C PC	LOUISIANA DEPARTMEN Engineering Services	63 / 80 = 78%		
Parish: IBERIA		PWSID): LA1045037	
Pre	liminary 2			
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Techn	ique and Maxir nay pose a public	ons, which include num Contaminant health risk over an Max of 30 points	-0
State Water Quality	water operator, i	nadequate wate water outages, i	s, which include no r disinfection, and may lead to other Max of 10 points	-7
Financial Sustainability	which can affect of water system. An	operations and n effective water r	ncial sustainability naintenance of the rate can provide for ire replacement of Max of 10 points	*
Operations & Maintenance	deficiencies noted	I during water s	and maintenance system inspections, being distributed to Max of 15 points	-0
Infrastructure	during water syste	em inspections,	deficiencies noted which may lead to service disruption. Max of 20 points	-5
Customer Satisfaction	the water system a	and/or the Louisi confirmed to be	plaints received by ana Department of a water quality or Max of 10 points	*
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the	secondary maxi s do not pose a l	and/or manganese mum contaminant health risk but may ues. Max of 5 points	-5
BONUS *Data not available unt	storage assessmen assessment & mai management train development prog	nt and maintena intenance progra ning; or participa ram.	nanagement plan; a ince program; well im; participation in ation in a capacity Max of 10 points	*

*Data not available until May 2023 and is not included in the grade.

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



Preliminary 2022 Grade Details PORT EAST WATER SYSTEM

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards	System Deductions			
Federal Water Quality	-30	5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0			
		5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0	-0		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No			
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	7	-7		
		5	Does the water System have an operator?	Yes			
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0			
Financial Sustainability		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	-	*		
	10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	-			
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	-			
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	-			
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		-0		
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		-5		
Customer 10	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	-	*		
Satisfaction	tisfaction -10		Did the system submit a water complaint log?	-			
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)		-5		
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training		*		
*Data not available until May 2023 and is not included in the grade. Total Deductions + Bonus					-17		
Score					63 / 80 = 78%		