FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e) of the Social Security Act (the Act) provide that each state and territory* must assess the operation of its state child health plan in each federal fiscal year and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the state must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The state is out of compliance with CHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The state is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the state's program is incomplete.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the diversity of state approaches to CHIP and allow states flexibility to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their CHIP programs, AND
- Provide consistency across states in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI

The CHIP Annual Report Template System (CARTS) is organized as follows:

- Section I: Snapshot of CHIP Programs and Changes
- Section II: Program's Performance Measurement and Progress
- Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation
- Section IV: Program Financing for State Plan
- Section V: Program Challenges and Accomplishments

* - When "state" is referenced throughout this template it is defined as either a state or a territory.

*Disclosure. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, write to: CMS, 7500 Security Blvd., Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Terr	itory:	ory: Louisiana (Name of State/Territory)						
Security A	ct (Section 210	oort is submitted 98(a) and Section	•		I of the Social			
Signature:			Ruth Renn	euy				
CHIP Prog Name(s):	gram	LaCHIP						
CHIP Prog	gram Type:							
		CHIP Medicaid E Separate Child H Combination of th	ealth Program	Only				
Reporting Period:	2015		Note: Federal 10/1/2014 and					
Contact Person/Tit	le: R	uth Kennedy/Me	dicaid Directo	or				
	628 North Fo	•	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>				
Addi ooo.	020 110111110							
City:	Baton Rouge	State:	Louisiana	Zip:	70802			
Phone:	225-342-9240		Fax: 225- 3	342-9508				
Email:	Ruth.kenned	y@la.gov						
Submissio (Due to your C		B/2015 ontact and Central	Office Project Of	ficer by Janu	ary 1 st of each year)			

Section I: Snapshot of CHIP Program and Changes

1) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your CHIP program, please provide the following information. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

[Check box] Provide an assurance that your state's CHIP program eligibility criteria as set forth in the CHIP state plan in section 4, inclusive of PDF pages related to Modified Adjusted Gross Income eligibility, is accurate as of the date of this report.

Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., **[500]** are character limits in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

	CHIP Me	dicaid Expansion Program	Separate Child Health Program					
	* Upper % of FPL (federal poverty level) fields are defined as Up to and Includin							
_								
		No		No				
		Yes		Yes				

Does your program require premiums or an enrollment fee?

	Yes			\boxtimes	Yes					
	nent fee ount					ent fee amount				
Premiun	n amount			Prem	ium :	um amount \$50/M per household				
If premium by FPL.	s are tiered	by FPL, please	breakout	If premiu			ed by	FPL	., please	
Premium Amount				Premium Amount						
Range from	Range to	From	То	Range from	R to	Range O	Fro	m		То
\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$		% of	FPL		% c FPI
\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$		% of	FPL		% c FPI
\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	·	% of	FPL		% c FPI
\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	·	% of	FPL		% c
If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL. If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL. If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL.										
Premium /	Maximum Amount per mily	\$		Premiur	Yearly Maximum Premium Amount per \$600 Family					
Range from	Range t	o From	То	Rang from		Ran	ge to		From	То

	\$.		\$	% of FPL	% c	of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% c FPI
	\$		\$	% of FPL	% c	of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% c
	\$.		\$	% of FPL	% c	of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% c FPI
	\$.		\$	% of FPL	% c	of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% c FPI
	ı	f yes, bri	efly explain fe belo		the b	юх	below (inc	y explain fee luding premic nd include fec where appro	ım/enrollmen leral poverty l	t fee
	[50	00]					[500]			
			N/A				□ N/A	1		
		r				,	•			
		Manage	ed Care				Managed Ca	are		
		Primary	Care Case N	Management			Primary Car	e Case Mana	gement	
		Fee for	Service			\boxtimes	Fee for Serv	ice		
Which delivery system(s) does your program use?		se descr ery syste	ibe which gro em [500]	ups receive w	/hich	Bayo health are p Bene Ambu 1915 Beha fee-fo	primary deliver u Health the s n care delivery rovided throug fit Manager op ulatory Health (b) waiver aut vioral Analysis or-service deliv y care.	tate's full-risk model. Dent gh a single De perating as a Plan (PAHP) nority. Applied s is provided	MCO cal benefits ental Prepaid with d through the	

2) Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking the appropriate column.

For FFY 2015, please include <u>only</u> the program changes that are in addition to and/or beyond those required by the Affordable Care Act.

		Medicaid Expansion CHIP Program			Separate Child Health Program			
		Yes	No Change	N/A	Yes	No Change	N/A	
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
b)	Application		\boxtimes			\boxtimes		
c)	Benefits					\boxtimes		

			Medicaid Expansion CHIP Program				C	Separate Child Healt Program	h
			Yes	No Change	N/A	•	Yes	No Change	N/A
d)	Cost sharing (including	g amounts, populations, & collection process)							\boxtimes
e)	Crowd out policies							\boxtimes	
f)	Delivery system								
g)	Eligibility determinatio	n process		\boxtimes				\boxtimes	
h)	Implementing an enro	Ilment freeze and/or cap							\boxtimes
i)	Eligibility levels / targe	et population							
j)	Eligibility redeterminat	ion process						\boxtimes	
k)	Enrollment process fo	r health plan selection	\boxtimes				\boxtimes		
l)	Outreach (e.g., decrea	ase funds, target outreach)						\boxtimes	
m)	Premium assistance								
n)		y expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)							\boxtimes
0)	Expansion to "Lawfully	y Residing" children							\boxtimes
p)	Expansion to "Lawfully	y Residing" pregnant women							\boxtimes
q)	Pregnant Women stat	e plan expansion							\boxtimes
r)	Methods and procedu and abuse	res for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud						\boxtimes	
s)	Other – please specify	/							
	a.	[50]							
	b.	[50]							
	C.	[50]							
	3) For each made, be	topic you responded "yes" to above, please explain the celow:	hange	and why t	the cha	nge	was		

a) Applicant and enrollee protections	
(e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	
b) Application	
b) Application	
c) Benefits	
c) Benefits	
e) Cost sharing (including amounts, populations,	
&collection process)	
domodion process,	
f) Crowd out policies	
i) Crowd out policies	
g) Delivery system	
h) Eligibility determination process	
i) Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap	
j) Eligibility levels / target population	
m) Eligibility redetermination process	
	Effective February 1, 2015, newly eligible Medicaid members are linked o a
n) Enrollment process for health plan selection	health plan from the begin date of their Medicaid eligibility. Applicants
	have the opportunity to choose a health plan on the Medicaid application.
	If a choice is not made on the application, the enrollment broker (Maximus)
	will auto assign a plan. Enrollees have 90 days to change plans. Any
	changes will become effective the month following this selection. The
	reason for the change is to accelerate access to the services and extra
	benefits to members available through MCOs but not available through the
	fee-for-service delivery model.
p) Outreach	
q) Premium assistance	
r) Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10,	
457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as	
described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)	

s) Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children	
t) Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
u) Pregnant Women state plan expansion	
a) Tregnant Wemen state plan expansion	
w) Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation,	
and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
x) Other – please specify	
a. [50]	
a. [30]	
b. [50]	
D. [30]	
o [50]	
c. [50]	

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

Section II: Program's Performance Measurement and Progress

This section consists of two subsections that gather information about the CHIP and/or Medicaid program. Section IIA captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your state. Section IIB captures progress towards meeting your state's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

Section IIA: Enrollment And Uninsured Data

1. The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in CHIP in your state for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 (Unduplicated # Ever Enrolled Year) in your state's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the CHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by CARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2014	FFY 2015	Percent change FFY 2014-2015
CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	125,748	121,913	-3.1%
Separate Child Health Program	10,515	4,126	-60.8%

- A. Two significant factors contributed to the decrease in the Separate Child Health Program; SIPP Income Conversion and ending the Delayed Renewals under the 1902(e)(14)(A) Waiver.
 - The SIPP Income conversion compressed the income band from 200 250% of FPL to 212 250% FPL, therefore causing movement of enrollees to the CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program. In turn, there was also movement from CHIP Medicaid Expansion to Medicaid because of the conversion.
 - With some exceptions, Louisiana had delayed CHIP renewals in 2014 but began processing them again in 2015 resulting in loss of coverage or movement to another coverage group.
- 2. The tables below show trends in the number and rate of uninsured children in your state. Three year averages in Table 1 are based on the Current Population Survey. The single year estimates in Table 2 are based on the American Community Survey (ACS). CARTS will fill in this information automatically, and significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3.

