6 mor		months later									
2.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI										
3.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI										
	3.a. Total number of children enrolled in										

Specify how your "newly enrolled" population is defined:

Medicaid (title XIX)							
during title XXI							
coverage break							
(If unable to provide							
the data, check here							
4. Total number of children							
disenrolled from title XXI							
4.a. Total number of							
children enrolled in							
Medicaid (title XIX)							
after being disenrolled from title							
XXI							
(If unable to provide							
the data, check here							
	T T	Enroll	ment Status 12	months later			
5. Total number of children							
continuously enrolled in							
title XXI							
6. Total number of children							
with a break in title XXI							
coverage but re-enrolled in							
title XXI							
6.a. Total number of							
children enrolled in							
Medicaid (title XIX)							
during title XXI							
coverage break							
(If unable to provide							
the data, check here							
7. Total number of children							
disenrolled from title XXI							
7.a. Total number of							
children enrolled in							
Medicaid (title XIX)							
after being							
disenrolled from title							
XXI							
(If unable to provide							
the data, check here							
the data, check liefe							

	Enroll	ment Status 18	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI						
9. Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI						
9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here						
10. Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI						
10.aTotal number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here						

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2012" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XXI, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- 2. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 6 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through July 2012

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through August 2012
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through September 2012

- 3. The total number who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by July 2012
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by August 2012
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by September 2012
 - 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 4. The total number who disenrolled from title XXI, 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were disenrolled by July 2012
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were disenrolled by August 2012
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were disenrolled by September 2012
 - 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 5. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 12 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were continuously enrolled through January 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were continuously enrolled through February 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were continuously enrolled through March 2013
- 6. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>12 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the <u>12 months</u>, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by January 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by February 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI prior to March 2013
 - 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 7. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XXI 12 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were enrolled in January 2012 and were disenrolled by January 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were enrolled in February 2012 and were disenrolled by February 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were enrolled in March 2012 and were disenrolled by March 2013
 - 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.

- 8. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 18 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were continuously enrolled through July 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were continuously enrolled through August 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were continuously enrolled through September 2013
- 9. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>18 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the <u>18 months</u>, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by July 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by August 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by September 2013
 - 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 10. The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XXI 18 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and disenrolled by July 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and disenrolled by August 2013
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and disenrolled by September 2013

D. COST SHARING

	aggregate maximum in the year?
	a. Cost sharing is tracked by:
	Enrollees (shoebox method) If the State uses the shoebox method, please describe informational tools provided to enrollees to track cost sharing. [7500]
	 ☐ Health Plan(s) ☐ State ☑ Third Party Administrator ☐ N/A (No cost sharing required) ☐ Other, please explain. [7500]
2.	When the family reaches the 5% cap, are premiums, copayments and other cost sharing ceased? [7500] \boxtimes Yes \square No
3.	Please describe how providers are notified that no cost sharing should be charged to enrollees exceeding the 5% cap. [7500]
	When a plan member reaches their annual maximum out of pocket expenditure, they are automatically changed to a no cost sharing plan within the TPA eligibility system. When providers verify coverage, they are provided the plan type which determines whether or not a co-pay is charged.
4.	Please provide an estimate of the number of children that exceeded the 5 percent cap in the State's CHIP program during the Federal fiscal year. [500] 30
5.	Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in CHIP? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	If so, what have you found? [7500]
6.	Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in CHIP? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	If so, what have you found? [7500]
7.	If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of children's health services in CHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]

1. Describe how the State tracks cost sharing to ensure enrollees do not pay more than 5 percent

E. EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE CHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI DEMONSTRATION

1.		s your State offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance gram) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds?
		✓ Yes, please answer questions below.✓ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.
(Child	lren
	\boxtimes	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(3)) Additional Premium Assistance Option under CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(10)) Section 1115 Demonstration (Title XXI) Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906) Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906A)
ļ	Aduli	ts
		Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(10)) Additional Premium Assistance Option under CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(3)) Section 1115 Demonstration (Title XXI) Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid State Plan (1906) Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid State Plan (1906A)
2.	Plea	ase indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
		Parents and Caretaker Relatives Childless Adults Pregnant Women
3.	prog	fly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance gram or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state or employer, who receives the subsidy if a subsidy is provided, etc.) [7500]
	their (b) so of the ather pays	IIPP is the acronym for the Louisiana Health Insurance Premium Payment program and is part of isiana Medicaid. It may pay some or all of the health insurance premiums for an employee and r family if (a) health insurance is available from their job (i.e. Employer Sponsored Insurance-ESI) someone in the family has Medicaid, and (c) it has been determined to be cost-effective. The goal ne program is to reduce the number of the uninsured and lower Medicaid spending by establishing ird party resource as the primary payer of the Medicaid enrollee's medical expenses. Medicaid so only after a third party has met the legal obligation to pay. As a condition of Medicaid eligibility, licants/enrollees or a responsible party must cooperate in identifying and providing information arding the availability of ESI.
4.	Wha	at benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]
	n/a,	coverage is through section 1906
5	Δra	there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package?

