$\sim Q$	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF H Engineering Services	80 / 80 = 100%		
ST T	AM PARISH - ABITA			
		WSID: LA1103173		
Pre				
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Technique and	al violations, which include d Maximum Contaminant a public health risk over an Max of 30 points	-0	
State Water Quality	water operator, inadequa	violations, which include no te water disinfection, and utages, may lead to other plved. Max of 10 points	-0	
Financial Sustainability	which can affect operation water system. An effective	of financial sustainability as and maintenance of the water rate can provide for and future replacement of Max of 10 points	*	
Operations & Maintenance	deficiencies noted during	eration and maintenance water system inspections, quality being distributed to Max of 15 points	-0	
Infrastructure	Points deducted for infrast during water system inspe unsafe drinking water and/	ections, which may lead to	-0	
Customer Satisfaction	the water system and/or the	ner complaints received by ne Louisiana Department of ed to be a water quality or system. Max of 10 points	*	
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the seconda	of iron and/or manganese ry maximum contaminant pose a health risk but may ality issues. Max of 5 points	-0	
BONUS	storage assessment and n assessment & maintenance	n asset management plan; a naintenance program; well e program; participation in participation in a capacity Max of 10 points	*	

*Data not available until May 2023 and is not included in the grade.

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



Preliminary 2022 Grade Details ST TAM PARISH - ABITA LAKES

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards			
Federal Water Quality	-30	5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0	-0	
		5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No		
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	0	-0	
		5	Does the water System have an operator?	Yes		
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0		
Financial Sustainability	-10	5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	-	*	
		5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	-		
		10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	-		
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	-		
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		-0	
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		-0	
Customer Satisfaction -10	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	- *		
	-10	10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	-		
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)		-0	
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training	-	*	
*Data not available until May 2023 and is not included in the grade. Total Deductions + Bonus					0	
Score					80 / 80 = 100%	