Table 1: Number and percent of uninsured children under age 19 below 200 percent of poverty, Current Population Survey

	Uninsured Childro Below 200 Pero		Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a Percent of Total Children Under Age 19			
Period	Number (In Thousands)	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error		
1996-1998	175	26.6	14.6	2.2		
1998-2000	161	25.8	13.7	2.0		
2000-2002	123	18.6	9.7	1.4		
2002–2004	106	17.5	8.6	1.4		
2003–2005	88	15.7	7.3	1.3		
2004–2006	85	15.0	7.4	1.3		

2005–2007	91	16.0	8.0	1.4
2006-2008	102	17.0	9.0	1.4
2007-2009	87	16.0	7.4	1.3
2008-2010	76	13.0	6.3	1.0
2009-2011	73	16.0	6.1	1.3
2010-2012	75	17.0	6.3	0
2013	42	5.0	3.6	.4

Table 2: Number and percent of uninsured children under age 19 below 200 percent of poverty, American Community Survey

		en Under Age 19 cent of Poverty	Below 200 Pe	ildren Under Age 19 rcent of Poverty as a Children Under Age 19
Period	Number (In Thousands)	Margin of Error	Rate	Margin of Error
2013	42	5.0	3.6	.4
2014	35	4.0	3.1	.4
Percent change 2013 vs. 2014	0	N/A	0	N/A

A. Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

The State of Louisiana has taken deliberate actions since the inception of LaCHIP to slowly but surely reduce the number of uninsured children. This data illustrates how effective the phased-in approach of the eligibility expansion model has been, as well as the focus on simplification of policies to keep children enrolled. This has resulted in Louisiana being ahead of the curve on this very important healthcare indicator.

B. Please note any comments here concerning ACS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

While the CPS has very small sample sizes, the ACS has a very large sample for Louisiana. However, we are able to better customize the question wording to get more accurate data (things like specifically referring to "Bayou Health") and we are also able to do a Medicaid bias correction that accounts for survey misreporting. In order to obtain more reliable state specific data we commissioned a household insurance survey by LSU, our state's flagship university.

3.	Please indicate by checking the box below whether your state has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.
	∑ Yes (please report your data in the table below)
	☐ No (skip to Question #4)
DIO	sace report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	Louisiana Health Insurance Survey conducted by Economics & Policy Research Group in partnership with the LSU Public Policy Research Lab.
Reporting period (2 or more points in time)	Initial survey conducted during Summer 2003 and adapted in Summer 2005, Summer 2007, Summer 2009, Summer 2011, Summer 2013 and Summer 2015
Methodology	The Louisiana Health Insurance Survey (LHIS), a biennial survey designed to assess Louisiana's uninsured populations, consist of three separate surveys: a full telephone survey, a shortened cell phone survey, and a targeted Medicaid bias survey. Results are based on telephone surveys of 8,000 Louisiana households, which represents over 20,000 residents, chosen using stratified random sampling, where strata are chosen to ensure that our results measure the number of uninsured in across key demographic groups. A minimum of 2,000 household are contacted via mobile phone. The analysis portion of this project will estimate the Medicaid bias in LHIS based on 500 randomly selected Medicaid recipients and construct a forecasting model to incorporate LHIS, CPS, Medicaid enrollment data and economic data. The forecasting model will be updated semi-annually. Overall, at least 8,500 interviews will be conducted.
Population (Please include ages and income levels)	All Louisiana households, Population estimate by Louisiana State Census Data Center July 1, 2014 – 4,649,676.
Sample sizes	8,000 Louisiana households
Number and/or rate for two or more points in time	11.1% of all children were uninsured in 2003. This number decreased to 7.6% in 2005, to 5.4% in 2007, to 5.0% in 2009, to 3.5% in 2011, to 4.4% in 2013, but has slightly decreased to an estimated 3.9% in 2015.
Statistical significance of results	The margin error from the LHIS (meaning all of the data) is approximately 0.4 for the uninsured rate among kids.

A. Please explain why your state chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

Prior to this study, estimates of the number of non-elderly uninsured in Louisiana were based on Current Population Survey's "Annual Social and Economic Supplement" (also referred to as the "March Supplement"). While the Current Population Survey (CPS) estimates have been invaluable as the only consistent longitudinal, statewide estimates of the uninsured, they have historically been limited in terms of the overall sample size for any given state and the geographical distribution of respondents. The CPS has since addressed some of these concerns by increasing the number of households included in the sample and diversifying the strata from which these households are drawn. While the increase in sample size makes the CPS a better estimate of statewide uninsured populations than it had been previously, it remains limited in its capacity to generate regional and parish-level estimates.

This study also addresses what health researchers have long known—that a substantial proportion of Medicaid enrollees misreport their insurance status, often reporting themselves (or their families) as uninsured or as having private insurance. The consequence of this undercount is that survey-based estimates of the uninsured often include respondents who are actually covered through Medicaid or LaCHIP. That is, they overstate uninsured rates. Because Louisiana has a high proportion of respondents who are enrolled in Medicaid, particularly children enrolled in Medicaid or LaCHIP, the consequences of the Medicaid undercount are likely to be more substantial in Louisiana (and in other Southern states) than has been reported in the existing literature.

B. What is your state's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? Please provide standard errors, confidence intervals, and/or p-values if available.

The general confidence interval estimated from the sample size provides a meaningful estimate of statistical significance. Given the sample sizes, we have more confidence in the regional estimates and scale the parish-level estimates so that the regional totals match those from the full report. Our confidence in survey research resides not in individual point estimates but rather in confidence intervals around which we can be reasonably certain the true population parameter resides. The 2015 Survey was designed in such a way as to assure large samples by regional demographic characteristics such that we could have reasonably high confidence in our estimates. Quarterly updates of this survey also ensure the most recent and relevant data is available. The standard error rate for 2015 is approximately 0.4.

C. What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?

None that we are aware of at this time.

D. How does your state use this alternate data source in CHIP program planning?

State officials plan to use the data from this survey to target hard-to-reach eligible children for enrollment into LaCHIP, while at the same time make informed decisions about how to focus on policy to build coverage options for those subsets of children who remain uninsured.

Section IIB: State Strategic Objectives And Performance Goals

This subsection gathers information on your state's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your CHIP state plan. (If your goals reported in the annual report now differ from Section 9 of your CHIP state plan, please indicate how they differ in "Other Comments on Measure." Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your state with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- CHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- · Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2013 and FFY 2014) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS. If you reported data in the two previous years' reports and you want to update/change the data, please enter that data. If you reported no data for either of those two years, but you now have data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2015).

In this section, the term performance measure is used to refer to any data your state provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are state-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Section IIA above or for Child Core Set Reporting. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your state did not report elsewhere.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. **All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example goal would be:</u> "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of CHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13th birthday."**

Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

CHIP Annual Report Template - FFY 2015

- New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.
- <u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal.
 Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

<u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the
data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you
finalize them for FFY 2015.

<u>Explanation of Provisional Data</u> – When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the state must specify why the data are provisional and when the state expects the data will be final.

- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2015.
- Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your state reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which states may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®).

Please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications or another source. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed.

HEDIS® Version:

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2014). This field must be be completed only when a user select the HEDIS® measurement specification.

"Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected.

Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and CHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

CHIP Annual Report Template - FFY 2015

Definition of Population Included in Measure:

Numerator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

Denominator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure.

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please

- check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.
- If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator. For example, denominator includes only children enrolled in managed care in certain counties, technological limitations preventing reporting on the full population defined, etc.). Please report information on exclusions in the definition of the denominator (including the proportion of children excluded), The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators across the states and over time can occur.

Deviations from Measure Specification

For the measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care.

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that states must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected..

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

- Year of Data (e.g., partial year),
- Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems),
- Numerator (e.g., coding issues),
- Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment),
- Other.

When one or more of the types are selected, states are required to provide an explanation.

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the period in which enrollment or utilization took place. Do not report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Date Range: available for 2015 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do not report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

CHIP Annual Report Template - FFY 2015

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators, rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator). The reporting unit for each measure is the state as a whole. If states calculate rates for multiple reporting units (e.g., individual health plans, different health care delivery systems), States must aggregate data from all these sources into one State rate before reporting the data to CMS. In the situation where a state combines data across multiple reporting units, all or some of which use the hybrid method to calculate the rates, the state should enter zeroes in the "Numerator" and "Denominator" fields. In these cases, it should report the state-level rate in the "Rate" field and, when possible, include individual reporting unit numerators, denominators, and rates in the field labeled "Additional Notes on Measure," along with a description of the method used to derive the state-level rate.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your state to highlight progress and describe any quality-improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. Any quality-improvement activity described should involve the CHIP program, benefit CHIP enrollees, and relate to the performance measure and your progress. An example of a quality-improvement activity is a state-wide initiative to inform individual families directly of their children's immunization status with the goal of increasing immunization rates. CHIP would either be the primary lead or substantially involved in the project. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality-improvement plans. In this section, your state is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 21016, 2017 and 2018. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2013 through 2015), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your state set for the year, as well as any quality-improvement activities that have helped or could help your state meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations, plans to report on a measure in the future, or differences between performance measures reported here and those discussed in Section 9 of the CHIP state plan.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 & 3)