	⊠ Yes □ No		
6.	Does the program p	orovide wrap-around coverage	e for benefits?
	⊠ Yes □ No		
7.	Are there any limits	on cost sharing for children in	n your ESI program?
	☐ Yes ☑ No		
8.	Are there any limits	on cost sharing for adults in y	our ESI program?
	☐ Yes ☑ No		
9.	Are there protection premium assistance		(e.g., the 5 percent out-of-pocket maximum) in your
	☐ Yes ☒ No If ye aggregate maximur		sked to ensure it remains within the 5 percent yearly
10.	are used during the	reporting period (provide the	nrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds number of adults enrolled in this program even if covered through a demonstration).
	0	Number of childless adults ev	er-enrolled during the reporting period
	707	Number of adults ever-enrolle	ed during the reporting period
	2848	Number of children ever-enro	lled during the reporting period
11.	. Provide the average assistance program		en and parents ever enrolled in the premium
	Children	2138	
	Parents	462	

12. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]

Identifying the cases most suitable for HIPP and locating the resournces to establish eligibility and enroll, even with a maximum degree of automation, as it is a labor intensive process and difficult for a small staffing unit.

13. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]

The Department contracted the operational responsibility to a contractor. This has been one of our greatest challenges as well. However we have increased the number of cases in our HIPP Program over the past year by over 50%.

14. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. **[7500]**

Contracting the operational responsibility; working closer with providers to assist with outreach of the HIPP program. The providers are on the frontline and they are the ones that notice when a patient drops their private coverage. Our goal is to identify these potential recipients prior to dropping their coverage so that we can inform them about the HIPP program and potentially enroll them in the program.

15. What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured? **[7500]**

None at this point

Children

16. Identify the total state expenditures for providing coverage under your ESI program during the reporting period. **[7500]**

The total amount that the state paid for ESI was \$2,633.081.00

17. Provide the average amount each entity pays towards coverage of the dependent child/parent under your ESI program:

Parent

	State:	6200000			State:		
	Employer:				Employer:		
	Employee:				Employee:		
18.	Indicate the range on behalf of a child	_	monthly o	dollar am	ount of premium assist	ance provided by the	state
	Children	Low 0	High	1550			
	Parents	Low	High				
19.	If you offer a prem	ium assistance p	orogram,	what, if	any, is the minimum en	nployer contribution?	[500]
	At this time, there	is no minimum	required.				
20.		e state's share of	f a premi	um assis	y in determining whethe stance payment must be aid)?		
	⊠ Yes □ No						
21.	Please provide the	e income levels o	of the chi	ldren or	families provided premi	um assistance.	

From

To

	Income level of Children: 0	% of FPL[5]	200	% of FPL[5]							
	Income level of Parents: 0	% of FPL[5]	200	% of FPL[5]							
22.	Is there a required period of uninsu	rance before enrolling	in premiun	n assistance?	[500]						
	☐ Yes ⊠ No										
	If yes, what is the period of uninsura	ance? [500]									
23.	Do you have a waiting list for your p	program?									
	☐ Yes ⊠ No										
24.	Can you cap enrollment for your pro	ogram?									
	☐ Yes ⊠ No										
25.	What strategies has the State found to be effective in reducing administrative barriers to the provision of premium assistance in ESI? [7500] Building relationships with the various employers—helps us obtain the necessary information to determine the cost effectiveness of a cases. Working with hospitals—something it is easier for the hospital to get the necessary information from the recipient in order to process a HIPP application. It can be difficult for HIPP staff to get in touch with the recipient or policy holder.										
Ent	er any Narrative text below. [7500]										
	PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLET . THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAL		D TO SEP	ARATE CHIP	PROGRAMS						
1.	Does your state have a written planfor:	that has safeguards a	and establi	shes methods a	and procedures						
	(1) prevention: \boxtimes Yes \square No										
	(2) investigation: ⊠ Yes ☐ No)									
	(3) referral of cases of fraud an	d abuse? ⊠ Yes □	No								
	Please explain: [7500]										
	Louisiana Medicaid re: LaCHIP authority provided in our Medic	al Assistance Program	Integrity L	.aw (MAPIL) L	A RS 46:437.1 –						

authority provided in our Medical Assistance Program Integrity Law (MAPIL) LA RS 46:437.1 – 440.1 and the Surveillance and Utilization Review System (SURS Rule) Louisiana Register, Vol. 29, No. 04, April 20, 2003 pp. 583-604 as our general procedures. Specific procedures and processes are covered in the SURS Manual. Procedures can also be found in the Provider Enrollment application: PE 50 & Addendum and our MOU with the Attorney General's Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

service department, the plan administration section, the eligibility department, the claims processing department, the provider contracting department and law enforcement all work with different aspects of fraud prevention. The legal division is primarily responsible for investigation and fraud referral.

