C EG/	LOUISIANA DEPARTMEN Engineering Services	44 / 80 = 55%		
Parish: ACADIA		PWSID: LA1001025		
Pre	liminary 2			
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Techr	nique and Maxi may pose a public	ions, which include mum Contaminant health risk over an Max of 30 points	-15
State Water Quality	water operator,	inadequate wate water outages,	s, which include no er disinfection, and may lead to other Max of 10 points	-2
Financial Sustainability	which can affect water system. Ar	operations and r effective water	ancial sustainability maintenance of the rate can provide for ure replacement of Max of 10 points	*
Operations & Maintenance	deficiencies note	d during water s	and maintenance system inspections, being distributed to Max of 15 points	-9
Infrastructure	during water syst	tem inspections,	deficiencies noted which may lead to r service disruption. Max of 20 points	-10
Customer Satisfaction	the water system	and/or the Louis confirmed to be	pplaints received by iana Department of a water quality or Max of 10 points	*
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the	e secondary max els do not pose a	and/or manganese imum contaminant health risk but may ues. Max of 5 points	-0
BONUS	storage assessme assessment & ma	ent and maintena aintenance progra ining; or particip	nanagement plan; a ance program; well am; participation in ation in a capacity Max of 10 points	*

*Data not available until May 2023 and is not included in the grade.

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



Preliminary 2022 Grade Details EGAN WATER CORPORATION

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards	System Deductions			
Federal Water Quality		5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	1			
	-30	5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0	-15		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	Yes			
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	2			
		5	Does the water System have an operator?	Yes	-2		
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0			
Financial Sustainability		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	-			
	-10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	-	*		
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	-			
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	-			
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		-9		
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		-10		
Customer 10	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	-	- *		
Satisfaction -10		10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	-			
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)		-0		
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training		*		
*Data not available until May 2023 and is not included in the grade.					-36		
Score					44 / 80 = 55%		