FFY 2013	ninsured Children (Do not report data that was re FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Cour in I (Bosonise)	33 7 1 (30001130)	Continue to impact the rate of uninsured children in
		Louisiana through enrollment of families potentially
		eligible for LaCHIP. Prevent a reduction in the number
		of children covered as of the end of FFY15 thus
		decreasing the number of uninsured eligible children by
		Oct. 1, 2016.
		., 20.00
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
∑ Final.	⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.		⊠ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: this measure calculates net	Definition of denominator: this measure calculates net	Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net
change, not a rate; therefeore a denominator is not	change, not a rate; therefeore a denominator is not	change, not a rate; therefeore a denominator is not
applicable	applicable	applicable
applicable	αρριισανί ο	αρριισανί ο
Definition of numerator: this measure calculates net	Definition of numerator: this measure calculates	Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net
change, not a rate; therefeore a denominator is not	net change, not a rate; therefeore a denominator is not	change, not a rate; therefeore a denominator is not
applicable	applicable	applicable
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2015
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Describe what is being measured: Net change of children enrolled in LaCHIP at the end of FFY12 from the number enrolled at the end of FFY13. Actual enrollment increased by 1347 children. Numerator: Denominator:	Describe what is being measured: Net change of children enrolled in LaCHIP at the end of FFY13 from the number enrolled at the end of FFY14. Actual enrollment increased by 848 children to a total of 124070.	Describe what is being measured: Net change of children enrolled in LaCHIP at the end of FFY14 from the number enrolled at the end of FFY15. Actual enrollment increased by 1,038 children to a total of 125,108.
Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? Louisiana exceeded our objective of preventing greater than 5% decline by having a net increase of 0.99% in enrollment

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued simplified application/renewal processes and focused on minimizing the number of closures due to procedural reasons.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2016 in Title XXI CHIP

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2017 in Title XXI CHIP

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: To prevent more than a 5% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2018 in Title XXI CHIP

Explain how these objectives were set: Based on current year enrollment data and the proportion of remaining uninsured children in the income group per the 2015 LHIS which we are targeting to add every fiscal year. A 12 month trending model of actual enrollment for this group was also used.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 & 3) (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
(((
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
·	•	·
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2013

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 & 3) (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
, ,	, ,	,
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	☐ Other. Specify:	☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2013 FFY 2014 FFY 2015 **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #1 (Describe) Prevent reduction in the number	Goal #1 (Describe) Prevent reduction in the number	Goal #1 (Describe) Prevent reduction in the number
of children covered in LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase	of children covered in LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase	of children covered in LaCHIP Affordable Plan (Phase
(V)	V)	V)
,	,	,
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	□ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
\square Final.	│ ☑ Final.	☑ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
		Description of the second
Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net	Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net	Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net
change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not	change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not	change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not
applicable.	applicable.	applicable.
Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net	Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net	Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net
change, not a rate; therefore a numerator is not	change, not a rate; therefore a numerator is not	change, not a rate; therefore a numerator is not
applicable.	applicable.	applicable.
	арріїодоїо.	арріїодоїо.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2013	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2015

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Described what is being measured:	Increase enrollment in separate CHIP/Phase V for	Increase enrollment in separate CHIP/Phase V for
Increase enrollment in separate CHIP/Phase V for	children between 201-250% FPL at a point in time.	children between 201-250% FPL at a point in time.
children between 201-250% FPL at a point in time.	Subtract the number of children enrolled in separate	Subtract the number of children enrolled in separate
Subtract the number of children enrolled in separate	CHIP at the end of FFY13 from the number enrolled at	CHIP at the end of FFY14 from the number enrolled at
CHIP at the end of FFY12 from the number enrolled at	the end of FFY14. Actual enrollment decreased by 380	the end of FFY15. Actual enrollment decreased by 84
the end of FFY13. Actual enrollment increased by 373	children.	children.
children.		
	Numerator: 0	Numerator: 0
Numerator: 0	Denominator: 0	Denominator: 0
Denominator: 0	Rate:	Rate:
Rate:		
Additional notes on measure: This measure calculates net	Additional notes on measure: This measure calculates net	Additional notes on measure: This measure calculates net
change, not a rate; therefore a denominator and numerator	change, not a rate; therefore a denominator and numerator	change, not a rate; therefore a denominator and numerator
are not applicable.	are not applicable.	are not applicable.

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? Louisiana met it's goal of preventing more than a 5% decline in enrollment with an actual decline of 2.8% for the year.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Continued simplified application/renewal processes and the focus on minimizing the number of closures due to procedural reasons.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: To prevent more than a 10% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2016 in Title XXI CHIP.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: To prevent more than a 10% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2017 in Title XXI CHIP.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: To prevent more than a 10% decline in enrollment by Oct 1, 2018 in Title XXI CHIP.

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure: Due to MAGI conversion of the applicable Federal Poverty Levels, the window of eligibility for the CHIP Phase V group shrunk by 12 percentage points which effectively made more children eligible for the CHIP Expansion Phase IV group. The lower limit went 201% to 217% while the upper limit increased from 250% to 255% (These figures include the 5% disregard, with the expectation that the numbers will stabilize once the 2015 renewals are completed.

Objectives Related CHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
	(2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	(2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Type of Objective:	Type of Objective:	Type of Objective:
☐ New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
☐ Survey data. Specify:	☐ Survey data. Specify:	☐ Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	☐ Other. Specify:	☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
B / B		
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
A LUCY	ALEC	ALEC
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2013

Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator.		Delimition of numerator.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #1 (Describe) Reduce the rate of uninsured children in Louisiana through enrollment of families potentially eligible for Medicaid. Identify and enroll a net addition of 348 uninsured eligible children by October 1, 2013 in Title XIX Medicaid programs.	Goal #1 (Describe) Reduce the rate of uninsured children in Louisiana through enrollment of families potentially eligible for Medicaid.	Goal #1 (Describe) Reduce the rate of uninsured children in Louisiana through enrollment of families potentially eligible for Medicaid. This will be achieved by preventing a reduction of 3% or greater in the number of enrolled eligible children.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	□ Continuing.	□ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously	report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously	report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not applicable.	Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not applicable.	Definition of denominator: This measure calculates net change, not a rate; therefore a denominator is not applicable.
Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net change, not a rate; therefore a numerator is not applicable.	Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net change, not a rate; therefore a numerator is not applicable.	Definition of numerator: This measure calculates net change, not a rate; therefore a numerator is not applicable.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2013	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2015

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured: Net change of	Describe what is being measured: Net change of	Describe what is being measured: Net change of
children enrolled in Medicaid at a point in time. Subtract	children enrolled in Medicaid at a point in time. Subtract	children enrolled in Medicaid at a point in time. Subtract
the number of children enrolled at the end of FFY12	the number of children enrolled at the end of FFY13	the number of children enrolled at the end of FFY14
from the number enrolled in Medicaid at the end of	from the number enrolled in Medicaid at the end of	from the number enrolled in Medicaid at the end of
FFY13. Actual net enrollment decreased by 1,376.	FFY14. Actual net enrollment increased by 14,659.	FFY15. Actual net enrollment increased by 25,187.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 0
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 0
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? Louisiana exceeded its objective of preventing more than a 3% decline in enrollment of eligible children with a net increase of 25,187 Medicaid children.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The availability of insurance for parents through the Affordable Care Act, coupled with the requirement of enrollment of children into Medicaid/CHIP prior to the MarketPlace, appears to have captured those who may not have previously applied for Medicaid.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: To have a 1% increase in enrollment by Oct 1, 2016 in Medicaid Title XIX Program.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: To have a 1% increase in enrollment by Oct 1, 2017 in Medicaid Title XIX Program.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: To have a 1% increase in enrollment by Oct 1, 2018 in Medicaid Title XIX Program.

Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were set based on a 12 month trending model of actual enrollment for this group, but also on the realization of possibly losing children due to completing renewals for this group which had been delayed for calendar year 2014.