	Do managed ☐ Yes ☐ No	health care plans with which your program contracts have written plans?
	Please Expla	ain: [500]
2.	For the reporting	period, please report the
		Number of fair hearing appeals of eligibility denials
		Number of cases found in favor of beneficiary
3.		period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, and abuse in the following areas:
	a. Provider Cred	dentialing
	42	Number of cases investigated
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	b. Provider Billir	ng
	86	Number of cases investigated
	2	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	c. Beneficiary E	ligibility
	0	Number of cases investigated
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Are these cases	for:
	CHIP 🛛	
	Medicaid and	d CHIP Combined

Does your state rely on contractors to perform the above functions?
Yes, please answer question below.
No
If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors? Please explain: [7500]
Do you contract with managed care health plans and/or a third party contractor to provide this oversight?
☐ Yes
No
Please explain: [500]
DENTAL BENEFITS - Reporting is required in 2010 CARTS
Is the State reporting this data in the 2011 CARTS?
Yes If yes, then please complete G1 and G2. No If the State is not reporting data, please explain why. Explain: [7500]
1. Information on Dental Care for CHIP Children (Include all delivery types, i.e. MCO, PCCM, FFS).
Data for this table are based from the definitions provided on the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) Report (Form CMS-416)
 a. Annual Dental Participation Table for CHIP Enrolled Children (Include children receiving full CHIP benefits and supplemental benefits).

State: LA				Age Group			
FFY: LA	Total	< 1	1-2*	3-5	6-9	10-14	15-18
Total Enrollees	191936	3865	7723	43408	51185	51135	30285

Receiving Any Dental Services ¹							
Total Enrollees Receiving Preventive Dental Services ²	138296	2739	5501	36462	43696	42663	7210
Total Enrollees Receiving Dental Treatment Services ³	63317	156	995	11295	18378	17143	13157

^{*}Includes 12-month visit

¹Total Eligibles Receiving Any Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D0100 - D9999 (CDT codes D0100 - D9999).

²Total Eligibles Receiving Preventive Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one preventive dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 -(CDT codes D1000 - D1999).

³Total Eligibles Receiving Dental Treatment Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one treatment service by or under the supervision of a dentist, as defined by HCPCS codes D2000 - D9999 (CDT codes D2000 - 09999).

 For the age grouping that includes children 8 years of age, what is the number of such children who have received a protective sealant on at least one permanent molar tooth⁴? [7]

7296

⁴Receiving a Sealant on a Permanent Molar Tooth -- Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for 90 continuous days and in the age category of 6-9 who received a sealant on a permanent molar tooth regardless of whether the sealant was provided by a dentist or a non-dentist, as defined by HCPCS code D1351 (CDT code D1351).

2.	Does the State provide supplemental dental coverage? Yes	⊠ No
	If yes, how many children are enrolled? [7]	
	What percent of the total amount of children have supplemental de	ental coverage? [5]

SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2011. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.*)

COST OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	2011	2012	2013
Insurance payments	0	0	0
Managed Care	0	0	0
Fee for Service	212950230	216443301	222902669
Total Benefit Costs	212950230	216443301	222902669
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	-305578	-358795	-379756
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 212644652	\$ 216084506	\$ 222522913

Administration Costs

Personnel	2372375	2428426	2384905
General Administration	9730227	9960119	9781619
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	165441	169350	166315
Claims Processing	1050906	1075735	1056456
Outreach/Marketing costs	1693992	1734016	1702939
Other (e.g., indirect costs)	0	0	0
Health Services Initiatives	0	0	0
Total Administration Costs	15012941	15367646	15092234
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	23627184	24009390	24724768

Federal Title XXI Share	169673204	172501289	177094569
State Share	57984389	58950863	60520578

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN	227657593	231452152	237615147

2. V	٧r	nat we	re tr	ne s	source	es o	t non-	-Fed	deral	tunc	ling	used	tor :	Stat	te ma	atch	during	j the	re	portir	ıg	period	?
------	----	--------	-------	------	--------	------	--------	------	-------	------	------	------	-------	------	-------	------	--------	-------	----	--------	----	--------	---

\boxtimes	State appropriations
	County/local funds
	Employer contribution
\boxtimes	Foundation grants
	Private donations
	Tobacco settlement
	Other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in CHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough Federal CHIP funds for your program? [1500]

No

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month cost rounded to a whole number. If you have CHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	20	11	20	12	2013			
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles \$ PMPM			
Managed Care	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0		
Fee for Service	124611	\$ 142	121322	\$ 149	119678	\$ 155		

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

The number of eligibles in the chart above is for the last month at the end of each of the three Federal fiscal years.

SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY CHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

1. If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with CHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	CHIP	Non-HIFA Demonst	ration Eligibility	HIFA \	Naiver Demonstra	ation Eligibility
		* Upper %	% of FPL are defined	as Up to	and Including	
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *

al number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your ion during the reporting period.
 Number of children ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
 Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
 Number of pregnant women ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
 Number of childless adults ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration (*Only report for 1 st Quarter of the FFY)

- **3.** What have you found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care of children? You are required to evaluate the effectiveness of your demonstration project, so report here on any progress made in this evaluation, specifically as it relates to enrollment, retention, and access to care for children. **[1000]**
- 4. Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2011 starts 10/1/2010 and ends 9/30/2011).*

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2011	2012	2012	2014	2015
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2 (e.g., parents)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2			
			-
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Total Benefit Costs			
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting			
Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Administration Costs			
Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (specify)			
Total Administration Costs			
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)			
Federal Title XXI Share			
State Share			
TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION			

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)? [500]

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations. [7500]
--

Other notes relevant to the budget: [7500]

SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted CHIP. [7500]

The budgetary environment is challenging as it relates to healthcare coverage for low income, uninsured children through CHIP and Medicaid due to the state's projected deficits. Budget constraints continue to result in the deferment of expanding children's coverage to 300% FPL although the legislative authority exists. Louisiana has not opted to implement the new CHIPRA option to cover legal immigrant children.

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? [7500]

Major challenges continue to be adequate resources due to staffing cuts and an increased workload. Although the LaCHIP and Medicaid eligibility operation in Louisiana remains nimble as a result of virtual work processes, challenges related to staffing remain. Specifically, Louisiana has implemented two waiver programs that have placed a significant burden on field eligibility staff and impacted their ability to process applications for children's health coverage as quickly as they did in the past.

3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]

Louisiana eligibility caseworkers continued to close an extremely low percent (1.08%) of CHIP children at renewal for procedural reasons (failure to complete renewal process, unable to locate, etc.). This impacts not only overall enrollment numbers but stability and continuity of coverage for eligible children.

For the past six years DHH has funded 11 local initiatives throughout the state to provide outreach and enrollment assistance through Louisiana Covering Kids & Families. The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's (RWJF) Covering Kids & Families model of funding organizations who then engage local stakeholders in regional coalitions has worked well in Louisiana. However, due to recent budget constraints, contracts with these organizations are being phased out.

Louisiana also continued its Maximizing Enrollment grant activities, which are funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. These grant funds provide support to eight state grantees for systems improvements to improve the enrollment and retention of children in public health coverage.

Louisiana continued to utilize Express Lane Eligibility (ELE), authorized by Congress in 2009, to enroll children receiving benefits through the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). In addition to initial enrollment, Louisiana took advantage of the technological savings afforded by ELE to renew children's certifications. Approximately 23,599 children have been enrolled using ELE and approximately 14,447 cases have been renewed via ELE. This process provides a time savings to field eligibility staff.

4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your CHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. **[7500]**

Enrollees in the LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase V) will receive dental benefits effective February 1, 2012. Benefits will include Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) in the schedule currently approved for Louisiana's CHIP (Phases I-III) and Medicaid children. These dental benefits are a requirement of the 2009 CHIP Reauthorization Act and are being offered to increase services provided and improve health care accessibility for children enrolled in LAP.

In December of 2011, Louisiana began enrolling recipients in the Greater New Orleans area into BAYOU HEALTH, a new patient-centered managed care framework that replaces Louisiana's outdated fee-for-service system. BAYOU HEALTH will offer five statewide health plans that will give enrollees a choice in their healthcare delivery system. Enrollees in the remainder of the state will be enrolled in BAYOU HEALTH during the first half of 2012. Implementation of BAYOU HEALTH will help to improve health outcomes, reduce strains on the state budget, fight fraud and abuse, and offer safe, accessible and sustainable health care for Medicaid recipients and low-income uninsured citizens.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]