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
5.6 %		
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Data Banga	Data Banga	Data Banga
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy) Performance Measurement Data:
	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Describe what is being measured:	1	1
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2013

Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
5 6 76 (1)	B C C C	B # 10 1 1 1 1
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerators	Definition of numerotons	Definition of mumorators
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
rate.	Nate.	Tale.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Objectives Related Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
,	,	,
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Final.	Final.
	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported: Measurement Specification:	reported: Measurement Specification:	reported: Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
☐ Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of decreasing to a	Definition of demands of the	Definition of demonstrates
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title
XIX).	XIX).	XIX).
AIA).	AIA).	AIA).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected
above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please
indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
☐ Year of Data, Explain	☐ Year of Data, Explain	☐ Year of Data, Explain
☐ Data Source, Explain	☐ Data Source, Explain	☐ Data Source, Explain
Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain
Denominator, Explain	☐ Denominator, Explain	☐ Denominator, Explain
Other, Explain	Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Addication	Additional categories	Additional categories
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?		
,		•
What quality improvement activities that involving improve your results for this measure, or make	e the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help en	nhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	progress toward your gour.	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	e in improving the completeness or accuracy of your i	eporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported: Measurement Specification:	reported: Measurement Specification:	reported: Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify Version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	□ I IEDIO. Opecity version of FIEDIO dised.	Other. Explain:
	□Other. Explain:	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
☐ Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
D1efinition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title
XIX).	XIX).	XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected
above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please
indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:
maiodo tro numbor or ornaren exoluted.	maiodio dio numboi oi oiliaion exeludea.	maiodio the number of emidren excidued.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain
Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain
Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain
Other, Explain	Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?		
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistant	e in improving the completeness or accuracy of your	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: Measurement Specification:	reported: Measurement Specification:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:		Other. Explain:
	□Other. Explain:	Опет. Explain.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title
XIX).	XIX).	XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected
above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please
indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:
maioato mo nambor or orniaron exercica.	maiodio dio numboi di dillutori exciduda.	indicate the number of enhancer excluded.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
☐ Year of Data, Explain	☐ Year of Data, Explain	☐ Year of Data, Explain
☐ Data Source, Explain	☐ Data Source, Explain	☐ Data Source, Explain
☐ Numerator, Explain	☐ Numerator, Explain	☐ Numerator, Explain
☐ Denominator, Explain	☐ Denominator, Explain	☐ Denominator, Explain
Other, Explain	Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2015 compare with	th the Annual Performance Objective documented in y	our 2014 Annual Report?
	e the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help e	nnance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	progress toward your goal?	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistant	e in improving the completeness or accuracy of your	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously	report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously	report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify Version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
	Gotton Explain.	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of demandant	Definition of demandington.	Definition of donominators
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title
XIX).	XIX).	XIX).
AIA).	AIA).	AIA).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected
above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please
indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain
Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain
Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain
Other, Explain	Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
A LUC	A L Poi	A L P.C.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?		
Miles and the leaves and a divides that investo	- the OUID and annual bounds OUID annual and belong	abana a casa abilita ta manant an thia manana
	e the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help en	nnance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	progress toward your goal?	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistant	e in improving the completeness or accuracy of your	reporting of the data
l lease maidate now one might be of assistant	to in improving the completeness of accuracy of your	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:		
·		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
□Other. Explain:		Опет. Explain.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title
XIX).	XIX).	XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition colored	If denominator is a subset of the definition colored	If denominator is a subset of the definition coloated
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please
indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:
maiodio the number of emidren exercises.	maiodio the number of emidren excluded.	maiodio the number of emidren excidued.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/vvvv) To: (mm/vvvv)	From: (mm/vvvv) To: (mm/vvvv)	From: (mm/vvvv) To: (mm/vvvv)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain
☐ Data Source Evolain	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
☐ Data Source, Explain ☐ Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain
Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain
Other, Explain	Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?		
	e the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help er	nhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	progress toward your goal?	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistant	e in improving the completeness or accuracy of your	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:		
Allitual Fertormance Objective for FF1 2010.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		
I		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version version of HEDIS	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	used:	Other. Explain:
	Other. Explain:	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	☐ Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title
XIX).	XIX).	XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected
above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please
indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:	indicate the number of children excluded:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
(ii reporting with richio/richio-like methodology)	(ii reporting with ricolo/ricolo-like inlethodology)	(ii reporting with ricolo)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	FFY 2015
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain
Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain
Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain
Other, Explain	Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2015 compare wit	h the Annual Performance Objective documented in ye	our 2014Annual Report?
	e the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help en	nhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	progress toward your goal?	
Place to Part I a CMO at 141 and a city	. t. t t d	and the state of the state
Please indicate now CMS might be of assistant	e in improving the completeness or accuracy of your	reporting of the data.
Annual Parformance Objective for EEV 2040.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:		
Aimual Feriormance Objective for FFT 2017.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:		
Amidal i enormance Objective for 11 1 2010.		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		
The common of madden		

- 1. What other strategies does your state use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? What have you found?
- The State of Louisiana uses the Medicaid Quality Management Strategy to continually monitor quality measures and performance improvement processes to ensure a culture of improvement for Medicaid/CHIP's care and services. Examples of implementation of Medicaid quality improvement goals include:
- Enable population-level health management through state-wide Quality Collaboratives (Perinatal, Readmissions, Diabetes, Obesity) with numerous meetings and webinars. The collaborative concept has become valuable to the stakeholders and changes in Medicaid policy to improve health outcomes.
- Adoption of the Pediatric EHR format requirements.
- Analysis of provider communication to increase awareness of policy changes and strategies to improve member health outcomes.
- Engagement of families in the development of descriptions of quality measures in order to support quality improvement efforts.
- Obtain feedback from families on the relevance and action ability of the measure results to improve self-efficacy.
- Increase access to care by analyzing patient-level data and provider profiles.

 Alignment of quality measures across managed care organizations has reduced the burden of quality data reporting..
- Move from plan-level to practice-level reporting.
- Improve immunization records by linking institutions to Louisiana's statewide immunization registry.
- Form multi-agency workgroups to address quality measure sets that will include all Medicaid eligibility criteria
- 2. What strategies does your CHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? When will data be available? The State of Louisiana intends to promote and further its mission by defining measurable results that will improve Medicaid and CHIP enrolled individuals' access and satisfaction, and will maximize program efficiency, effectiveness, responsiveness and reduce operational and service costs. The following strategies are intended to support the achievement of this mission:
- Provide a patient-centered medical home for Medicaid and CHIP eligibles to promote continuity of care;
- Emphasize prevention and self-management in order to improve quality of life; Community partnerships to promote best practices,
- Supply providers and members with evidence-based information and resources to support optimal health management; and
- Utilize data management and feedback to improve Louisiana health outcomes.
- 3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your CHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

Recent focused studies conducted include medical record review studies to evaluate prenatal and postpartum care; care for members with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD); depression screening in primary care; care for members with asthma; discharge practices and risk factors for maternal postpartum hospital readmission and newborn hospital readmission; Early Periodic Screening, Diagnostic and Treatment Services (EPSDT); preventive services for Children with Special Healthcare Needs (CSHCN); and early childhood developmental surveillance and screening.

Administrative data was used for the focused studies to evaluate utilization patterns of Medicaid managed care members with co-occurring physical health and behavioral health conditions, diagnoses and other characteristics associated with emergency department (ED) utilization; diagnoses and characteristics associated with prenatal and postpartum hospital and ED utilization; and co-morbid conditions, behavioral risk factors and demographic factors associated with appropriate asthma medication.

Ongoing data collection occurs with monthly reporting. For example, the focused studies on ADHD have led to interesting findings that may lead to changes in the way the State assesses, diagnoses and treats children with ADHD. According to the CDC's National Survey of Children's Health (2003-2011), Louisiana

ranks 3rd nationally, with (13.3%) of its children being diagnosed with ADHD between 4-17 years of age. The national average is 8.8%. A recent analysis by the State demonstrated that children aged five years old who are born in the month of September are twice as likely to be medicated as children aged five years old born in the month of October. The kindergarten cut-off date in the State of Louisiana is September 30th. These concerning statistics have led to the formation of a special ADHD taskforce within the Department of Health and Hospital and a state-wide symposium to focus on solutions regarding possible overprescribing of ADHD medications.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your CHIP program's performance. Please include any analyses or descriptions of any efforts designed to reduce the number of uncovered children in the state through a state health insurance connector program or support for innovative private health coverage initiatives.

The biannual Louisiana Health Insurance Survey (LHIS) and associated reports provide the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Bureau of Health Services Financing (Louisiana Medicaid) with valuable information on Medicaid and CHIP coverage in Louisiana. The Louisiana State University Division of Economics & Policy Research Group (LSU) conducts the telephone survey which includes 8000 Louisiana residents, 2000 of which are contacted via their mobile phone. LSU analyzes the information they gather and estimates the Medicaid bias in LHIS based on 500 randomly selected Medicaid recipients and constructs a forecasting model to incorporate LHIS, Current Population Survey (CPS), Medicaid enrollment data and economic data. Results are reported for the whole state as well as by civil parish and DHH administrative region. Estimates are updated twice a year. Louisiana Medicaid utilizes this information to monitor enrollment trends and identify potential gaps in coverage of eligible but uninsured adults and children.

Enter any	Narrative	text below.
-----------	-----------	-------------

[7500]

Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., [7500] are character limits in the State Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

A. OUTREACH

1. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? It has not changed.

2	What mathada haya yay fayad maat affaatiya in raaghing lay inggma, yainayyad shildran (a g							
۷.	What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? Due to budget constraints, DHH continues it's partnership with school systems to provide enrolled students with information about the program, piggy backing with the free/reduced lunch program in sending literature home. Effectiveness can be measured through enrollment in the program in areas of the state that have traditionally had higher uninsured rates for children and families.							
3.	Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)? Positive word of mouth outreach has continued to be an important method of getting information to potential clients. DHH continues to conduct in-service trainings to non-profit organizations, faith based organizations, private employers and other government agencies. These trainings provide a clear, consistent message about Medicaid and LaCHIP and the benefits that the programs have to offer.							
4.	Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)?							
	Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? DHH has a bilingual Strategic Enrollment Unit (SEU) that services the Spanish and Vietnamese speaking populations around the state. The SEU continues to reach out to Spanish and Vietnamese communities in our state. DHH also partners with community resource organizations that provide assistance to the immigrant populations in Louisiana.							
5.	What percentage of children below 200 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or CHIP have been enrolled in those programs? 95.05							
	(Identify the data source used). This information was made available through the 2015 Louisiana Health Insurance Survey that was conducted by Louisiana State University through a partnership between the Economics & Policy Research Group and the Public Policy Research Lab.							
l stat ien a	es should answer the following questions. Please include percent calculations in your responses pplicable and requested.							
1.	Table 1.							
	Does your program No							
	require a child to be uninsured for a minimum Yes							
	the state that have traditionally had higher uninsured rates for children and families. 3. Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)? Positive word of mouth outreach has continued to be an important method of getting information to potential clients. DHH continues to conduct in-service trainings to non-profit organizations, faith based organizations, private employers and other government agencies. These trainings provic a clear, consistent message about Medicaid and LaCHIP and the benefits that the programs had to offer. 4. Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and childre living in rural areas)? Wes No Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? DHH has a bilingual Strategic Enrollment Unit (SEU) that services the Spanish and Vietnamese speaking populations around the state. The SEU continues to reach out to Spanish and Vietnamese communities in our state. DHH also partners with community resource organizations that provic assistance to the immigrant populations in Louisiana. 5. What percentage of children below 200 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligit for Medicaid or CHIP have been enrolled in those programs? 95.05 (Identify the data source used). This information was made available through the 2015 Louisian Health Insurance Survey that was conducted by Louisiana State University through a partnersh between the Economics & Policy Research Group and the Public Policy Research Lab. Substitution of Coverage (Crowd-out) states should answer the following questions. Please include percent calculations in your responses en applicable and requested. 1. Table 1.							

В.

Does your program require a child to be uninsured for a minimum		No				
	\boxtimes	Yes				
amount of time prior to	Specify number	er of months	3			

enrollment (waiting period)?	period of unin coverage app LaCHIP Afford children with in List all exemple uninsurance [Interpretation of the premium the percent of how determined eleptermium tax of the Marketpla was enrolled if family coverage percent of the stopped offeric coverage) und insurance platin voluntary seemployer-spospecial health	paid by the family for coverage of the e group health plan exceeded 5 usehold income. The child's parent is igible for advance payment of the credit for enrollment in a QHP through ce because the ESI in which the family is determined unaffordable. The cost of ge that includes the child exceeded 9.5 household income. The employering coverage of dependents (or any der an employer-sponsored health in. A change in employment, including eparation, resulted in the child's loss of insored insurance. The child has a care needs. The child lost coverage ath or divorce of a parent. Lifetime			
		N/A			
		No			
Does your program match prospective	Yes				
enrollees to a database that details private insurance status?	If yes, what do December 31	atabase? <u>HMS contract terminated</u> , 2014			
		N/A			

- 2. At the time of application, what percent of CHIP applicants are found to have Medicaid [(# applicants found to have Medicaid/total # applicants) * 100] 14.9% and what percent of applicants are found to have other group health insurance [(# applicants found to have other insurance/total # applicants) * 100] 1.37% Provide a combined percent if you cannot calculate separate percentages. N/A
- 3. What percent of CHIP applicants cannot be enrolled because they have group health plan coverage? **1.37%**
 - a. Of those found to have had other, private insurance and have been uninsured for only a portion of the state's waiting period, what percent meet your state's exemptions to the waiting period (if your state has a waiting period and exemptions) [(# applicants who are exempt/total # of new applicants who were enrolled)*100]? **7.12%**
- 4. Do you track the number of individuals who have access to private insurance?

	Yes	M	No

If yes, what percent of individuals that enrolled in CHIP had access to private health insurance at the time of application during the last federal fiscal year [(# of individuals

that had access to private health insurance/total # of individuals enrolled in CHIP)*100]? **[5]**

C. ELIGIBILITY

This subsection should be completed by all states. Medicaid Expansion states should complete applicable responses and indicate those questions that are non-applicable with N/A. Section IIIC: Subpart A: Eligibility Renewal and Retention

1.		have authority in your CHIP state plan to provide for presumptive eligibility, and have you nented this? Yes No
	If yes	
	a.	What percent of children are presumptively enrolled in CHIP pending a full eligibility determination? [5]
	b.	Of those children who are presumptively enrolled, what percent of those children are determined eligible and enrolled upon completion of the full eligibility determination? [5]
2.		the measures from those below that your state employs to simplify an eligibility renewal ain eligible children in CHIP.
	\boxtimes	Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
		Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
		 How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? [500]
		 At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the state?) [500]
	\bowtie	Other, please explain: [500]
		Exparte renewals

3. Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology. Both are very effective. We monitor our churn rate due to procedural closures and the rate was approximately 3.11% for FFY2015.

Section IIIC: Subpart B: Eligibility Data

Table 1. Data on Denials of Title XXI Coverage in FFY 2015

States are required to report on all questions (1, 1.a., 1.b., and 1.c) in FFY 2015. Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the requested percentages.

Measure	Number	Percent
Total number of denials of title XXI coverage	4,064	100%
a. Total number of procedural denials	105	2.58%

b. Total number of eligibility denials	3,922	96.51%
i. Total number of applicants denied for title XXI and enrolled in title XIX	3,178	78.20%
☐ (Check here if there are no additional categories	37	0.91%
c. Total number of applicants denied for other reasons Please indicate: Withdrawal, existing pending applicaton		

2. Please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data used in this table:

Definitions.			

Definitions:

- The "the total number of denials of title XXI coverage" is defined as the total number of applicants that have had an eligibility decision made for title XXI and denied enrollment for title XXI in FFY 2015. This definition only includes denials for title XXI at the time of initial application (not redetermination).
 - a. The "total number of procedural denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI procedural reasons in FFY 2015 (i.e., incomplete application, missing documentation, missing enrollment fee, etc.).
 - b. The "total number of eligibility denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI eligibility reasons in FFY 2015 (i.e., income too high, income too low for title XXI /referred for Medicaid eligibility determination/determined Medicaid eligible, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your state's specified waiting period, etc.)
 - The total number of applicants that are denied eligibility for title XXI and determined eligible for title XIX
 - c. The "total number of applicants denied for other reasons" is defined as any other type of denial that does not fall into 2a or 2b. Please check the box provided if there are no additional categories.

Table 2. Redetermination Status of Children

For tables 2a and 2b, reporting is required for FFY 2015.

Table 2a. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XXI.

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

	Number		Perc	ent	
Total number of children who are enrolled in title XXI and eligible to be redetermined	142,759	100%			
Total number of children screened for redetermination for title XXI	140,533	98.44%	100%		
Total number of children retained in title XXI after the redetermination process	112,554	78.84%	80.09%		
Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process	4,893	3.43%	3.48%	100%	
Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to comply with procedures	914			18.68%	
b. Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to meet eligibility criteria	3,631			74.21%	100%
i. Disenrolled from title XXI because income too high for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	265				7.30%
ii. Disenrolled from title XXI because income too low for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	172				4.74%
iii. Disenrolled from title XXI because application indicated access to private coverage or obtained private coverage (If unable to provide the data or if you have a title XXI Medicaid expansion and this data is not relevant check here □)	113				3.11%
iv. Disenrolled from title XXI for other eligibility reason(s) Please indicate: max age, moved out of home, open/close cert, originally ineligible, moved out of state, incarceration, death of payee, post partum end, miscarried, eligibility period exhausted	3,079				84.80%
c. Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for other reason(s) Please indicate: Requested closure and other (does not meet any existing disenrollment codes) (Check here if there are no additional categories □)	348			7.11%	

5. If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any state policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data [7500].

Definitions:

1. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2015, and did not age out (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total must include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a state uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility. This total number must also include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the state sends the family a renewal form that is prepopulated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes.

CHIP Annual Report Template - FFY 2015

- 2. The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the state for redetermination in FFY 2015 (i.e., ex parte redeterminations and administrative redeterminations, as well as those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the state).
- 3. The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2015.
- 4. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from title XXI following the redetermination process in FFY 2015. This includes those children that states may define as "transferred" to Medicaid for title XIX eligibility screening.
 - a. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2015 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
 - b. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for no longer meeting one or more of their state's CHIP eligibility criteria (i.e., income too low, income too high, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your state's specified waiting period, etc.). If possible, please break out the reasons for failure to meet eligibility criteria in i.-iv.
 - c. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

 The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI (line 4).

Table 2b. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XIX.

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

			Number		Perce	ent	
1.	Total nu	mber of children who are enrolled in title XIX and eligible to be redetermined	621,642	100%			
2.	Total nu	mber of children screened for redetermination for title XIX	614,994	98.93%	100%		
3.	Total nu	mber of children retained in title XIX after the redetermination process	544,291	87.56%	88.50%		
4.	Total nu	mber of children disenrolled from title XIX after the redetermination process	8,125	1.31%	1.32%	100%	
	a.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to comply with	3,545			43.63%	
		procedures					
	b.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to meet eligibility criteria	3,830			47.14%	100%
		v. Disenrolled from title XIX because income too high for title XIX	585				15.27%
		(If unable to provide the data, check here □)					
		vi. Disenrolled from title XIX for other eligibility reason(s)	526				13.73%
		Please indicate: max age, moved out of home, open/close cert, originally					
		ineligible, moved out of state, incarceration, death of payee, post partum					
		end, miscarried, eligibility period					
		exhausted					
		(If unable to provide the data check here □)					
	C.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for other reason(s)	750			9.23%	
		Please indicate: Requested closure and other (does not meet any existing					
		disenrollment codes)					
		(Check here if there are no additional categories □)					

5. If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any state policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data [7500].

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2015, and did not age out (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total must include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a state uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility. This total number must also include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the state sends the family a renewal form that is prepopulated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes.
- 2. The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the state for redetermination in FFY 2015 (i.e., ex parte redeterminations and administrative redeterminations, as well as those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the state).
- 3. The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2015.
- 4. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XIX after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from title XIX following the redetermination process in FFY 2015. This includes those children that states may define as "transferred" to CHIP for title XXI eligibility screening.
 - a. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2014 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
 - b. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for no longer meeting one or more of their state's Medicaid eligibility criteria (i.e., income too high, etc.).
 - c. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

 The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX (line 4).

Table 3. Duration Measure of Selected Children, Ages 0-16, Enrolled in Title XIX and Title XXI, Second Quarter FFY 2014

The purpose of tables 3a and 3b is to measure the duration, or continuity, of Medicaid and CHIP enrollees' coverage. This information is required by Section 402(a) of CHIPRA. **Reporting on this table is required.**

Because the measure is designed to capture continuity of coverage in title XIX and title XXI beyond one year of enrollment, the measure collects data for 18 months of enrollment. This means that reporting spans two CARTS reports over two years. The duration measure uses a cohort of children and follows the enrollment of the same cohort of children for 18 months to measure continuity of coverage. States identify a new cohort of children every two years. States identified newly enrolled children in the second quarter of FFY 2014 (January, February, and March of 2014). If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary.

[Note that the first cohort of newly enrolled children was identified in the second quarter of FFY 2012 (January, February and March of 2012), was followed for 18 months (through FFY 2013), and stopped. The current cohort of children was identified in the second quarter of FFY 2014 (January, February and March of 2014), will be followed for 18 months (through FFY 2015), and will stop. The next cohort of children will be identified in the second quarter of FFY 2016 (January, February and March of 2016).]

The FFY 2015 CARTS report is the second year of reporting in the cycle of two CARTS reports on the cohort of children identified in the second quarter of FFY 2014. States will continue to report on the same table for the two years of CARTS reports.

Instructions: For this measure, please identify <u>newly enrolled</u> children in both title XIX and title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2014, ages 0 months to 16 years at time of enrollment. Children enrolled in January 2014 must have birthdates after July 1997 (e.g., children must be younger than 16 years and 5 months) to ensure that they will not age out of the program at the 18th month of coverage. Similarly, children enrolled in February 2014 must have birthdates after August 1997, and children enrolled in March 2014 must have birthdates after September 1997. Each child newly enrolled during this time frame needs a unique identifier or "flag" so that the cohort can be tracked over time. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary. Please follow the child based on the child's age category at the time of enrollment (e.g., the child's age at enrollment creates an age cohort that does not change over the 18 month time span).

Please enter the data requested in the tables below, and the template will tabulate the percentages. The tables are pre-populated with the 6-month data you reported last year; in this report you will enter data on the 12- and 18-month enrollment status. Only enter a "0" (zero) if the data are known to be zero. If data are unknown or unavailable, leave the field blank.

Note that all data must sum correctly in order to save and move to the next page. The data in each individual row must add across to sum to the total in the "All Children Ages 0-16" column for that row. And in each column, the data within each time period (6, 12 and 18 months) must each sum up to the data in row 1, which is the number of children in the cohort. This means that in each column, rows 2, 3 and 4 must sum to the total in row 1; rows 5, 6 and 7 must sum to the row 1; and rows 8, 9 and 10 must sum to row 1. Rows numbered with an "a" (e.g., rows 3a and 4a) are excluded from the total because they are subsets of their respective rows.

Table 3a. Duration Measure of Children Enrolled in Title XIX

□Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2015, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2014, etc.)
□Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XXI in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2015, he/she would not be enrolled in title XXI in December 2014, etc.)

Table 3a. Duration Measure, Title XIX		_	ildren 6 0-16	Age Less mor		Ages 1-5		Ages 6-12		Ages 13-16	
		Numbe r	Perce nt	Number	Perce nt	Number	Perce nt	Number	Perce nt	Number	Perce nt
1.	Total number of children newly enrolled in title XIX in the second quarter of FFY 2014	19,883	100%	11,299	100%	3,079	100%	3,812	100%	1,693	100%
		Enrollme	nt Status	6 months I	ater						
2.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XIX	19,489		11,086		3,010		3,737		1,656	
3.	Total number of children with a break in title XIX coverage but re-enrolled in title XIX	15		5		3		6		1	
	3.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) during title XIX coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	2		0		0		2		0	
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX	379		208		66		69		36	
	4.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) after being disenrolled from title XIX (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	54		4		17		21		12	
		Enrollmer	nt Status	12 months	later						
5.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XIX	18,915		10,884		2,870		3,578		1,583	
6.	Total number of children with a break in title XIX coverage but re-enrolled in title XIX	78		38		13		18		9	
	6.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) during title XIX coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	5		0		0		4		1	
7.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX	890		377		196		216		101	
	7.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) after being disenrolled from title XIX (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	121		12		31		52		26	
		Enrollmer	nt Status	18 months	later						
8.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XIX	16,756		8,981		2,770		3,466		1,539	
9.	Total number of children with a break in title XIX coverage but re-enrolled in title XIX	217		150		29		25		13	
	9.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) during title XIX coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	18		10		3		5		0	
10.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX	2,910		2,168		280		321		141	
	10.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) after being disenrolled from title XIX (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	1,105		946		42		76		41	

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XIX in the second quarter of FFY 2014" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XIX, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- 2. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XIX for 6 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2014
- 3. The total number who had a break in title XIX coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of June 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of July 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of August 2014
 - 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage.
- 4. The total number who disenrolled from title XIX, 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of June 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of July 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of August 2014
 - 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI in the month after their disenrollment from title XIX.
- 5. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XIX for 12 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of December 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of January 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were continuously enrolled through the end of February 2015
- 6. The total number of children who had a break in title XIX coverage during 12 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XIX by the end of the 12 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of December 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of January 2015

- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of February 2015
- 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage.
- 7. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XIX 12 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were enrolled in January 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of December 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were enrolled in February 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of January 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were enrolled in March 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of February 2015
 - 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XXI in the month after their disenrollment from title XIX.
- 8. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XIX for 18 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2015 + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2015
- 9. The total number of children who had a break in title XIX coverage during 18 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XIX by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of June 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of July 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of August 2015
 - 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage.
- 10. The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XIX 18 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and disenrolled by the end of June 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and disenrolled by the end of July 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and disenrolled by the end of August 2015
 - 10.a. From the population in #10, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI (CHIP) in the month after their disenrollment from XIX.

Table 3b. <u>Duration Measure of Children Enrolled in Title XXI</u>

Specify how your "newly enrolled" population is defined:

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2014, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2013, etc.)

□Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XXI in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2014, he/she would not be enrolled in title XXI in December 2013, etc.)

Table 3b. Duration Measure, Title XXI			All Children Ages 0-16		Age Less than 12 months		Ages 1-5		Ages 6-12		Ages 13-16	
		Numbe r	Perce nt	Number	Perce nt	Number	Perce nt	Number	Perce nt	Number	Perce nt	
1.	Total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2014	5,317	100%	172	100%	1,589	100%	2,370	100%	1,006	100%	
			nt Status	6 months I	ater							
2.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI	5,010		166		1,548		2,312		984		
3.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI	1		0		0		0		1		
	3.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	0		0		0		0		0		
4	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI	126		6		41		58		21		
	4.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here	56		3		24		24		5		
		Enrollmer	nt Status	12 months	later							
5.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI	4,860		158		1,502		2,248		952		
6.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI	12		1		1		6		4		
	6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	3		1		1		1		0		
7.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI	265		13		86		116		50		
	7.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	124		3		45		56		20		
		Enrollmer	nt Status	18 months	later							
8.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI	4,725		156		1,455		2,191		923		
9.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI	25		1		4		12		8		
	9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here)	5		0		1		3		1		
10	. Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI	387		15		130		167		75		

10.aTotal number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title	186	4	66	81	35	
XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI						
(If unable to provide the data, check here □)						

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2014" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XXI, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- 2. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 6 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2014

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2014
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2014
- 3. The total number who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of June 2014

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of July 2014
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of August 2014
- 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 4. The total number who disenrolled from title XXI. 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of June 2014

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of July 2014
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of August 2014
- 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 5. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 12 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of December 2014

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of January 2015
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of February 2015
- 6. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 12 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 12 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of December 2014

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of January 2015
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of February 2015
- 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 7. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XXI 12 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were enrolled in January 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of December 2014
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were enrolled in February 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of January 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were enrolled in March 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of February 2015
 - 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 8. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 18 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014and were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2015
- 9. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 18 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of June 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of July 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of August 2015
 - 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 10. The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XXI 18 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and disenrolled by the end of June 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and disenrolled by the end of July 2015
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and disenrolled by the end of August 2015
 - 10.a. From the population in #10, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX (Medicaid) in the month after their disenrollment from XXI.

D. Cost Sharing

	1.		w the state track naximum in the y	s cost sharing to ensure enrollees do not pay more than 5 percent ear?
	a.	Cost sharin	ng is tracked by:	
		Health Plan State Third Party N/A (No cos Other, pleas The only co	Administrator st sharing require explain. [7500 ost sharing require	ed) I red is premiums in the separate CHIP program. The premium agregate to be more than 5% of family income based on the %FPL
			tate uses the sho es to track cost s	bebox method, please describe informational tools provided to sharing. [7500]
	2.	When the faceased?		5% cap, are premiums, copayments and other cost sharing
	3.		cribe how provide he 5% cap. [750 0	ers are notified that no cost sharing should be charged to enrollees D] N/A
	4.			of the number of children that exceeded the 5 percent cap in the the federal fiscal year. [500]N/A
	5.	Has your staparticipation		ny assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on
		☐ Yes	⊠ No	If so, what have you found? [7500]
	6.		ate undertaken a ces in CHIP?	ny assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of
		☐ Yes	⊠ No	If so, what have you found? [7500]
	7.	state monito	oring the impact of	r decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, how is the of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and a services in CHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]N/A
E.	Prog		IDER THE CHIP	NCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI
				ver sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance ults using Title XXI funds?
Chi	 Idren		answer questior Program Integrit	
Cin		Yes, Check a	all that apply and	complete each question for each authority.
		Additional Section 1	al Premium Assis 1115 Demonstrat	rage under the CHIP state plan (2105(c)(3)) stance Option under CHIP state plan (2105(c)(10)) tion (Title XXI) on (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906)

dults		Premium	n Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906A)
		s, Check	all that apply and complete each question for each
		Purchas Section Premium	e of Family Coverage under the CHIP state plan (2105(c)(10) 1115 demonstration (Title XXI) n Assistance option under the Medicaid state plan (1906) n Assistance option under the Medicaid state plan (1906A)
2.	Pleas		e which adults your state covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
		Parents	and Caretaker Relatives t Women
3.	insura betwee LaHII the pe	ance progenthe step is an eolicyholde unts. In, a	e how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored gram or a premium assistance program., how do you coordinate assistance ate and/or employer, who receives the subsidy if a subsidy is provided, etc.) employer sponsored insurance coverage program. The program contactor contacts and employer to verify insurance enrollment, plan benefits, and premium addition the contractor performs a quarterly insurance re-verification for all LaHIPP
4.	What	benefit p	ackage does the ESI program use? [7500]N/A
5.		nere any r es 🔲 No	minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package?
6. [he progra es □ No	m provide wrap-around coverage for benefits?
7. <i>F</i>		ere limits o	on cost sharing for children in your ESI program?
8.	Are th	_	imits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program?
9.	Are th	nere prote	ections on cost sharing for children (e.g., the 5 percent out-of-pocket maximum) in assistance program?
		es ⊠ No y aggrega	If yes, how is the cost sharing tracked to ensure it remains within the 5 percent te maximum [7500]?
10.	funds	are used if they we	al number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program ere covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
		<u>23</u>	Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
		563	Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
		661	Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period

11.	Provide the average monthly enrollr assistance program during FFY 201	ment of children and parents ever enrolled in the profis.	emium
	Children _2107 Par	rents_1467	
12.		as been the greatest challenge your ESI program hap perience challenges in finding newly eligible individ	
13.	HIPP has automated the annual ren program software. HIPP also contin	ecomplishments have been achieved in your ESI properties and continues to increase automation nues to extend outreach to OB GYN providers to increase automation well as data mining to try to identify Medicaid enrolls	n for crease
14.		re planning to make in your ESI program during the changes are planned. The LaHIPP program will en are due to budget cuts.	
15.		of your ESI program (including premium assistance? How was this measured? None at this point.	e) on
16.	Provide the average amount each e under your ESI program:N/A	entity pays towards coverage of the dependent child	l/parent
	Child	Parent	
	State:	State:	
	Employer:	Employer:	
	Employee:	Employee:	
17.	Indicate the range in the average m state on behalf of a child or parent.	onthly dollar amount of premium assistance provide	ed by the
		High\$1,235.00 High\$1,235.00	
18.	If you offer a premium assistance p N/A	program, what, if any, is the minimum employer con	tribution?
19.	Please provide the income levels of From	f the children or families provided premium assistan To	ce.
	Income level of Children: Income level of Parents:	_0% of FPL [5]300% of FPL [5]0% of FPL [5]219% of FPL [5]	
20.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	urance before enrolling in premium assistance?	
	If yes, what is the period of uninsu	rance? [500]	
CHIP Ann	ual Report Template – FFY 2015		

	21.	Do you h	ave a waiting list for your program? Yes	⊠ No				
	22.	Can you	cap enrollment for your program? Yes	⊠ No				
	23.	provision document	ategies has the state found to be effective in resolvent of premium assistance in ESI? Streamlining tation submission process though the use of the administrative barriers of the program.	the application and required				
	Ent	ter any Nar	rative text below. [7500]					
F.			INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH S, I.E., THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAL					
	1.	Does you procedure	r state have a <u>written</u> plan that has safeguards es for:	and establishes methods and				
		(2) ir	revention: ⊠ Yes □ No nvestigation: ⊠ Yes □ No eferral of cases of fraud and abuse? ⊠ Yes [□ No				
adr	minis	ers. The Sta strative ma	splain: Program Integrity initiates and complete ate's contract with the managed care plans dic nagement arrangements or procedures, includ uard against fraud and abuse.	tates that each plan must have				
		Do managed health care plans with which your program contracts have <u>written</u> plans? Yes Please Explain: Each plan must maintain compliance plans designed to guard against fraud and abuse.						
	2.	For the re	porting period, please report the					
		<u>79</u>	_ Number of fair hearing appeals of eligibility	denials				
		0	_ Number of cases found in favor of beneficia	ry				
	3.	regarding	porting period, please indicate the number of fraud and abuse in the following areas:	cases investigated, and cases referred,				
			Credentialing					
			_ Number of cases investigated					
			Number of cases referred to appropriate law e	enforcement officials				
		Provider E	•					
			_ Number of cases investigated					
			Number of cases referred to appropriate law	enforcement officials				
			ry Eligibility					
			_ Number of cases investigated					
		·	umber of cases referred to appropriate law enf	orcement officials				
	Are	these cas						
		С	HIP 🗌					

4.	Does your state rely on contractors to perform the above functions?
	Yes, please answer question below.
	□ No
5.	If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how

5. If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors? Yes Please explain: For fee-for-service oversight Program Integrity monitors the contracts for: Medicaid Integrity Contractors (Health Integrity), Recovery Audit Contractors (Myers and Stauffer), Medi-Medi (Advance Med), and Molina-SURS/Molina Provider Enrollment. For Managed Care: Program Integrity coordinates and compares the activities of the Special Investigations Units maintained by each Plan for the purpose of monitoring fraud and abuse activities of contracted providers.

6.	Do you contract with managed care health plans and/or a third party contractor to provide
this oversight?	

\square	Yes
$I \sim I$	169

□ No

Please Explain: Each managed care plan is contractually obligated to manage and perform fraud and abuse oversight for network providers.

G. Dental Benefits – Please ONLY report data in this section for children in Separate CHIP programs and the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs. Reporting is required for all states with Separate CHIP programs and Combination programs.

If your state has a Combination program or a Separate CHIP program but you are not reporting data in this section on children in the Separate CHIP part of your program, please explain why.

Explain: _N/A_

 Information on Dental Care for Children in Separate CHIP Programs (including children in the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs). Include all delivery system types, e.g. MCO, PCCM, FFS.

Data for this table are based on the definitions provided on the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) Report (Form CMS-416)

a. Annual Dental Participation Table for Children Enrolled in Separate CHIP programs and the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs (for Separate CHIP programs, please include ONLY children receiving full CHIP benefits and supplemental benefits).

State <u>LA</u>	Age Groups								
FFY_2015_	Total	<1	1 – 2	3 – 5	6 – 9	10–14	15–18		

Total individuals enrolled for at least 90 continuous days ¹	3,628	19	222	441	869	1,026	1,051
Total Enrollees Receiving Any Dental Services ² [7]	2,052	0	68	272	591	604	517
Total Enrollees Receiving Preventive Dental Services ³ [7]	1,942	0	61	258	566	574	483
Total Enrollees Receiving Dental Treatment Services ⁴ [7]	839	0	6	69	252	244	268

¹ Total Individuals Enrolled for at Least 90 Continuous Days – Enter the total unduplicated number of children who have been continuously enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year, distributed by age. For example, if a child was enrolled January 1st to March 31st, this child is considered continuously enrolled for at least 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year. If a child was enrolled from August 1st to September 30th and from October 1st to November 30th, the child would not be considered to have been enrolled for 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year. Children should be counted in age groupings based on their age at the end of the fiscal year. For example, if a child turned 3 on September 15th, the child should be counted in the 3-6 age grouping.

²Total Eligibles Receiving Any Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D0100 - D9999 (or equivalent CDT codes D0100 - D9999 or equivalent CPT codes) based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

³Total Eligibles Receiving Preventive Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one preventive dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 (or equivalent CDT codes D1000 - D1999 or equivalent CPT codes, that is, only those CPT codes that are for preventive dental services and only if provided by or under the supervision of a dentist), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

⁴Total Eligibles Receiving Dental Treatment Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one treatment service by or under the supervision of a dentist, as defined by HCPCS codes D2000 - D9999 (or equivalent CDT codes D2000 - D9999 or equivalent CPT codes, that is, only those CPT codes that involve periodontics, maxillofacial prosthetics, implants, oral and maxillofacial surgery, orthodontics, adjunctive general services, and only if provided by or under the supervision of a dentist), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

Report all dental services data in the age category reflecting the child's age at the end of the federal fiscal year even if the child received services while in two age categories. For example, if

a child turned 10 on September 1st, but had a cleaning in April and a cavity filled in September, both the cleaning and the filling would be counted in the 10-14 age category.

	b.	For the age grouping that includes children 8 years of age, what is the number of such children who have received a sealant on at least one permanent molar tooth ⁵ ?118_
		⁵ Receiving a Sealant on a Permanent Molar Tooth Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for 90 continuous days and in the age category of 6-9 who received a sealant on a permanent molar tooth, as defined by HCPCS code D1351 (or equivalent CDT code D1351), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim. For this line, include sealants placed by any dental professional for whom placing a sealant is within his or her scope of practice. Permanent molars are teeth numbered 2, 3, 14, 15, 18, 19, 30, 31, and additionally, for those states that cover sealants on third molars, also known as wisdom teeth, the teeth numbered 1, 16, 17, 32.
		Report all sealant data in the age category reflecting the child's age at the end of the federal fiscal year even if the child was factually a different age on the date of service. For example, if a child turned 6 on September 1 st , but had a sealant applied in July, the sealant would be counted in the age 6-9 category.
2.	Do	es the state provide supplemental dental coverage? Yes No
	If y	res, how many children are enrolled?[7]
	Wh	nat percent of the total number of enrolled children have supplemental dental coverage? [5]

H. CHIPRA CAHPS REQUIREMENT:

CHIPRA section 402(a)(2), which amends reporting requirements in section 2108 of the Social Security Act, requires Title XXI Programs (i.e., CHIP Medicaid expansion programs, separate child health programs, or a combination of the two) to report CAHPS results to CMS starting December 2013. While Title XXI Programs may select any CAHPS survey to fulfill this requirement, CMS encourages these programs to align with the CAHPS measure in the Children's Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid and CHIP (Child Core Set). Starting in 2013, Title XXI Programs should submit summary level information from the CAHPS survey to CMS via the CARTS attachment facility. We also encourage states to submit raw data to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's CAHPS Database. More information is available in the Technical Assistance fact sheet, Collecting and Reporting the CAHPS Survey as Required Under the CHIPRA: http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/CAHPSFactSheet.pdf.

If a state would like to provide CAHPS data on both Medicaid and CHIP enrollees, the agency must sample Title XIX (Medicaid) and Title XXI (CHIP) programs separately and submit separate results to CMS to fulfill the CHIPRA Requirement.

Did you Collect this Survey in Order to Meet the CHIPRA CAHPS Requirement? ✓ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, How Did you Report this Survey (select all that apply): ☐ Submitted raw data to AHRQ (CAHPS Database) ☐ Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to CMS) ☐ Other. Explain:
If No, Explain Why: Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
Service not covered Population not covered Entire population not covered Explain population not covered Explain the partial population not covered: Data not available Explain why data not available Budget constraints Staff constraints Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain: Data source not easily accessible Select all that apply: Requires medical record review Requires data linkage which does not currently exist Other: Information not collected. Select all that apply: Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) Other:
☐ Other: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30)
Enter specific sample size:
☐ Other. Explain:
Definition of Population Included in the Survey Sample:
, ,
Definition of population included in the survey sample:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP (Title XXI) population only.
☐ Survey sample includes CHIP Medicaid Expansion population.
☑ Survey sample includes Separate CHIP population.☐ Survey sample includes Combination CHIP population.
Desires sample molaces combination of in population.
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:
Which Version of the CAHPS® Survey was Used?

CAHPS® 5.0.
☐ CAHPS® 5.0H.
☐ Other. Explain:
Which Supplemental Item Sets were Included in the Survey?
□ No supplemental item sets were included □ CAHPS Item Set for Children with Chronic Conditions □ Other CAHPS Item Set. Explain:
Which Administrative Protocol was Used to Administer the Survey?
 NCQA HEDIS CAHPS 5.0H administrative protocol □ AHRQ CAHPS administrative protocol □ Other administrative protocol. Explain:

Section IV: Program financing for State Plan

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-federal funds). (Note: This reporting period equals federal fiscal year 2015. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)

COST OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	2015	2016	2017
Insurance payments	0	0	0
Managed Care	237,351,942	259,028,632	264,210,118
Fee for Service	0	0	0
Total Benefit Costs	237,351,942	259,028,632	264,210,118
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	(298,439)	(38,766)	(38,204)
Net Benefit Costs	237,053,502	258,989,867	264,171,941

Administration Costs

Personnel	3,776,718	4,701,268	5,006,165
General Administration	1,665,153	2,076,765	2,211,771
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	4,511,015	5,615,322	5,979,500
Claims Processing	2,574,602	3,204,870	3,412,720
Outreach/Marketing costs	20,423	21,444	22,516
Other (e.g., indirect costs)	0	0	0
Health Services Initiatives	0	0	0
Total Administration Costs	12,547,910	15,619,669	16,632,671
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	26,339,278	28,776,652	29,352,435

Federal Title XXI Share	183,311,128	265,140,101	271,253,829
State Share	66,290,284	9,469,435	9,550,757

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN	249,601,412	274,609,536	280,804,586

What were the sources of non-federal funding use	ed for state match during the reporting period?
--	---

\boxtimes	State appropriations
	County/local funds
	Employer contributions
	Foundation grants
	Private donations
	Tobacco settlement
	Other (specify) [500]

- 3. Did you experience a short fall in CHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough federal CHIP funds for your program? **[1500]** No
- 4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month (PMPM) cost rounded to a whole number. If you have CHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	2015		2016		2017	
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM
Managed Care	145,181	\$143.27	147,359	\$155.30	149,569	\$147.18
Fee for Service	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0

Enter any Narrative text below. [1500]

Section V: Program Challenges and Accomplishments

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted CHIP.

The budgetary environment is challenging as it relates to healthcare coverage for low income, uninsured children through CHIP and Medicaid due to the state's projected deficits. Budget constraints continue to result in the deferment of expanding children's coverage to 300% FPL although the legislative authority exists. Louisiana has not opted to implement the new CHIPRA option to cover legal immigrant children.

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced?

Major challenges continue to be adequate resources due to staff reductions that have occurred over previous fiscal years as well as an increased workload. Although the LaCHIP and Medicaid eligibility operation in Louisiana remains nimble as a result of virtual work processes, challenges related to the implementation of changes required by the Affordable Care Act remain. Specifically, Louisiana made modifications to the existing legacy mainframe eligibility system in order to meet ACA minimum critical functionality requirements. Those changes have resulted in duplication and increased workload management. A new contract has been awarded to build a new Medicaid eligibility system that is expected to be implemented in 2018

3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program?

Louisiana has successfully implemented changes in accordance with the Affordable Care Act. Eligibility case workers continued to close a low percentage of CHIP children at renewal for procedural reasons (failure to complete renewal process, unable to locate, etc). This impacts not only overall enrollment numbers but stability and continuity of coverage for eligible children. Louisiana enrolled members statewide into managed care which offers five statewide health plans that provide members with a choice in their healthcare provider. Managed care helps to improve health outcomes, reduce strains on the state budget, fight fraud and abuse, and offer safe, accessible and sustainable health care for CHIP members.

4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your CHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

We will continue to maintain the existing legacy mainframe eligibility system while simultaneously working toward a new solution. These efforts will enable staff to process applications, renewals and case maintenance changes in a timely and efficient manner and minimize delays or disruption to access to health care.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]